

▼ User Menu

■ Home

■ Manage Accounts

■ Change Password

■ Edit Profile

■ Logout

▼ Submissions Menu

■ Submit Manuscript

■ Display Submitted Manuscripts

■ English Editing

■ Discount Vouchers

■ Invoices

■ LaTeX Word Count

▼ Reviewers Menu

■ Volunteer Preferences

Journal

Physical Sciences Forum (ISSN 2673-9984)

Manuscript ID

psf-2088638



Type

Proceeding Paper

Title

DEVELOPMENT OF A CLOCK GENERATION AND TIME DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM FOR HYPER-KAMIOKANDE

Authors

Lucile Mellet \*  , Mathieu Guigue  , Boris Popov , Stefano Russo , Vincent Voisin

Abstract

The construction of the next-generation water Cherenkov detector Hyper-Kamiokande (HK) has started. It will have an about ten times larger fiducial volume compared to the existing Super-Kamiokande detector and increased detection performances. The data taking is planned from 2027. Time stability is crucial, as detecting physics events relies on reconstructing Cherenkov rings based on the coincidence between the photomultipliers. The above requires a distributed clock jitter at each endpoint smaller than 100 ps. In addition, since this detector will be mainly used to detect neutrinos produced by the J-PARC accelerator in Tokai, each event needs to be timed-tagged with a precision better than 100 ns with respect to UTC in order to be associated with a proton spill from J-PARC, or events observed in other detectors for multi-messenger astronomy. The HK collaboration is in an R&D phase and several groups are working in parallel for the electronics system. This proceeding will present the studies performed at LPNHE (Paris) related to a novel design for the time synchronization system. We will discuss the clock generation, including the connection scheme between the GNSS receiver (Septentrio) and the atomic clock (free-running Rubidium), the precise calibration of atomic clock and algorithms to account for errors on satellites orbits, the redundancy of the system ; and a two-stage distribution system that sends the clock and various timing-sensitive information to each front-end electronics module, using a custom protocol.

Author's Reply to the Review Report (Reviewer 3)

Please provide a point-by-point response to the reviewer’s comments and either enter it in the box below or upload it as a Word/PDF file. Please write down "Please see the attachment." in the box if you only upload an attachment. An example can be found [here](#).

\* Author's Notes to Reviewer

FileEditViewInsertFormatToolsTableHelp

Paragraph

↶↷↺↻BBIx²x₂SSΩ🔗

A

▼

▼

↶↷

13

▼

⋮

⋮

▼

≡≡≡≡

I<sub>x</sub>

<>

P0 WORDS

Word / PDF

Choisir le fichier

aucun fichier sélectionné

Submit

or

Save as draft (submit later)

Review Report Form

Quality of English Language

☐ English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible

☐ Extensive editing of English language and style required

☐ Moderate English changes required

☒ English language and style are fine/minor spell check required

☐ I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Are all the cited references relevant to the research?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Is the research design appropriate?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Are the methods adequately described?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Are the results clearly presented?	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

Minor suggestions:

-Subsection 1.2 title, would be better "Timing needs and requirements"

-Subsection 1.2 line 46, get rid of "to keep it simple"

-Subsection 2.1 line 69, rephrase "at more or less short term", maybe specify "short term"

-Subsection 2.3, line 95, "For this, it is needed to use the standard "Common view technique" of time transfer (software used is available here : [11])", it would be better "The use of.... is needed", get rid of the colon before the ref [11]

- Subsection 3.1 line 109 Instead of "after many cross-checks" it would be better: "After the required cross-checks and calibrations"


-References: I think just the web links are ok without "Available online"

Submission Date

25 November 2022

Date of this review

16 Nov 2023 16:49:13



© 1996–2023 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

DisclaimerTerms and ConditionsPrivacy Policy