

University of Paris

Institut de physique du globe de Paris

Isostasy Revisited: A Novel Approach to an Age-Old Theory

PhD candidate: Shayan Kamali

Supervisors: Dr. Alessandro Forte Dr. Marianne Greff

March 2024

学 は	Introduction	Method	Results	Conclusion
Observed Topography				





























Geodynamic observable and lateral density variation

Corresponding kernel function

$$\delta \mathrm{O}_\ell^m = f_\ell \int_b^a \overset{\uparrow}{K_\ell (\eta; r')} (
ho_1)_\ell^m (r') \mathrm{d} r'$$

Geodynamic observable

Lateral density variation





Geodynamic observable and lateral density variation

Corresponding kernel function

$$\delta \mathrm{O}_\ell^m = f_\ell \int_b^a \overset{\uparrow}{K_\ell (\eta; r')} (
ho_1)_\ell^m (r') \mathrm{d} r'$$

Geodynamic observable

Lateral density variation











Residual topography

















120

Residual topography













Residual topography











0.0

Km

-4.5









Harmonic degree



Geodynamically consistent approach



• Self-gravitating





• Geodynamically consistent approach





• Geodynamically consistent approach





• Geodynamically consistent approach





- Residual topography and geoid is a consequence of dynamic processes occurring within the mantle.
- Residual geoid is not identically zero, and it must be used in combination with topography as constraint on the mantle density hetrogeneity.
- Residual topography uncertainty due to different crustal models has often been overlooked.
- Uncertainty in the multi-layer crustal models can lead to significant uncertainty ($\sim 50\%$) in the dynamic topography.
- Classic isostasy theroy is a symplified model for mantle-crust system by ignoring mantle compressibility and self-gravitating.
- Geodynamically consistant approach, derived from viscous flow model, compensates to some extent the simplifications of the classical isostasy theory.



Thanks for your attention