Dark matter
From WIMPs to weaker and lighter DM

G. Bélanger

LAPTh, Annecy-le-Vieux

RPP, Nov.30th 2021

Introduction







- Strong evidence for dark matter from many scales
  - The galactic scale (rotation curves)
  - Scale of galaxy clusters: mass to light-ratio, gravitational lensing, Bullet cluster
  - Cosmological scales
    - DM required to amplify the small fluctuations in Cosmic microwave background to form the large scale structure in the universe today
- Dark Matter a new particle?

WMAP and PLANCK





The universe contains ~27% of cold dark matter

*Cold: non-relativistic during structure formation otherwise with erase structure

Since DM is non relativistic at the time of 'last scattering' when photons decoupled –> M>10keV : neutrino cannot be main DM component

DM a new particle : what are its properties?

- cold, neutral (or very small charge), stable, non-baryonic, weak interactions with standard model (or feeble)

- Relic density of DM known precisely (PLANCK)

$$\Omega_{\rm DM}h^2 = 0.1188 \pm 0.0010\,,$$

- Leaves lots of possibilities for DM of different mass and interaction strength - a new stable WIMP is most studied candidate - despite strong experimental programs – no signs of WIMPs but the searches continue



Baer et al, 1404.0071

- Well-motivated New Physics model has yet to be singled out
- 30 years ago, had a very good idea what would be this new particle : neutralino in SUSY – despite the large parameter space clear paths for DM searches (direct and indirect searches and production at colliders)
- Same strategy applies for other WIMPs – a new stable neutral weakly interacting particle
- Many possibilities for dark matter, classified by:
    - Dark matter production mechanisms : in thermal equilibrium in early universe or not – interaction strengths (WIMPs, FIMPs, SIMPs, SIDM etc..) – mass…
    - Theoretically motivated beyond the standard model (e.g. naturalness)
    - Expt-motivated extension of the Standard model : neutrino, anomaly (B, g-2…); baryogenesis
    - Extension of SM with DM candidate (e.g. simplified model)

- Underlying theoretical model allow to best exploit connections between search strategies – range masses, coupling strengths, spin of DM, nature of mediator(s)
- Mediator(s) : coupling between DM and SM – e.g. H, new particle

Bertone, Tait, Nature 2018

The case of WIMPs

WIMP DM

- Most studied hypothesis: a new stable neutral weakly-interacting massive particle – WIMP – why are they good DM candidates?
- In thermal equilibrium when T of Universe much larger than its mass
- Equilibrium abundance maintained by processses

$$\chi\bar{\chi} \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \tau^+\tau^-, q\bar{q}, W^+W^-, ZZ$$

- As well as reverse processes, inverse reaction proceeds with equal rate
- As Universe expands T drops below m_χ, n_{eq} drops exponentially, production rate is suppressed (particles in plasma do not have sufficient thermal energy to produce $\chi\chi$) χ start to decouple – can only annihilate $dn/dt=\sigma v\, n^2$
- Eventually rate of annihilation drops below expansion rate $\Gamma < H$ – not enough χ for annihilation - > fall out of equilibrium and freeze-out (at T_{FO}~m/20), density depends only on expansion rate

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -3Hn - < \sigma v > \left[n^2 - n_{eq}^2\right]$$

WIMP DM

- Why WIMP
- In thermal e… han its mass
- Equilibrium … $\bar{q}, W^+W^-, ZZ$
- As well as r… ith equal rate
- As Universe … ntially, production rate is suppr… ent thermal energy to produce χ … n/dt=σv n^2
- Eventually r… e Γ< H – not enough χ fo… reeze-out (at T_{FO}~m/20),



$$\frac{dn}{dt} = -3Hn - < \sigma v > \left[n^2 - n_{eq}^2\right]$$

Dark matter: a WIMP?

In standard cosmological scenario, relic abundance

$$\Omega_X h^2 \approx \frac{3 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle} \quad .$$

WIMP at EW scale has 'typical' annihilation cross section for $\Omega h^2 \sim 0.1$ (PLANCK)



$$< \sigma v > \sim \frac{g^4}{32 \pi m_{DM}^2}$$

$\sim 3\ 10^{-26}\ \mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s}$ (or $\sigma \sim 1$pb)

Remarkable coincidence : particle physics independently predicts particles with the right density to be dark matter (WIMP miracle)

This is simple estimate – possible variations by orders of magnitude

Mass and interaction strength for thermal DM ?

Miracle?

- Relic density puts strong constraint on combination of mass/couplings
- Will any weakly interacting particle lead to the 'miracle' ?
- Resonance



$$\sigma v \propto m_{\tilde{\chi}}^2/(4m_\chi^2 - m_H^2)^2$$

  - much weaker coupling required when $2m_\chi \sim m_H$
- New channels : increase of cross section if W/Z/h/t channels kinematically open, also larger cross sections for spin 1
- t-channel : enhancement when small mass splitting



- Other processes can contribute to DM formation

Probing the nature of dark matter



- All determined by interactions of WIMPS with Standard Model
- Strong connection relic/ID (only difference is v)
- Relic density and direct detection put severe constraints on WIMP models
- Not necessarily the same particles/process play dominant role, eg annihilation into dark sector can dominate relic – no effect on collider searches

Illustration relic/DD constraints

- Singlet scalar : Simplest SM extension : one singlet scalar + Z_2 symmetry
- Improves stability of Higgs sector
- Higgs portal : one coupling (to Higgs) drives all DM observables – relic,DD,ID

$$V_{Z_2} = \mu_H^2|H|^2 + \lambda_H|H|^4 + \mu_S^2|S|^2 + \lambda_S|S|^4 + \lambda_{SH}|S|^2|H|^2$$

Direct detection



annihilation



$m_s \gg m_i$ $\quad \frac{\lambda_{SH}^2}{64\pi m_s^2} \quad \frac{\lambda_{SH}^2}{32\pi m_s^2} \quad \frac{\lambda_{SH}^2 m_f^2}{64\pi m_s^4}$

$m_s \ll m_h$ $\quad \frac{\lambda_{SH}^2 m_f^2}{64\pi m_h^4}$

- Need large enough coupling for DM annihilation – but constraints from DD

Singlet scalar



Arcadi et al, 2101.02507

GB et al 2206.11305



- If annihilation is efficient enough for relic density to be satisfied -> strong constraint from direct detection (unless DM mass >TeV, DM mass ~ mh/2)
- If $m_S<m_h/2$: Higgs invisible also constrain the model - Djouadi, Lebedev, Mambrini, Quevillon, 1112.3299

- To relax constraints on WIMPs : uncorrelate relic density/ direct detection
- Several ways to do that (beyond exploiting resonance effect)
  - New processes for relic (e.g. co-annihilation, semi-annihilation …)
  - Semi-annihilation: processes involving different number of dark particles (Hambye, 0811.0172; D'Eramo, Thaler 1003.5912)



- Example : singlet scalar model with Z3



~LZ, 2207.02764

GB, Kannike, Pukhov, Raidal, 1211.1014
Hektor, Hryczuk, Kannike, 1901.08074

- Relax direct detection constraint of the singlet scalar – now under tension with LZ result

- To relax constraints on WIMPs : uncorrelate relic density/ direct detection
- Several ways to do that (beyond exploiting resonance effect)
  - New particles for relic (e.g. new processes not involving the Higgs)
  - New processes for relic :



Hochberg, Les Houches
SciPost Phys. Lect. 2022

- To relax constraints on WIMPs : uncorrelate relic density/ direct detection
- Several ways to do that (beyond exploiting resonance effect)
  - New particles for relic (e.g. new processes not involving the Higgs)
  - New processes for relic (e.g. co-annihilation, semi-annihilation …)
  - Pseudoscalar mediator(s) - Banerjee, GB, Bhatia, Fuks, Raychaudhuri, 2110.15391
    - Relax DD constraints – potential signature of new pseudoscalar at LHC
    - Loop-induced contribution to DD much weaker, current experiments do not yet probe O(1) couplings -- Li, Wu , 1904.03407
  - Multi-component : no reason that the dark sector contains only one new particle – issue of stability

Multi-component : 2 dark sectors



- Assisted freeze-out : no interactions DS2-SM – interactions DS1-DS2 determine the abundance of DM2 (GB, JC Park, JCAP03 (2012) 038)
- DM conversion : include also DS2-SM
- WIMP models can be constructed to avoid certain constraints, but strategy of direct/indirect/collider searches offer powerful probes of WIMPs
- Zurek 0811.4429, Bhattacharya 1607.08461, Lu Wu Zhou, 1101.4148, Bas I Beneito, et al, 2207.02874, GB, Mjallal, Pukhov, 2108.08061

LHC searches for DM

Model independent approach (monoX) MET+ jet, γ,W,Z



Model dependent approach:

- Production of new particles that decay in DM, signature : MET + l, q,….
- Invisible decays of the Higgs
- Charged tracks and displaced vertices
  - small mass splitting or very weak interactions
- Searches for new particles in SM final state
  - E.g. mediator



Status of SUSY after LHC and LZ

Searches for electroweakinos
in RunII



(a) All models



(b) Models not excluded by ATLAS

ATLAS-Conf-2023

Still room for SUSY DM after Run2 and
recent DM searches



Above/below the weak scale

- General properties of thermal DM
- No naturalness -> mass scale extends from 10 MeV->100TeV
  - For FO mechanism from $\chi\chi$->SM SM
    - rate of DM annihilation $\Gamma=n<\sigma v>$, unitarity imposes upper limit on $<\sigma v>$-> lower bound on n at FO ($\Gamma \sim H$)
    - $\Omega h^2 \sim m_\chi\, n$ -> upper bound on m_χ
    - Or if DM is heavier than upper bound it will be overabundant
    - Remark : if DM is > few TeV : hard for LHC and for ID (CTA can reach high masses) but signal scales as $n^2 \sim \rho^2/m^2$
  - Note : with zombie can relax this constraint -> almost to Planck scale

- Note : with zombie can relax this constraint -> almost to Planck scale
- Kramer et al, 2003.04900 (assumes that χχ->ζζ or SM SM small)







- DM is χ; m_ζ<mχ<3 m_ζ (to prevent χ–> ζζζ decay)
- If ζ remains in equilibrium, at FO of χ, n_ζ large

$$\dot{n}_\chi + 3Hn_\chi = -n_\zeta^{\rm eq}\langle\sigma_{\chi\zeta\to\zeta\zeta}v\rangle\left(n_\chi - n_\chi^{\rm eq}\right) \,.$$

- Relaxes upper bound on mχ
- Also if DM at weak scale - much smaller interaction rates than standard WIMPs

- Light DM (below few GeVs)
  - For correct relic density and to escape current constraint, light DM usually couples also to some light mediator -> probes in high intensity low energy colliders
  - Strong constraints from CMB and Indirect detection
    - Ionizing particles (e^+ e^- γ) from DM annihilation change the ionization history of hydrogen gas-> perturbation of CMB anisotropies
    - Stringent limits on light DM assuming s-wave annihilation and 100%BR in given SM (neutrino annihilation channel escapes constraints)

Slatyer, 1506.03811





Dutta et al, 2212.09795

    - Fermi-LAT searches for photons from DM annihilation in dSph's also strong constraints

- Light DM (below few GeVs)
  - Example : scalar DM coupled (gx) to dark photon - kinetic mixing(ε)
    - annihilation near resonance – Breit-Wigner enhancement σv(MW)>>σv(FO),
    - process is p-wave avoid strongest constraints from CMB



GB, Chakraborti, Genolini, Salati,
2401.02513

e+e- from DM annihilation scattering on low energy photons in interstellar raiation field in Galaxy – generate Xray

Xray Constraints from XMM Newton,
Cirelli et al 2303.08854

DM annihilation injects energy in plasma generate distortions from pure black body spectrum – constraints from FIRAS

- Light DM (below few GeVs)
  - Example : scalar DM coupled (gx) to dark photon - kinetic mixing(ε)
    - annihilation near resonance – Breit-Wigner enhancement σv(MW)>>σv(FO),
    - process is p-wave avoid strongest constraints from CMB



GB, Chakraborti, Genolini, Salati, 2401.02513

e+e- from DM annihilation scattering on low energy photons in interstellar raiation field in Galaxy – generate Xray

Xray Constraints from XMM Newton, Cirelli et al 2303.08854

DM annihilation injects energy in plasma generate distortions from pure black body spectrum – constraints from FIRAS

- Below threshold for DD on nucleons, strong constraints from ID (Xray) and CMB, also colliders (here BABAR and LEP, e+e--> AA'-> invisible)

Weaker than weak : the FIMP case

FIMPS (Feebly interacting MP)

- Freeze-in (Hall et al 0911.1120, McDonald,J. hep-ph/0106249) relevant for FIMP
- In early Universe, χ so feebly interacting that χ is decoupled from plasma



- Interactions are feeble but lead to production of χ
- Review : Bernal et al, 1706.07442; GB, Chakraborti, Pukhov, 2309.00491

Freeze-in

- DM particles are NOT in thermal equilibrium with SM
- Recall

$$\frac{dn_\chi}{dt} + 3Hn_\chi = -\langle\sigma v\rangle \left((n_\chi)^2 - (n_\chi^{eq})^2\right)$$

Depletion of χ due to annihilation

Creation of χ from inverse process

- Initial number of DM particles is very small

$$\dot{n}_\chi + 3Hn_\chi = \langle\sigma v\rangle_{X\bar{X}\to\chi\bar{\chi}}(T)n_{eq}^2(T) + n_{eq}(T)\Gamma_{Y\to\chi\chi}(T)$$

annihilation

Decay
(X,Y in Th.eq. With SM)

FIMPS (Feebly interacting MP)

- DM production from SM annihilation (or decay) until number density of SM becomes Boltzmann suppressed - n_χ constant 'freezes-in'
- T~ M, χ 'freezes-in' - yield increases with interaction strength, Y~λ



x=m/T



Comparison with WIMPs

- When decay possible, usually dominates
- Typical interaction strength : $10^{-12} – 10^{-10}$
- Mass range : from very light to TeV scale

- Some possibilities for FIMPs:
  - FIMP is DM : pair production in annihilation of SM particles (or in decay of particle in thermal equilibrium)
  - FIMP is DM, next to lightest ‘odd’ particle has long lifetime freeze-out as usual then decay to FIMP – typically $\lambda \sim 10^{-12}$
    - a new long-lived particle with signature at collider (LLP) and/or also affect BBN or CMB depending on lifetime
  - FIMP can also be part of multi-component DM – if the WIMP is only a small fraction of DM its DD and ID signals are suppressed.
  - FIMP is not DM, freezes-in and then decay to WIMP DM increasing abundance of WIMP
    - Relic abundance and DM annihilation cross section no longer related, freeze-in produces DM abundance, DM annihilation can be large – freeze-out abundance small
    - Hard to identify the presence of the FIMP, but mismatch between properties of measured WIMP with value of relic density
    - Possible boost in indirect detection signals (σv> 3 10-26 cm2/s)

Probes of FIMPs

- FIMP in general a singlet under SM (to prevent reaching thermal equilibrium) - for example the singlet scalar model used for freeze-out but for a different choice of couplings
- Probes not as generic as for WIMPs
- Direct detection
  - On nucleons: detectable if mediator is light
  - On electrons
- Colliders
- Indirect detection
- Cosmology : BBN, energy injection…

Direct detection

- FIMPs can be within reach of direct detection when rate is enhanced by presence of light mediator



$$\frac{dR}{dE_R} = \frac{\rho_0 \bar{\sigma}_{\rm SI} N_A}{\sqrt{\pi} v_0 m_\chi \mu_{\chi N}^2} F^2(q)\eta(q^2) \times \frac{m_\phi^4}{(q^2+m_\phi^2)^2},$$

where $\bar{\sigma}^p_{\rm SI} = \frac{y_p^2 y_\chi^2 \mu_{\chi p}^2}{\pi m_\phi^4}$ σSI at zero momentum transfer



GB, Delaunay, Pukhov, Zaldivar, 2005.06294

FI can be tested in current DD when mediator is light

Direct detection – electrons

- DM can scatter off electrons – scattering ionize atoms in target leading to single electron signal, recoiling electron can also ionize other atoms if has sufficient energy – lead to few electron signals
- Allow to extend the sensitivity of DM detector below m~GeV where typical nuclear recoil energy is below threshold. $E_{nr} \sim m_{DM}^2 v^2/2m_N$
- Energy available, $E_{kin} = m_{DM}/2\ v^2$
- New projects to search for very light DM with different materials, eg. superconductors–

Prospects to probe light FIMPs

arXiv:2102.12143



Probes of FIMPs

- Not as generic as for WIMPs
- Direct detection
- Colliders
  - need some other particle in dark sector with at least weak couplings, preferably charged : typical search for Heavy Stable Charged Particle and/or displaced signatures (especially for small reheating temperature)
  - most of standard DM searches at colliders useless host of additional probes in ATLAS/CMS/LHCb,
  - If mass scale is low : in fixed targets, mesons decays (e.g at BESIII and KLOE) and e^+e^- collisions
  - Decays outside detector (MATHUSLA, FASER etc..)
- Indirect detection – relevant if LLP decays now
- Cosmology : BBN, energy injection ….

FIMPs at LHC

- DM is produced from the decay of heavier particle (Y) whose interactions allow copious production at LHC
- Y decays in FIMP+SM with very small coupling -> LLP (either colllider stable or displaced signatures)



Few examples of displaced vertices in FI:
Co, d'Eramo, Hall, Pappadopoulo, 1506.07532
Evans, Shelton 1601.01326
Hessler, Ibarra, Molinaro, Vogl, 1611.09540



Y: new vector fermion decays to FIMP+lepton
GB et al, 1811.05478

- As DM becomes heavier only HSCP becomes relevant

Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

- If particle with lifetime > 0.1s decays can cause non-thermal nuclear reaction during or after BBN – spoiling predictions – in particular if new particle has hadronic decay modes
  - Kawasaki, Kohri, Moroi, PRD71, 083502 (2005)
- Alteration of n/p ratio - for example
  - -> overproduction He^4
- Hadrodissociation of He^4 causes overproduction of D
  - $n+He^4 \to He^3+D$, $2D+n$, $D+p+n$

- Key elements :
  - Bhad : hadronic BR of LLP
  - Evis: net energy carried away by hadrons
  - Y(WIMP) : yield



GB, Mjallal Pukhov, 2205.04104

Conclusion

- Several processes can contribute to DM production – gives rise to a variety of DM models not necessarily tied to the electroweak scale or to weak interactions
- Although classical WIMP models are severely constrained from relic/LHC/direct detection/indirect detection – WIMPs are not dead
- WIMP models can be constructed to avoid certain constraints, but strategy of direct/indirect/collider searches offer powerful probes of DM
- New probes for light DM (e.g. DD or intensity frontier) or LLPs (colliders, cosmo)
- After so many years, still in the dark about the nature of dark matter