



Gravitational Waves to unveil primordial non-Gaussianity



Based on arXiv: 2302.08429 arXiv: 2403.06962

Gabriele Perna Email: gabriele.perna@phd.unipd.it

Annecy, 18/09/24

OVERVIEW OF THE TALK

1. INTRODUCTION

- Recap on GWs
- Primordial non-Gaussianity

2. AGWB, CMB AND NON-GAUSSIANITY

- Anisotropies
- Bias and primordial nG
- Cross-correlation prescription
- Results

$3. \quad I\text{MPRINTS OF NG ON THE SIGWB}$

- Theoretical treatment
- SIGWB Spectrum
- Imprints of nG
- Results

1. INTRODUCTION

- Recap on GWs
- Importance of primordial non-Gaussianity

RECAP ON GWs

Focus on the Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background (SGWB):

Two contributions - Cosmological

- Astrophysical

We characterize them with

(DIMENSIONLESS) GW ENERGY DENSITY $\Omega_{\rm GW} \equiv \frac{f_{\rm o}}{\rho_c} \frac{d\rho_{\rm GW}}{df_{\rm o} d\Omega_{\rm o}}$ Critical Density

Regimbau, [1101.2762] Caprini et al., [1910.13125] Bartolo et al., [1912.09433]

PRIMORDIAL NON-GAUSSIANITY

Deviations of primordial perturbations from Gaussian behaviour.

(e.g., non vanishing high order connected correlators)



Standard Scenario:

Single Field, Slow Roll

Low Level of NG $f_{NL} \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$

Latest constraints by Planck

$$f_{\rm NL}^{\rm local} = -0.9 \pm 5.1$$

$$f_{\rm NL}^{\rm equil} = -26 \pm 47$$

$$f_{\rm NL}^{\rm ortho} = -38 \pm 24$$

Planck Collaboration
[1905.05697]

There is still space for models predicting a non-vanishing, sufficiently high primordial non-Gaussianity.

Focus on **local** primordial non-Gaussianity.

We can write the NG field as

- Gaussian Field

$$\Phi(\vec{x}) = \Phi_L(\vec{x}) + f_{\rm NL} \left(\Phi_L^2(\vec{x}) - \langle \Phi_L^2(\vec{x}) \rangle \right)$$

HOW DO GWs COULD BE AFFECTED BY THE PRESENCE OF NG?

2. AGWB, CMB AND NON-GAUSSIANITY

- Anisotropies
- Bias and primordial nG
- Cross-correlation prescription
- Results



AIM

Extract cosmological information combined with other cosmological probes



Different models of inflation predict different types of non Gaussianity, So a better constraint would help us to discard many of them!



Cosmic Microwave

ANISOTROPIES - AGWB

AGWB: Superposition of a large number of unresolved sources since the beginning of stellar activity.



Gabriele Perna

In Poisson Gauge:

$$\Delta\Omega_{\rm GW}(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{+\ell} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{n}) \quad \blacksquare \quad a_{\ell m} = \int d\mathbf{n} Y_{\ell m}^*(\mathbf{n}) \Delta\Omega_{\rm GW}(\mathbf{n})$$
Physics inside

In Fourier Space and considering the sum over different contributions

 $a_{\ell m} = \sum_{[i]\beta} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} Y^*_{\ell' m}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \mathcal{S}^{[i]\beta}_{\ell}(k) \delta_m(\mathbf{k}, \eta_0)$ Source

[i] = source β = anisotropy term

with

$$\mathcal{S}_{\ell}^{[i]\delta_m^{(\mathrm{SC})}}(k) = (4\pi)i^{\ell} \int d\bar{\chi} \mathcal{W}^{[i]}(\bar{\chi})b_{\mathrm{GW}}^{[i]} \frac{D(\eta)}{D(\eta_0)} j_{\ell}(k\bar{\chi})$$

 $D(\eta)$ = growth function

Function

. . .

ANISOTROPIES - CMB

 $T(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \eta) = T(\eta) \left[1 + \Theta(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}, \eta)\right]$

In this case

$$a_{\ell m}^{\text{CMB}}(\mathbf{x},\eta_0) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{k}) \mathcal{T}_{\ell}(\hat{p},\eta_0) \zeta(\mathbf{k})$$

Image Credit: ESA

And the transfer functions

BIAS AND NON-GAUSSIANITY

GOAL: Understand clustering properties of **Dark Matter**...





Image Credit: Millenium Simulation

Tracers in this work: GW Binaries

Desjaques et al, [1611.09797]

How does primordial non-gaussianity affect the evolution of structures in the Universe?

Additional scale dependent contribution

- 1. Models of Inflation
- 2. Large Scales

Dalal et al., [0710.4560] Matarrese, Verde [0801.4826] Slosar et al., [0805.3580]

CROSS-CORRELATION PRESCRIPTION

Evaluation of the Cross-Correlation between the AGWB and the CMB anisotropies considering the presence of an additional contribution to the bias due to the presence of NG

$$\langle a_{\ell m}^{\alpha} a_{\ell' m'}^{\beta*} \rangle = \delta_{mm'} \delta_{\ell\ell'} C_{\ell}^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$a_{\ell m}^{\text{CMB}} a_{\ell'm'}^{*\text{AGWB}} = \sum_{[i]\alpha} \int \frac{dk_1}{(2\pi)^3} k_1^2 \mathcal{S}_{\ell}^{[i]\alpha}(\hat{k}_1) \mathcal{T}_{\ell}^{*}(k_1) P_{\zeta}(k_1)$$

$$C_{\ell}^{\text{GW} \times \text{CMB}} = \boxed{C_{\ell}^{\text{ISW} \times \delta_m^{(SC)}}} + C_{\ell}^{\text{ISW} \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v})} + \dots$$
Dominant Contribution

RESULTS (1)

b = 1.5

I modified the CLASS Code



AGWB anisotropies

Bias correction

+

Astrophysical Module



Gabriele Perna

GW Orchestra

RESULTS (2)



Gabriele Perna

GW Orchestra

18/09/24

3. IMPRINTS OF NG ON THE SIGWB

- Theoretical treatment
- SIGWB Spectrum
- Imprints of nG
- Results

SCALAR-INDUCED GWS

It is possible to generate GWs by means of mode coupling of scalar modes at second order in perturbation theory. A large range of scales has been constrained by the CMB,
 BUT a huge window at the smaller scales remains unconstrained... SIGWs provide a complementary way to probe the power spectrum at the scales of interest.



Gabriele Perna

SOURCING SIGW

Start from the conformal metric, written up to **second** order in perturbations

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= a^2(\eta) \Bigg\{ - \left(1 + 2\Phi^{(1)} + \Phi^{(2)} \right) d\eta^2 \\ &+ 2\omega_i^{(2)} d\eta dx^i + \left[(1 - 2\Psi^{(1)} - \Psi^{(2)}) \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij} \right] dx^i dx^j \Bigg\} \end{split}$$

To obtain the equation for GWs, accounting for the presence of scalar perturbation.

Einstein equations

Projecting on the *Transverse-Traceless* Gauge to extract the gauge invariant modes



SIGWB SPECTRUM

$$\langle h_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{k}_1, \eta_1) h_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{k}_2, \eta_2) \rangle = 16 \int \frac{d^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \frac{d^3 q_2}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} Q_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{q}_1) I(|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1|, q_1, \eta_1) \\ \times Q_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{q}_2) I(|\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2|, q_2, \eta_2) \\ \times \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}_2) \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2) \rangle$$

Mapping to observable quantities

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(k,\eta) \equiv \frac{\rho_{\rm GW}(k,\eta)}{\rho_{tot}(\eta)} = \frac{1}{48} \left(\frac{k}{a(\eta)H(\eta)}\right)^2 \sum_{\lambda=+,\times} \overline{\Delta_{h,\lambda}^2(k,\eta)} \, .$$

At the end

$$\Omega_{\rm GW,0}(k)h^2 = \Omega_{rad,0}h^2 \left(\frac{g_{*,0}}{g_{*,e}}\right)^{1/3} \Omega_{\rm GW,e}(k)$$

e.g. [Watanabe, Komatsu; '06]

IMPRINTS OF NON-GAUSSIANITY

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{GW},0}(k)h^2 \propto \int d^3q_1 d^3q_2 \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}_1)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{q}_1)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}_2)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{q}_2) \rangle$$

Focus on local non-Gaussianity

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{R}_g(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\rm NL}(\mathcal{R}_g^2(\mathbf{x}) - \langle \mathcal{R}_g^2 \rangle) + g_{\rm NL}\mathcal{R}_g^3(\mathbf{x}) + h_{\rm NL}(\mathcal{R}_g^4(\mathbf{x}) - 3\langle \mathcal{R}_g^2 \rangle^2) + i_{\rm NL}\mathcal{R}_g^5(\mathbf{x})$$

Why considering up to the 5th order in the expansion?

- Properly account for all the possible contributions at the next-to and next-tonext-to leading order in the perturbations
- Perform the calculations without any assumption on the hierarchy among the nG parameters.

IMPRINTS OF NON-GAUSSIANITY

$$\mathcal{R}_{(\mathbf{x})}^{^{\mathrm{NG}}} = \mathcal{R}_{g}(\mathbf{x}) + f_{\mathrm{NL}}(\mathcal{R}_{g}^{2}(\mathbf{x}) - \langle \mathcal{R}_{g}^{2} \rangle) + g_{\mathrm{NL}}\mathcal{R}_{g}^{3}(\mathbf{x}) + h_{\mathrm{NL}}(\mathcal{R}_{g}^{4}(\mathbf{x}) - 3\langle \mathcal{R}_{g}^{2} \rangle^{2}) + i_{\mathrm{NL}}\mathcal{R}_{g}^{5}(\mathbf{x})$$

In Fourier space

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{1}}^{\mathrm{NG}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{2}}^{\mathrm{NG}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{3}}^{\mathrm{NG}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{4}}^{\mathrm{NG}} \rangle$$

Leading Order 4-point =
$$\langle \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{4}} \rangle$$

NT LO 6-point + $\langle \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{4}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{5}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{6}} \rangle$
NTNT LO 8-point + $\langle \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{1}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{2}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{3}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{4}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{5}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{6}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{7}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{k}_{8}}$

Leading Order



100

FEYNMAN DIAGRAMS

$$\Omega_{\rm GW,0}(k)h^2 \propto \int d^3q_1 d^3q_2 \ \langle \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}_1)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{q}_1)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{q}_2)\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{q}_2)\rangle$$



RESULTS



RESULTS



Gabriele Perna

RESULTS

gNL = -15 fNL = -0.9Gaussian hNL = 0hNL = 30 10^{-2} LISA Sensitivity hNL = -30 10^{-5} $\Omega_{\rm GW,\,0}(f)h^2$ 10⁻⁸ 10^{-11} 10^{-14} 10^{-17} 10-5 10^{-4} 10-3 10-2 10^{-1} *f* [Hz]



Gabriele Perna

GW Orchestra



$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}} = 1.00 \mathrm{e}\text{-}02 \pm 2.06 \mathrm{e}\text{-}05 \\ \sigma = 1.00 \mathrm{e}\text{-}01 \pm 4.12 \mathrm{e}\text{-}04 \\ f_* = 5.00 \mathrm{e}\text{-}03 \pm 1.50 \mathrm{e}\text{-}06 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} f_{\mathrm{NL}} = 4.00 \mathrm{e}\text{+}00 \pm 1.48 \mathrm{e}\text{-}02 \\ g_{\mathrm{NL}} = -1.00 \mathrm{e}\text{+}01 \pm 1.33 \mathrm{e}\text{-}01 \\ h_{\mathrm{NL}} = 1.00 \mathrm{e}\text{+}01 \pm 2.77 \mathrm{e}\text{-}01 \end{array}$$

Gabriele Perna

GW Orchestra

18/09/24

CONCLUSIONS

- Constraining primordial non-Gaussianity is fundamental to unveil the dynamics of the early-Universe
- The resulting imprints on the GW anisotropies of the astrophysical background could be important on the largest-scales and in principle detectable by future interferometers.
- On the other hand, the presence of nG could leave specific imprints on the SIGW signal that could be detectable with LISA.
- More in detail LISA could be able to measure both the shape parameters and the nG parameters, with higher order terms able to break degeneracies

Thank you!

Gabriele Perna