





Prompt/Afterglow in the optical/NIR

VT, GWAC, GFTs with possibly other instruments

Jesse Palmerio







Focus on afterglow in the optical/NIR

Lightcurve and spectrum

See presentation by: Frédéric Daigne, Jérôme Guilet & Susanna Vergani

• Can be used to inform many properties (**redshift**, jet opening angle, viewing angle, circumburst medium, reverse shock, supernova (SN), kilonova (KN), host properties, etc.)







Focus on afterglow in the optical/NIR

Lightcurve and spectrum

See presentation by: Frédéric Daigne, Jérôme Guilet & Susanna Vergani

- Can be used to inform many properties (**redshift**, jet opening angle, viewing angle, circumburst medium, reverse shock, supernova (SN), kilonova (KN), host properties, etc.)
- Assuming we have a full data set from VT + GFTs + GWAC (and possibly other instruments for spectroscopy)
 What do we need to have interpretation-ready data?
 - Data acquisition
 - Data reduction
 - Data measurement
 - Data correction



GEPI l'Observatoire | PSL





Focus on afterglow in the optical/NIR

Lightcurve and spectrum

See presentation by: Frédéric Daigne, Jérôme Guilet & Susanna Vergani

- Can be used to inform many properties (**redshift**, jet opening angle, viewing angle, circumburst medium, reverse shock, supernova (SN), kilonova (KN), host properties, etc.)
- Assuming we have a full data set from VT + GFTs + GWAC (and possibly other instruments for spectroscopy)
 What do we need to have interpretation-ready data?
 - ➡ Data acquisition
 - Data reduction
 - Data measurement
 - Data correction
- (Similar considerations in the case of the prompt emission, see e.g. <u>Klotz+06</u>, <u>Racusin+08</u>, <u>Xin+23</u>)



GEPI l'Observatoire | PSL

SVOM CP SCIENCE MEETING







What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data acquisition (photometry)

Automatic for first data points, manual for late-time monitoring (e.g. jet-break, SN, KN, host, etc.)
 ⇒ Need to decide on <u>follow-up strategy</u>:







- Automatic for first data points, manual for late-time monitoring (e.g. jet-break, SN, KN, host, etc.)
 ⇒ Need to decide on <u>follow-up strategy</u>:
 - When to observe optimally?
 - Local conditions (e.g. elevation, moon, seeing, Earth occultation (VT), ...)
 - Physical conditions (e.g. wait for expected SN peak, jet-break)
 - Multi-wavelength coordination (e.g. X-rays/radio for achromaticity of jet-break)
 - Coordination between telescopes (avoid duplication)



GEP l'Observatoire | PSL





- Automatic for first data points, manual for late-time monitoring (e.g. jet-break, SN, KN, host, etc.)
 ⇒ Need to decide on <u>follow-up strategy</u>:
 - When to observe optimally?
 - Local conditions (e.g. elevation, moon, seeing, Earth occultation (VT), ...)
 - Physical conditions (e.g. wait for expected SN peak, jet-break)
 - Multi-wavelength coordination (e.g. X-rays/radio for achromaticity of jet-break)
 - Coordination between telescopes (avoid duplication)
 - What cadence?
 - Need for high-cadence observations for short timescale variations?
 - Space out observations for managing time (e.g. in case multiple GRBs need of follow-up)
 - What bands?
 - Favour red/near-infrared bands for search for KN in case of short GRBs
 - Ignore bands blue-ward of Lyman-limit in case of high-redshift GRBs
 - Polarisation? (automatic RRM, other partners)



GEP I'Observatoire







What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data acquisition (spectroscopy)

• No spectroscopy within SVOM ⇒ Need to decide on <u>follow-up strategy with partners</u>:







What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data acquisition (spectroscopy)

- No spectroscopy within SVOM ⇒ Need to decide on <u>follow-up strategy with partners</u>:
 - When to observe optimally?
 - Same considerations as for photometry
 - What cadence?
 - High-cadence early observations (e.g. fine-structure lines variation, KN) ?







What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data acquisition (spectroscopy)

- No spectroscopy within SVOM ⇒ Need to decide on <u>follow-up strategy with partners</u>:
 - When to observe optimally?
 - Same considerations as for photometry
 - What cadence?
 - High-cadence early observations (e.g. fine-structure lines variation, KN) ?
 - What wavelength coverage/resolution?
 - Wider wavelength coverage usually better (but trade-off with resolution)
 - Favour red/near-infrared coverage for high-redshift/dust-extinguished
 - Higher resolution if bright (e.g. for fine-structure lines)
 - Lower resolution if faint for better SNR (e.g. to get at least redshift)
 - What acquisition mode?
 - Fibre/Slit/IFS (e.g. slit angle to include/avoid nearby host/star)
 - Stare vs nodding (e.g. for sky-subtraction in the NIR)









What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data reduction (photometry and spectroscopy)

- Instrumental corrections and calibrations:
 - Calibration files need to be accessible (bias, flats, etc.)
 - Pipelines need to be operational and need people who know how to use them (rapidly)







What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data reduction (photometry and spectroscopy)

- Instrumental corrections and calibrations:
 - Calibration files need to be accessible (bias, flats, etc.)
 - Pipelines need to be operational and need people who know how to use them (rapidly)
- Photometry:
 - Capability of stacking images but also using individual frames (e.g. if high-cadence is required for very early observations)







What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data reduction (photometry and spectroscopy)

- Instrumental corrections and calibrations:
 - Calibration files need to be accessible (bias, flats, etc.)
 - Pipelines need to be operational and need people who know how to use them (rapidly)
- Photometry:
 - Capability of stacking images but also using individual frames (e.g. if high-cadence is required for very early observations)
- Spectroscopy:
 - Wavelength corrections (e.g. heliocentric, vacuum)
 - Telluric corrections
 - Flux calibration (e.g. in particular for host spectra)



What do we need to have interpretation-ready data?

Data measurement (photometry)



credit: Malesani

GEP I'Observatoire

- Measuring meaningful photometry:
 - Aperture photometry vs PSF photometry (e.g. in case of nearby bright source or transient inside extended galaxy)
 - Image subtraction (e.g. to look for variability or for removing host contribution)
- Converting to useful photometric and magnitude (AB) system:
 - Need filter transmission curves?
 - Transformations to/from standard systems (SDSS, Johnson(?), etc.)





Data measurement (spectroscopy)

 Identification of spectral features & emission/absorption lines (e.g. for <u>redshift</u> measurement)



GEPI (Observatoire | PSL





Data measurement (spectroscopy)



- Afterglow absorption (e.g. for column density measurements, extinction curve, etc.):
 - Spectrum normalisation (e.g. continuum fitting)
 - Voigt-profile line fitting (e.g. blending, line-saturation, multiple components/systems)
- Host emission (e.g. line fluxes, attenuation, etc.):
 - Continuum estimation (e.g. continuum fitting)
 - Gaussian line fitting (e.g. multiple components)



GEP l'Observatoire



What do we need to have interpretation-ready data?

Data measurement (spectroscopy)

- Identification of spectral features & emission/absorption lines (e.g. for **redshift** measurement)
- Afterglow absorption (e.g. for column density measurements, extinction curve, etc.):
 - Spectrum normalisation (e.g. continuum fitting)
 - Voigt-profile line fitting (e.g. blending, line-saturation, multiple components/systems)
- Host emission (e.g. line fluxes, attenuation, etc.):
 - Continuum estimation (e.g. continuum fitting)
 - Gaussian line fitting (e.g. multiple components)
- Supernova/Kilonova:
 - **Template** fitting (e.g. SNID)
 - Feature identification

See presentation by: Frédéric Daigne, Jérôme Guilet & Susanna Vergani



Wavelength [Å]

 $10^{-17} \, {\rm erg/s/cm^2/A}$

GEP l'Observatoire | PSL 🛠

GRB 210905A afterglow spectrum with X-Shooter (Saccardi+23)



SN2023pel spectrum with OSIRIS (Hussenot-Desenonges+24)

SVOM CP SCIENCE MEETING



What do we need to have interpretation-ready data? Data correction (photometry and spectroscopy)

- Correct photometry for physical effects:
 - Absorption due to dust in the Milky Way
 - Absorption due to dust in the host galaxy (e.g. using SED fitting)
 - Contamination due to emission of host galaxy (or nearby extended sources) for the afterglow

See presentation by: Ny Avo Rakotondrainibe & Veronique Buat

- Correct spectroscopy for physical effects:
 - Absorption due to dust in the Milky Way
 - Absorption due to dust in the host galaxy (e.g. using the Balmer decrement)
 - Balmer absorption due to stellar population in the host



Observatoire

GEPI





Recap of useful links for follow-up And open questions

- SVOM Burst Advocates:
 - **iFSC-Tools** (still in development): <u>https://fsc-integration.svom.org/ifsc-tools/login</u>
 - Chinese BA Tools (still in development): <u>https://svom-gwacn.cn/suss/#/</u>
- Need somewhere that shows which SVOM telescopes have observed, when, etc. SVOM CP GRB Table? Chinese BA Tools?
- Need somewhere to retrieve follow-up (reduced or raw) SVOM data.
 SDB?