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## Outline:

## $\rightarrow$ Introduction:

Why quantum computing and high-energy physics?

- Basics of high-energy physics
$\rightarrow$ How to compute a cross section
- Basics of quantum computing
$\rightarrow$ How to build a quantum circuit
- Applications
$\rightarrow$ Quantum integration [Agliardi, Grossi, MP, Prati; 2201.01547]
$\rightarrow$ Colour amplitudes [Chawdhry, MP; 2303.04818]
- Last discovery in high-energy physics (2012 @ LHC, CERN): the Higgs boson!



## LHC @ CERN, Geneva (Switzerland)

$\rightarrow 27 \mathrm{~km}$-long tunnel where protons are collided at high-energy (13.7 TeV currently)
$\rightarrow$ Allow to probe fundamental interactions


## Life at the LHC (in reality)



## Life at the LHC (on the theory side)

- Factorisation of mechanisms at:
- high-energy (perturbative)
$\rightarrow$ Hard-scattering, parton-shower
- low-energy (non-perturbative)
$\rightarrow$ parton-distribution function, hadronisation, underlying events, ...
- Monte Carlo method/integration!
- All aspects are relevant when comparing theory predictions against experimental measurements!

- $\mathrm{LHC}=$ machine to measure cross sections ...



## Computing problem in high-energy physics


$\rightarrow$ Event generation:
$\sim 15 \%$ of $\sim 3$ billion cpuh. $\mathrm{y}^{-1}$
$\rightarrow$ More in: [Buckley; 1908.00167], [Valassi et al:; 2004.13687]

## Computing problem in high-energy physics


[ATLAS; CERN-LHCC-2022-005]
$\rightarrow$ Event generation:
$\sim 15 \%$ of $\sim 3$ billion cpuh. ${ }^{-1}$
$\rightarrow$ More in: [Buckley; 1908.00167], [Valassi et al; 2004. 13687]

- One possible solution: GPU
$\rightarrow$ Selected references: [Borowka et al:; 1811.11720],
[Carrazza et al.; 2002.12921, 2009.06635, 2106.10279], [Bothmann et al.; 2106.06507] $+\rightarrow$ Talk1 $+\rightarrow$ Talk2


## Computing problem in high-energy physics


$\rightarrow$ Event generation:
$\sim 15 \%$ of $\sim 3$ billion cpuh. ${ }^{-1}$
$\rightarrow$ More in: [Buckley; 1908.00167], [Valassi et al; 2004. 13687]

- One possible solution: GPU
$\rightarrow$ Selected references: [Borowka et al:; 1811.11720],
[Carrazza et al.; 2002.12921, 2009.06635, 2106.10279], [Bothmann et al.; 2106.06507] $+\rightarrow$ Talk1 $+\rightarrow$ Talk2
[ATLAS; CERN-LHCC-2022-005]
Year
- Can quantum computing be of any use in HEP?
$\rightarrow$ to compute things faster/more efficiently?
$\rightarrow$ to compute new things?


## Quantum computers


[IBM]

[Landscape with the worship of the Golden calf, Claude Lorrain, Staatliche Kunsthalle, Karlsruhe (Germany)]

## Quantum applications in high-energy physics

$\rightarrow$ Quantum applications still in their infancy!

- Is it possible?
- Is there a (theoretical) quantum advantage?
- Is it more resource efficient than CPU/GPU?
$\rightarrow$ Look at the example of quantum simulation/integration in HEP!


## Reviews

- [Gray, Terashi; Gray:2022fou] (selected topics)
- [Delgado et al:; 2033.08805] (Snowmass)
- [Klco et al:; 2107.04769] (lattice)


## Selected references

- Amplitude/loop integrals: [Ramirez-Uribe et al.; 2105.08703], [Bepari, Malik, Spannowsky, Williams; 2010.00046], [Chawdhry, MP; 2303.04818]
- Parton shower: [Bauer, de Jong, Nachman, Provasoli; 1904.03196], [Bepari, Malik, Spannowsky, Williams; 2010.00046], [Williams, Malik, Spannowsky, Bepari; 2109.13975], [Chigusa, Yamazaki; 2204.12500], [Gustafson, Prestel, Spannowsky, Williams; 2207.10694], [Bauer, Chigusa, Yamazaki; 2310.19881]
- Machine learning: [Filipek et al; 2105.04582], [Bravo-Prieto et al; 2110.06933], [Alvi, Bauer, Nachman; 2206.08391]
- Others: [Ciavarella; 2007.04447], [Perez-Salinas, Cruz-Martinez, Alhajiri, Carrazza: 2011.13934], [Bauer, Freytsis, Nachman; 2102.05044], [Martenez de Lejarza, Cieri, Rodrigo; 2204.06496], [Agliardi, Grossi, MP, Prati; 2201.01547], [Martenez de Lejarza et al.; 2401.03023], [Cruz-Martinez, Robbiati, Carrazza; 2308.05657]
- Basics of high-energy physics
$\rightarrow$ How to compute a cross section


## Cross section (definition)

$\rightarrow$ Probability to measure a scattering process
$\rightarrow$ Predictable theoretically and measurable experimentally!

$$
\sigma \propto \int \mathrm{d} \Phi|\mathcal{M}|^{2}
$$

- d $\Phi$ : phase-space, depends on final-state particles $\rightarrow$ encodes kinematics
- $|\mathcal{M}|^{2}$ : matrix element of the scattering process
$\rightarrow$ encodes underlying theory and depends on kinematics


## Cross section [example] (I)

$$
\mathrm{e}^{+}\left(p_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-}\left(p_{2}\right) \rightarrow q\left(k_{1}\right) \bar{q}\left(k_{2}\right)
$$



## Cross section [example] (I)

$$
\mathrm{e}^{+}\left(p_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-}\left(p_{2}\right) \rightarrow q\left(k_{1}\right) \bar{q}\left(k_{2}\right)
$$



- $\mathrm{d} \Phi \propto \mathrm{d} \phi \mathrm{d} \cos \theta$
- $|\mathcal{M}|^{2} \propto 1+\cos ^{2} \theta$
$\Rightarrow \sigma_{\text {incl }} \propto \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi \int_{-1}^{+1} \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)$
$\rightarrow$ No dependence on $\phi$ (symmetry around $z$ axis)

$\rightarrow$ inclusive cross section
(integration over whole phase space)


## Cross section [example] (II)

- Experimental measurements performed in parts of the phase space
- Experiments also measure observables
$\rightarrow$ angles, transverse momentum
- Analytical integration not an option!


## Cross section [example] (II)

- Experimental measurements performed in parts of the phase space
- Experiments also measure observables
$\rightarrow$ angles, transverse momentum
- Analytical integration not an option!
$\Rightarrow$ Solution: Monte Carlo integration!
$\rightarrow \phi=2 \pi \cdot x_{1}, \cos \theta=2 \cdot x_{2}-1$
$\Rightarrow \sigma \propto 2 \pi \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2}\left(1+\left(2 x_{2}-1\right)^{2}\right) \Theta\left(g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$
- $\Theta\left(g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)$ encodes experimental selection

- Error scaling as $1 / \sqrt{N_{\text {points }}}$


## Cross section (Monte Carlo)

$\rightarrow$ In general:

$$
\sigma \propto \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{n} f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \Theta\left(g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

## Cross section (Monte Carlo)

$\rightarrow$ In general:

$$
\sigma \propto \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{n} f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \Theta\left(g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

- For a given observable $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\sigma=\sum_{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{O}^{i}}=\sum_{i, l} c_{i l} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} x_{l}^{i}}
$$

- Integrating $=$ guessing the values of a function at specific points (Riemann sum)
- More complex calculations ...
... more integration variables

... more computing resources!
- Basics of quantum computing
$\rightarrow$ How to build a quantum circuit

Literature:

- Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Nielsen and Chuang
- Programming Quantum Computers, Johnston, Harrigan, and Gimeno-Segovia

French Advances/My Doctor Fired Me/Love App-tually


IT PROMISES TO SOLVE SOME OF HUMANITY'S MOST COMPLEX PROBLEMS. IT'S BACKED

BY JEFF BEZOS, NASA AND THE CIA.
EACH ONE COSTS $\$ 10,000,000$ AND OPERATES
AT $459^{\circ}$ BELOW ZERO. AND NOBODY KNOWS
HOW IT ACTUALE WORKS
THE INEINITY MACHINE
BYLEVGROSSMAN

$\rightarrow$ Any state can be written as
$|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle=\binom{\alpha}{\beta}$,
with $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1$

## $\rightarrow$ Representation


$\rightarrow$ Any state can be written as

$$
|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle=\binom{\alpha}{\beta},
$$

with $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1$
|1)

## $0.707 \mid 0)+0.707|1\rangle$

$0.95|0\rangle+0.35|1\rangle$
$0.707|0\rangle-0.707|1\rangle$

$\rightarrow$ In quantum mechanics (and in quantum computing), any operation $A$ is unitary

$$
\psi \xrightarrow{A} \psi^{\prime}
$$

$A|\psi\rangle=\left|\psi^{\prime}\right\rangle$ with $A^{*} A=A A^{*}=\operatorname{Id}$

$$
|\psi\rangle-A-\left|\psi^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

## Example of gates (I)

Pauli-X (X)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle \rightarrow \alpha|1\rangle+\beta|0\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$



Hadamard (H)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle \rightarrow \alpha \frac{|0\rangle+|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}+\beta \frac{|0\rangle-|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Example of gates (II)

## Controlled not (CNOT, CX)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C X=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& |00\rangle \rightarrow|00\rangle ;|01\rangle \rightarrow|01\rangle ; \\
& |10\rangle \rightarrow|11\rangle ;|11\rangle \rightarrow|10\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$



- If 0 nothing happens, if 1 CX!
- Control qubit (top) and target qubit (bottom)


## Example of gates (III)

## Generalised controlled gate (CU)

$C U=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{Id}_{2} & 0 \\ 0 & U\end{array}\right]$
$\rightarrow$ One control qubit and ...
... many target qubits


## Measurement process

$\rightarrow$ State: $|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle$
$\rightarrow$ Probabilities: $\begin{cases}\text { for } & |0\rangle: \alpha^{2} \\ \text { for } & |0\rangle: \beta^{2}\end{cases}$


NB: Probability obtained after many measurements!

## Measurement process

$\rightarrow$ State: $|\psi\rangle=\alpha|0\rangle+\beta|1\rangle$
$\rightarrow$ Probabilities: $\begin{cases}\text { for } & |0\rangle: \alpha^{2} \\ \text { for } & |0\rangle: \beta^{2}\end{cases}$


NB: Probability obtained after many measurements!
$\rightarrow \alpha$ and $\beta$ encode information that can be measured/computed!

- Applications
$\rightarrow$ Quantum integration
[Agliardi, Grossi, MP, Prati; 2201.01547]
$\rightarrow$ Colour amplitudes


## Grover algorithm/iteration

- Very general quantum algorithm
- Quadratic speed up
$\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{N})$ operations instead of $\mathcal{O}(N)$
- Most famous example: unstructured database search



## Grover algorithm/iteration

- Example (from [Johnston, Harrigan, Gimeno-Segovia; Programming Quantum Computers])

$\rightarrow$ What solution is contained in our quantum register?


## Grover algorithm/iteration

$\rightarrow$ Applying a Grover iteration


## Grover algorithm/iteration

$\rightarrow$ Applying a Grover iteration

$\rightarrow$ Applying it twice


## Quantum Amplitude Estimate (QAE)

$$
\mathcal{A}|0\rangle=\sqrt{1-a}\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle+\sqrt{a}\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle
$$

QAE estimates a with high probability such that the estimation error scales as $\mathcal{O}(1 / M)$ [as opposed to $\mathcal{O}(1 / \sqrt{M})$ ]
$M$ : number of applications of $\mathcal{A}$

## Quantum Amplitude Estimate (QAE)

$$
\mathcal{A}|0\rangle=\sqrt{1-a}\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle+\sqrt{a}\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle
$$

QAE estimates a with high probability such that the estimation error scales as $\mathcal{O}(1 / M)$ [as opposed to $\mathcal{O}(1 / \sqrt{M})$ ]
$M$ : number of applications of $\mathcal{A}$
$\rightarrow$ What the (orignal) algorithm provides:

- An estimate: $\tilde{a}=\sin ^{2}\left(\tilde{\theta}_{a}\right)$ with $\tilde{\theta}_{a}=y \pi / M, y \in\{0, \ldots, M-1\}$, and $M=2^{n}$
- A success probability (that can be increased by repeating the algorithm)
- A bound: $|a-\tilde{a}| \leq \mathcal{O}(1 / M)$


## Quantum Amplitude Estimate (QAE)

$\rightarrow$ Basis of quantum Monte Carlo integration and $\mathcal{O}(1 / M)$ scaling
$\rightarrow$ Various algorithms/implementations available

[Intallura et al.; 2303.04945]

## Quantum Amplitude Estimate (QAE)

$\rightarrow$ Basis of quantum Monte Carlo integration and $\mathcal{O}(1 / M)$ scaling
$\rightarrow$ Various algorithms/implementations available

[Grinko, Gacon, Zoufal, Woerner; 1912.05559]
Resulting estimation error for $a=1 / 2$ and $95 \%$ confidence level with respect to the required total number of oracle queries.

## Quantum integration

Extension to

$$
\mathcal{A}|0\rangle=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle
$$

$\rightarrow$ Definition of a piece-wise function with $f\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i}$.

## Quantum integration

Extension to

$$
\mathcal{A}|0\rangle=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle
$$

$\rightarrow$ Definition of a piece-wise function with $f\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i}$.

- So far used in finance for simple functions in 1D
$\rightarrow$ Applicable to HEP? What are the limitations?


$$
I=\int \mathrm{d} x f(x) g(x)
$$

## Quantum integration

Extension to

$$
\mathcal{A}|0\rangle=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle
$$

$\rightarrow$ Definition of a piece-wise function with $f\left(x_{i}\right)=a_{i}$.

- So far used in finance for simple functions in 1D
$\rightarrow$ Applicable to HEP? What are the limitations?


$$
I=\int \mathrm{d} x f(x) g(x)
$$

- In finance:
- $f$ : probability
- $g$ : payoff
- In HEP:
- $f:|\mathcal{M}|^{2}$
- $g: \Theta\left(\Phi-\Phi_{C}\right)$


## Applications

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}$ (in QED)

$$
\sigma \sim \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)
$$

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{q}}^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & \sim \int_{M_{W}^{2}}^{s} \int_{0}^{s_{1}^{\operatorname{Max}}} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{3}\left|\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q}^{\prime} \mathrm{W}}\right|^{2} \\
& \sim \int_{M_{W}^{2}}^{s} \int_{0}^{s_{1}^{\mathrm{Max}}} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{\Phi}_{3}\left|\mathcal{M}^{\prime}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}=\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow q \bar{q}^{\prime} \mathrm{W}}\left(\cos \theta_{1}=0, \phi_{1}=\pi / 2, \phi_{2}=\pi / 2\right)$.

## Loading of distribution / encoding into qubits

Encoding the distribution to be integrated into qubits

- Exact loading [Shende, Bullock, Markov, quant-ph/0406176] (resource intensive)
- Using quantum machine learning (qGAN) [Zoufal, Lucchi, Woerner; 1904.00043] (not exact)


## Loading of distribution / encoding into qubits

Encoding the distribution to be integrated into qubits

- Exact loading [Shende, Bullock, Markov, quant-ph/0406176] (resource intensive)
- Using quantum machine learning (qGAN) [Zoufal, Lucchi, Woerner; 1904.00043] (not exact)
- Example: Exact loadine $-1+x^{2}$
$\rightarrow 3$ qubits: $2^{3}=8$ bins



## Integration - $1+x^{2}$

- Matching boundary of integration (3 qubits $\Rightarrow 2^{3}$ bins)

| Domain | low stat. |  | high stat. |  | very high stat. |  | exact |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ |
| $[-0.75 ; 0]$ | 0.345 | -3.31 | 0.332 | 0.706 | 0.334 | 0.0331 | 0.334 | $-8.31 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $[-0.5 ; 0]$ | 0.215 | -5.86 | 0.201 | 1.15 | 0.203 | 0.0986 | 0.203 | -0.0161 |
| $[-0.25 ; 0]$ | 0.112 | -17.1 | 0.0939 | 1.87 | 0.0960 | -0.284 | 0.0957 | -0.0389 |

## Integration - $1+x^{2}$

- Matching boundary of integration (3 qubits $\Rightarrow 2^{3}$ bins)

| Domain | low stat. |  | high stat. |  | very high stat. |  | exact |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ |
| $[-0.75 ; 0]$ | 0.345 | -3.31 | 0.332 | 0.706 | 0.334 | 0.0331 | 0.334 | $-8.31 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $[-0.5 ; 0]$ | 0.215 | -5.86 | 0.201 | 1.15 | 0.203 | 0.0986 | 0.203 | -0.0161 |
| $[-0.25 ; 0]$ | 0.112 | -17.1 | 0.0939 | 1.87 | 0.0960 | -0.284 | 0.0957 | -0.0389 |

- Non-matching boundary of integration

|  | $[-0.7 ; 0.6]$ |  |  |  | $[-0.625 ; 0.375]$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qubits number | high stat. |  |  | exact |  | high stat. |  | exact |  |
|  | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ | $\sigma$ | $\delta[\%]$ |  |
| 3 | 0.402 | -28.0 | 0.406 | -27.1 | 0.296 | -28.1 | 0.299 | -27.5 |  |
| 4 | 0.463 | -17.0 | 0.468 | -16.0 | 0.408 | -1.07 | 0.412 | $5.96 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 5 | 0.527 | -5.46 | 0.532 | -4.62 | 0.408 | -1.07 | 0.412 | $5.96 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |
| 6 | 0.542 | -2.76 | 0.547 | -1.81 | 0.408 | -1.07 | 0.412 | $5.96 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |

## Integration - 2D




## Integration - 2D




| Qubits <br> number | Grid $\operatorname{dim}$. | $\mathcal{S}_{1}$ |  | $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $4 \times 4$ | 0.55 | 0 | 0.70 | -4.1 |
| 5 | $5 \times 5$ | 0.52 | -4.92 | 0.53 | -26.6 |
| 6 | $6 \times 6$ | 0.47 | -14.1 | 0.79 | 9 |
| 6 | $7 \times 7$ | 0.62 | -14.4 | 0.70 | -3.0 |
| 6 | $8 \times 8$ | 0.55 | 0 | 0.78 | 7.6 |

$\mathcal{S}_{1}$ : matching boundary of integration $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ : no matching boundary of integration
[Agliardi, Grossi, MP, Prati; 2201.01547]
Working but control of uncertainty crucial!

## Remarks

- Use Qiskit (IBM python software) subroutines and noiseless quantum simulation (perfect quantum computer)
- For present application, too many qubits for test on real hardware
$\rightarrow 4$ qubits for representation $\rightarrow 9$ total qubits
$\rightarrow 6$ qubits for representation $\rightarrow 13$ total qubits
- Largest quantum computer on IBM quantum experience: 7 qubits previously ( 127 qubits now)
$\rightarrow$ Simulators can go up to 5000 qubits


## Remarks

- Use Qiskit (IBM python software) subroutines and noiseless quantum simulation (perfect quantum computer)
- For present application, too many qubits for test on real hardware
$\rightarrow 4$ qubits for representation $\rightarrow 9$ total qubits
$\rightarrow 6$ qubits for representation $\rightarrow 13$ total qubits
- Largest quantum computer on IBM quantum experience: 7 qubits previously ( 127 qubits now)
$\rightarrow$ Simulators can go up to 5000 qubits


## Summary

- First application of quantum integration in HEP
- Theoretical quadratic speed-up
( $\rightarrow$ generation of classical data/analytical knowledge required)
- Main challenge: error estimate
- Applications
$\rightarrow$ Quantum integration
$\rightarrow$ Colour amplitudes
[Chawdhry, MP; 2303.04818]


## Alternative to loading - [Chawdhry, MP; 2303.04818]

$$
\mathcal{M} \sim \sum \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a_{1}} \ldots T^{a_{n}}\right) \mathcal{K}(1, \ldots, n)
$$

- Kinematic part: made of spinors and tensors
- Colour part: made of $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ generators of QCD



## Alternative to loading - [Chawdhry, MP; 2303.04818]

$$
\mathcal{M} \sim \sum \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a_{1}} \ldots T^{a_{n}}\right) \mathcal{K}(1, \ldots, n)
$$

- Kinematic part: made of spinors and tensors
- Colour part: made of $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ generators of QCD



## Remarks

- First step towards a full quantum amplitude/Monte Carlo
- Useful for a quantum parton shower


## Colour algebra in QCD - crash course

- $\left[T^{a}, T^{b}\right]=\mathrm{i} f_{a b c} T^{c}$.
- $T^{a}, T^{c}, \ldots: \mathrm{SU}(3)$ generators
- Gell-Mann matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
T^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -i & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
T^{5}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
i & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{6}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{7}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -i \\
0 & i & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{8}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Attention! $T^{a}$ are not unitary!
$\rightarrow$ In our example, colour factor: $T_{i j}^{a} T_{i j}^{a}=C_{F}$

## Quantum implementation of colour

- Gluon: 8 colours $\rightarrow 3$ qubits $\left(2^{3}\right)$
- Quark: 3 colours $\rightarrow 2$ qubits $\left(2^{2}\right)$
$\rightarrow$ Make non-unitary matrices unitary: extend dimension and modify them

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{T^{1}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \overline{T^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -\mathrm{i} & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \overline{T^{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \overline{T^{4}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \\
& \overline{T^{5}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -\mathrm{i} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathrm{i} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \overline{T^{6}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \overline{T^{7}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\mathrm{i} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{i} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \overline{T^{8}}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array} \quad 0 \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example



- One-to-one correspondence between Feynman diagram and circuit
- Gates for $q q g(Q)$ and $\operatorname{ggg}(G)$ vertices to simulate QCD (colour) interaction
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## (real-life) Example



Total counts are: \{'00000010101': 226, '00010010011': 342, '00000010110': 225, '00000001101': 696, '0001 0010010': 362, 'ம0000010001': 872, '00010001101': 2006, '00000001100': 643, '00010001100': 2006, '000100 00110': 1051, 'ข0000001111': 638, 'ข0000010010': 904, '00010000010': 1057, '00100010101': 52353, '000100 10100': 3342, '00100000101': 6942, '00010000111': 1046, '00000010111': 210, '00100001101': 36223, '00100 010111': 51877, '00000001110': 543, '00010010000': 4838, '00000010100': 5421, '00010000100': 1035, '0010 0000000': 107280, '00010000011': 1075, '00100000111': 6795, '00100001011': 145043, '00100010010': 10275 '00010000000': 65548, '00000000000'; 27415, '00010001111'; 2031, '00100000110': 7004, '00000001011'; 25 51, '00100001111': 36471, '00010010111': 8866, '00010000101': 1077, '00100010110': 52220, '00010010110' 9080, '00100010100': 185856, '00100001100': 36173, '00010010101': 8860, '00100010000': 14129, '00100001 110': 36925, '00100000100': 6858, '00100010011': 10182, '00100000001': 6950, '00010001110': 2018, '00100 000011': 6983, '00000010011': 815, '00010001011': 7957, '00010010001': 340,' '00100010001': 10163, '00010 000001': 1092, '00100000010':
$\rightarrow$ Trace defined in $|00000000000\rangle=\left|0_{11}\right\rangle$ state: $|\psi\rangle=\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{N}}\left|0_{11}\right\rangle+\ldots$
$\Rightarrow \frac{27415}{N_{\text {shots }}=1000000}$
$\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{N}}=\frac{\left(N_{c}=3\right) C_{F}}{N_{c}^{n_{q}=1}\left(N_{c}^{2}-1\right)^{n_{g}=1}}\right)^{2}$
$\rightarrow$ Colour factors encoded in one single state (as needed for QAE)
$\rightarrow$ Any colour factor computable
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- Colour factors as squared (done)
- Colour factor as amplitudes (on-going)
- Interferences (on-going)
$\rightarrow$ special feature of quantum computing $\rightarrow$ relevant for parton-shower
- First building blocks for full amplitude
- Quantum advantage? (in addition to QAE)

To compute colour factors for many gluons? (difficult problem)
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- Reliable error estimate
$\rightarrow$ Taking into account binning effects / multi-dimension integrand
- More natural definition of objects to be computed
$\rightarrow$ Example of colour algebra
- Estimate of resources needed for actual computation on near-term quantum computers (noise, connections, ...)
$\rightarrow$ On-going collaboration with Quantinuum (Cambridge, UK)
- Can there be quantum advantage for event generation?
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## Quantum Monte Carlo in HEP

- Is it possible?
$\rightarrow$ Yes.
- Is there a quantum advantage?
$\rightarrow$ In principle, yes. In practice, no.
- Is it more resource efficient than CPU/GPU?
$\rightarrow$ At the moment, no. In the future, not known.

- Are we witnessing a quantum revolution?
- When can we do useful quantum computations in the future?
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