

1

NUSYM24 – XIIth International Symposium on Nuclear Symmetry Energy

A new paradigm in the consistent extraction of nuclear symmetry energy and related properties using the relativistic application of coherent density fluctuation model

Presented by : **Praveen Kumar Yadav**

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Raj Kumar Associate Professor TIET Patiala, India

Dr. Mrutunjaya Bhuyan

Assistant Professor University of Malaya, Malaysia

Introduction & need for Isospin based study

- ✓ Exploration of nuclear properties close to the β-stability line can be easily performed using the traditional bulk properties.
- \checkmark However, moving away from the stability line, the dominance of traditional observables begins to drop, and isospin-dependent quantities come into prominence.

✓ Isospin asymmetry

$$
\alpha = \frac{(N-Z)}{N+Z}
$$

Phys. Rev. C 85, 064319 (2012). Phys. Rev. C 97, 024322 (2018) Chin. Phys. C 46, 084101 (2022).

Symmetry Energy

Symmetry energy \Box **variation of B.E**. as the neutron to proton ratio of a nuclear system is varied

$$
S^{NM}(\rho)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon}{\partial \alpha^2}\right)
$$

❖ **Current aim to study finite nuclei using Symmetry energy**

Phys. Rev. C 97, 024322 (2018)

Finding the key

• CDFM : Density distribution of nuclear matter fluctuates around average distribution while maintaining spherical symmetry and uniformity.

• The density **ρ(r, r′)** of a finite nucleus can be rewritten as the coherent superposition of infinite number of onebody density matrix (OBDM) **ρ^x (r, r′)** for spherical parts of nuclear matter called **Fluctons**.

```
Bulg. J. Phys. 6, 151 (1979).
Z. Phys. A 304, 239 (1982).
```
Methodology of CDFM

- Spherical flucton density:
- Weight function:

 $\rho_o(x) = 3A/4\pi x^3$

Phys. Rev. C 85, 064319 (2012). Phys. Rev. C97, 024322 (2018)

Total density distribution ρ and corresponding weight function $|F(x)|^2$ as a function of nuclear distance r

Phys. Rev. C 85, 064319 (2012). Phys. Rev. C97, 024322 (2018)

Choice of energy density functional

- The original CDFM formalism makes use of Brüeckner's prescription.
- The studies based on Brüeckner's prescription have significantly improved our understanding of nuclear matter properties.
- The studies have successfully verified various experimental shell closures across various isotopic & isotonic chains.

Phys. Rev. C 85, 064319 (2012). Phys. Rev. C 97, 024322 (2018) Chin. Phys. C 46, 084101 (2022).

Using CDFM with Brueckner EDF

corresponding weight function $|F(x)|^2$ (lower panel) as a function of nuclear distance x

Chin. Phys. C 46, 084101 (2022).

Department of Physics & Materials Science, Thapar Institute of Engineering & Technology, INDIA **9**

neutron number *N*

Relativistic energy density functional

- The next step is to include relativistic prescription within the CDFM, i.e. make use of Relativistic energy density functional (R-EDF).
- Recently, we have introduced a parameterization of R-EDF in Ref: *[Europhys. Lett., 146, 14001 (2024).]*
- The newly fitted R-EDF with CDFM *[Europhys. Lett., 146, 14001 (2024)]* inherently counters the Coester-band problem.
- This novel parametrization based on R-EDF provides precise results in the study of nuclear structure at drip-line & possible correlations of isospin properties with the nuclear bulk properties.

Parameterization of EDF

The energy per nucleon (E/A) as a function of total number density (ρ) of DD-ME2 and NL3 parameter sets for different asymmetry

The parametrization resulted in the root-mean-square deviation of E/A for DD-ME2 and NL3 as 0.07704 and 0.19146 MeV, respectively.

Europhys. Lett., 146, 14001 (2024)

Results using Relativistic-EDF within CDFM

The calculated symmetry energy (S), volume (S_V) & surface symmetry (S_S) energy using the parameterized Relativistic-EDF within the CDFM formalism.

Summary / Take away

• CDFM formalism can be successfully used to study finite nuclei across nuclear chart.

Rather than using Brueckner-EDF, with the incorporation of Relativistic prescription (Relativsitic-EDF) we can avoid the **Coester band problem**.

This method can successfully verify exiting experimental shell closure & predict novel ones.

Extra Sildes

Following the literature, as detailed in Ref. [Z Physik A 297, 257–260 (1980), JINR-E-2-11282, and Z Physik 304, 239–243 (1982)] we can derive the expression of the weight function.

The mixed density, whose Fourier transformation is connected with the momentum distribution, can be written quite generally in the form:

$$
\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 \rho_x(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') dx. \tag{1}
$$

Here, $|\mathcal{F}(x)|^2$ is the weight function for the different uniform distributions in the average density distribution. Moreover, ρ_x is the density matrix for A nucleons uniformly distributed in the sphere with radius x and spherical flucton density $\rho_o(x) = 3A/4\pi x^3$, expressed as:

$$
\rho_x(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 3\rho_0(x) \frac{J_1(k_F(x)|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)}{(k_F(x)|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)}.
$$
\n(2)

Here, J_1 is a Bessel function of first-order, and $k_F(x)$ is known as the Fermi momentum of the nucleons given as:

$$
k_F(x) = \left(\frac{3\pi^2}{2}\rho_o(x)\right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{9\pi A}{8}\right)^{1/3}.
$$
 (3)

It is important to note that Eq. 1 relates to a general CDFM assertion that the density distribution of nuclear matter fluctuates around average distribution while maintaining spherical symmetry and uniformity. Following the definition of density matrix, one-particle density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is given by its diagonal elements as:

$$
\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \big|_{\mathbf{r}' \to \mathbf{r}}.
$$
\n(4)

Using Eqs. 1 and 4 gives

$$
\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 \rho_x(\mathbf{r}) dx,\tag{5}
$$

which is a decomposition of ρ in terms of Θ -like densities as:

$$
\rho_x(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0(x)\theta(x-\mathbf{r}), \quad \theta(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \ge 0 \\ 0, & y < 0 \end{cases}.
$$
 (6)

Consequently, after the differentiation of Eq. 5 results in:

$$
|\mathcal{F}(x)|^2 = -\frac{1}{\rho_o(x)} \frac{d\rho(r)}{dr} \bigg|_{r=x},\tag{7}
$$

Why RMF?

- Main advantage: Takes account of spin-orbit interactions automatically.
- Coester band problem (Nuclear saturation & binding energy) is solved by relativistic models.
- Empirical saturation point of symmetric nuclear matter that is $E/A \approx -16$ MeV at $\rho \approx 0.2$ fm–3 instead of $\rho \approx 0.15$ fm−3, commonly known as the Coaster-Band problem.
- Provides reasonably good description of finite nuclei & infinite nuclear matter Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **37**, 193 (1996), Phys. Rev. C **97**, 024322 (2018).