

# **FCC-ee Detector Concepts**

Mogens Dam Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen

Lyon meeting: *Prospectives IP2I 2023 sur Futur Collisioneurs FCC* Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen

Gratefully acknowleging colleagues from whom material has been borrowed and not in all cases properly referenced

# **Introduction and Detector Requirements**

#### **C FUTURE CIRCULAR COLLIDER The FCC integrated program inspired by successful LEP – LHC programs at CERN**

comprehensive long-term program maximizing physics opportunities

- stage 1: FCC-ee (Z, W, H, tt) as Higgs factory, electroweak & top factory at highest luminosities
- stage 2: FCC-hh (~100 TeV) as natural continuation at energy frontier, with ion and eh options
- complementary physics
- common civil engineering and technical infrastructures, building on and reusing CERN's existing infrastructure
- FCC integrated project allows seamless continuation of HEP after completion of the HL-LHC program





FCC Feasibility Study Overview Michael Benedikt Paris, 30 May 2022

## **Current FCC-ee Project Timeline**

Start o	of FCC-	ee physi	ics run	
	2047 -	- 2047		
Start accelerator commissioning	2046 - 2045 -	- 2046	Start detector commissioning	
	2044 –	– 2044		
	2043 -	- 2043		
End of HL-LHC	2041 –	- 2041	Start detector installation	
Start accelerator installation	2040 -	- 2040		
	2039 – 2038 –	- 2039		
	2000 -	- 2037		
Industrialisation and component production	2036 -	- 2036	Detector component production	
Technical design & prototyping completed	2035 -	- 2035	Four detector TDRs completed	
	2034 -	- 2034		
Start of ground-breaking and CE at IPs	2032 –	- 2032		
	2031 –	- 2031	Detector CDRs (>4) submitted to FC <sup>3</sup>	
End of HL-LHC upgrade: more ATS personnel available	2030 - 2029 -	- 2030	End of HL-LHC upgrade: more detector experts available	
FCC Approval: Start of prototyping work	2028 -	2028	FC <sup>3</sup> formation, call for CDRs, collaboration forming	
	2027 –	- 2027	European Strategy Update: FCC Recommendation	
FCC Feasibility Study Report	2026 –	- 2026	Detector EoI submission by the community	
FCC-ee Accelerator	Key	dates	FCC-ee Detectors	

## Prelude: pp vs. e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>



### pp: look for striking signal in large background

- High rates of QCD backgrounds
  - Complex triggering schemes
  - High levels of radiation
- High cross-sections for coloured states
- High-energy circular pp colliders feasible
  - $\blacktriangleright \quad Large mass reach \rightarrow direct exploration$
- S/B ≈ 10<sup>-10</sup> before trigger; S/B ≈ 0.1 after trigger



### e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>: detect everything; measure precisely

- Clean experimental environment
  - Trigger-less readout
  - Low radiation levels
- Superiour sensitivity for electro-weak states
- Limited direct mass reach
- S/B  $\approx$  1  $\rightarrow$  precision measurement
  - > Exploration via precision

## **FCC-ee Luminosity and Event Rates**

#### Numbers of events in 15 years, tuned to maximise the physics outcome







## The Challenge – High Precision Measurements

Observable	present	FCC-ee	FCC-ee	Comment and
	value $\pm$ error	Stat.	Syst.	leading exp. error
$m_{\rm Z}  ({\rm keV})$	$91186700 \pm 2200$	4	100	From Z line shape scan
				Beam energy calibration
$\Gamma_{\rm Z} ~({\rm keV})$	$2495200 \pm 2300$	4	25	From Z line shape scan
				Beam energy calibration
$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm W}^{\rm eff}( imes 10^6)$	$231480 \pm 160$	2	2.4	from $A_{FB}^{\mu\mu}$ at Z peak
				Beam energy calibration
$1/\alpha_{\rm OED}({ m m_Z^2})(\times 10^3)$	$128952 \pm 14$	3	small	from $A_{FB}^{\mu\mu}$ off peak
				QED&EW errors dominate
$R_{\ell}^{Z}$ (×10 <sup>3</sup> )	$20767 \pm 25$	0.06	0.2-1	ratio of hadrons to leptons
		l I		acceptance for leptons
$\alpha_{\rm s}({\rm m}_{\rm Z}^2)~(\times 10^4)$	$1196 \pm 30$	0.1	0.4-1.6	from $R^{Z}_{\ell}$ above
$\sigma_{\rm had}^0 \ (\times 10^3) \ ({\rm nb})$	$41541 \pm 37$	0.1	4	peak hadronic cross section
				luminosity measurement
$N_{\nu}(\times 10^3)$	$2996\pm7$	0.005	1	Z peak cross sections
				Luminosity measurement
$R_b (\times 10^6)$	$216290 \pm 660$	0.3	< 60	ratio of bb to hadrons
				stat. extrapol. from SLD
$A_{FB}^{b}, 0 (\times 10^{4})$	$992\pm16$	0.02	1-3	b-quark asymmetry at Z pole
				from jet charge
$\mathrm{A_{FB}^{pol, au}}$ (×10 <sup>4</sup> )	$1498 \pm 49$	0.15	<2	au polarization asymmetry
				au decay physics
$\tau$ lifetime (fs)	$290.3\pm0.5$	0.001	0.04	radial alignment
$ au  ext{ mass (MeV)}$	$1776.86 \pm 0.12$	0.004	0.04	momentum scale
$\tau$ leptonic $(\mu \nu_{\mu} \nu_{\tau})$ B.R. (%)	$17.38\pm0.04$	0.0001	0.003	$e/\mu$ /hadron separation
$m_W (MeV)$	$80350 \pm 15$	0.25	0.3	From WW threshold scan
				Beam energy calibration
$\Gamma_{\rm W} ~({\rm MeV})$	$2085 \pm 42$	1.2	0.3	From WW threshold scan
			c	Beam energy calibration
$\alpha_{\rm s}({\rm m}_{\rm W}^2)(\times 10^4)$	$1170 \pm 420$	3	small	from $R_{\ell}^{W}$
$N_{\nu}( imes 10^3)$	$2920\pm50$	0.8	small	ratio of invis. to leptonic
				in radiative Z returns
$m_{top} (MeV/c^2)$	$172740 \pm 500$	17	small	From $t\bar{t}$ threshold scan
				QCD errors dominate
$\Gamma_{\rm top}~({\rm MeV/c}^2)$	$1410\pm190$	45	small	From $t\bar{t}$ threshold scan
				QCD errors dominate
$ \lambda_{ m top}/\lambda_{ m top}^{ m SM} $	$1.2\pm0.3$	0.10	small	From $t\bar{t}$ threshold scan
				QCD errors dominate
ttZ couplings	$\pm 30\%$	0.5 - 1.5 %	small	From $\sqrt{s} = 365 \mathrm{GeV} \mathrm{run}$

- FCC-ee EWPO measurements with unprecedented statistical precision
  - □ e.g. 6 x 10<sup>12</sup> hadronic Z decays at Z-pole
  - Statistical precision for EWPOs measured at the Z-pole is typically 500 times smaller than the current uncertainties
- 🖙 Systematic uncertainty dominant!
- IF Can achieve indirect sensitivity to new physics up to a scale  $\Lambda_{new physics}$  of 70 TeV
- We therefore require:
  - $\square$  Better control of parametric uncertainties, e.g. PDFs,  $\alpha_{s}, m_{t}, m_{H}$
  - □ Higher order theoretical computations, e.g. N...NLO
  - Image: Minimizing detector systematics

## **Experimental Challenges**

- 30 mrad beam crossing angle
  - Detector B-field limited to 2 Tesla (at Z-peak operation)
  - Tightly packed MDI (Machine Detector Interface)
- "Continuous" beams (no bunch trains); bunch spacing down to ≤ 20 ns
   □ Power management and cooling (no power pulsing as possible for linear colliders)
- Extremely high luminosities

□ High statistical precision -- control of systematics down to  $10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$  level □ Online and offline handling of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{13})$  events for precision physics: "Big Data"

- Physics events at up to 100 kHz
  - $\square$  Detector response ( $\lesssim$  1  $\mu s)$  to minimise dead-time and event overlaps
  - Strong requirements on sub-detector front-end electronics and DAQ systems
    - \* At the same time, keep low material budget: minimise mass of electronics, cables, cooling, ...
- More physics challenges
  - $\Box$  Luminosity measurement to 10<sup>-4</sup> luminometer acceptance to  $\mathcal{O}(1 \ \mu m)$  level
  - □ Detector acceptance to  $\sim 10^{-5}$  acceptance definition to  $O(10 \mu rad)$ , hermeticity (no cracks!)
  - □ Precise momentum measurement via continous resonant depolarisation (RDP) measurement ⇒ e.g. 50 keV (1 ppm) at the Z pole
  - □ Stability of momentum measuremet stability of magnetic field wrt E<sub>cm</sub> (10<sup>-6</sup>)

#### Central part of detector volume – top view





## **FCC-ee Physics Programme**



## **FCC-ee Detector Requirements**



## **Higgs Factory: Higgs Production and Decay**



IP2I Meeting, Lyon

## **Higgs Factory: Higgs Production and Decay**



IP2I Meeting, Lyon

Detector elementss and technologies

## **Vertex Detector**

- Measurement of impact parameter, reconstruction of secondary vertices, flavour tagging, lifetime measurements
- Very strong development
  - Lighter, more precise, closer

Strong ALICE Vertex detector development







- Many conditions/requirements common between ALICE and FCC-ee
  - Moderate radiation environments
  - No need for picosecond timing
  - High resolution and low multiple scattering is key
- Heavy flavour tagging results (simulation)
  - ML based: large lifetimes, displaced vertices/tracks, large track multiplicity, non-isolated e/µ



IP2I Meeting, Lyon

## Tracking Systems - Momentum measurement

Particles from Higgs production process are generally of rather low momentum





## **Particle Identification**

- PID capabilities across a wide momentum range is essential for flavour studies; will enhance overall physics reach
  - □ Example: important mode for CP-violation studies  $B^{0}_{s} \rightarrow D^{\pm}_{s}K^{\mp} \rightarrow$  require K/π separation over wide momentum range to suppress same topology  $B^{0}_{s} \rightarrow D^{\pm}_{s}\pi^{\mp}$
- E.g. IDEA drift chamber promises >3 $\sigma \pi/K$  separation up to 50-100 GeV
  - □ Cross-over window at 1 GeV, can be alleviated by unchallenging TOF measurement of  $\delta T \lesssim 0.5$  ns
- Time of flight (TOF) alone  $\delta T$  of  $\sim 10$  ps over 2 m (LGAD)  $\Box$  could give  $3\sigma \pi/K$  separation up to ~5 GeV
- Alternative approaches, in particular (gaseous) RICH counters are also investigated (e.g. A pressurized RICH Detector – ARC)  $\Box \rightarrow$  could give  $3\sigma \pi/K$  separation from 5 GeV to ~80 GeV





20

30

5 6 7 8 9 1 0

Momentum (GeV)

## **Calorimetry – Jet Energy Resolution**

Energy coverage < 300 GeV :  $22 X_0, 7\lambda$ Precise jet angular resolution

Jet energy:  $\delta E_{jet} / E_{jet} \simeq 30\% / VE [GeV]$ 

⇒ Mass reconstruction from jet pairs

Resolution important for control of (combinatorial) backgrounds in multi-jet final states

- Separation of HZ and WW fusion contribution to vvH
- HZ  $\rightarrow$  4 jets, tt events (6 jets), etc.
- At  $\delta E/E \simeq 30\%$  / VE [GeV], detector resolution is comparable to natural widths of W and Z bosons



How to reach jet energy resolutions of 3-4% at 50 GeV:

- Highly granular calorimetes
- Particle Flow Analysis techniques
- The above possibly combined with techniques to correct for non-compensation (e/h ≠ 1), e.g. *dual readout*



#### High granularity ! Possibly combined with dual readout

## Calorimetry

Detector technology (ECAL & HCAL)	E.m. energy res. stochastic term	E.m. energy res. constant term	ECAL & HCAL had. energy resolution (stoch. term for single had.)	ECAL & HCAL had. energy resolution (for 50 GeV jets)	Ultimate hadronic energy res. incl. PFlow (for 50 GeV jets)
Highly granular Si/W based ECAL & Scintillator based HCAL	15-17% [12,20]	$1\% \ [12,20]$	45-50~%~[45,20]	pprox 6% ?	4 % [20]
Highly granular Noble liquid based ECAL & Scintillator based HCAL	8-10%[24,27,46]	$< 1 \% \ [24, 27, 47]$	pprox 40 %  [27, 28]	pprox 6% ?	3-4% ?
Dual-readout Fibre calorimeter	11%[48]	< 1 % [48]	pprox 30% [48]	4-5%[49]	3-4% ?
Hybrid crystal and Dual-readout calorimeter	3 % [30]	< 1 % [30]	$pprox 26 \% \ [30]$	5-6%[30,50]	3-4%[50]

**Table 1.** Summary table of the expected energy resolution for the different technologies. The values are measurements where available, otherwise obtained from simulation. Those values marked with "?" are estimates since neither measurement nor simulation exists. For references and more information see <a href="https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epip/s13360-021-02034-2">https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epip/s13360-021-02034-2</a>

- Excellent Jet resolution:  $\approx 30\%/\sqrt{E}$
- ECAL resolution: Higgs physics  $\approx 15\%/\sqrt{E}$ ; but for heavy flavour programme better resolution beneficial  $\rightarrow 8\%/\sqrt{E} \rightarrow 3\%/\sqrt{E}$
- Fine segmentation for PF algorithm and powerful  $\gamma/\pi^{\circ}$  separation and measurement
- Other concerns: Operational stability, cost, ...
- Optimisation ongoing for all technologies: Choice of materials, segmentation, read-out, ...

# Software - Brief Overview

## FCC Software System in a Nutshell

- FCC Software fully relies on Key4hep
  - Framework aimed at supporting all future collider studies
  - Centrally provides a set of useful HEP packages in a consistent stack
- edm4hep data format, relying on podio
- ◆ Chains of algorithms (Gen, Sim, Digi, Reco) orchestrated with Gaudi
- Detector description based on DD4hep

Generic detector description supporting full life cycle of experiment

Complete description

- \* Geometry, material properties, readout, alignment, calibration, ...
- □ From user perspective
  - ✤ C++ for generic geometry structure construction
  - XML configuration for detector parameters
- □ Facilitates sub-detector combination
  - ✤ Plug-and-play



DD4hep **DDCore** Simulation DDG4 Reconstruction parameter DDRec Geometry Analysis Model detector TGeo constructors DDAlign Visualisation DDCond User DB Extensions





# **Proto Detectors**

## **FCC-ee Proto Detectors - Overview**

IDEA

CLD



- Well established design
  - ILC -> CLIC detector -> CLD
- Full Si vtx + tracker; CALICE-like calorimetry; large coil, muon system
- Engineering and R&D needed for
  - reduction of tracker material budget
  - operation with continous beam (no power pulsing: cooling of Si sensors for tracking + calorimetry)
- Possible detector optimizations
  - Improved  $\sigma_p/p$ ,  $\sigma_E/E$
  - PID: timing and/or RICH?



- Less established design
  - But still ~15y history: ILC 4<sup>th</sup> Concept
- Si vtx detector; ultra light drift chamber w powerfull PID; compact, light coil; monolitic dual readout calorimeter; muon system
  - Possibly augmented by crystal ECAL
- Active community
  - Prototype designs, test beam campains, ...

### Noble Liquid ECAL based



- A design in its infancy
- High granularity Noble Liquid ECAL is core
  - Pb+LAr (or denser W+LCr)
- Drift chamber; CALICE-like HCAL; muon system.
- Coil inside same cryostat as LAr, possibly outside ECAL
- Active Noble Liquid R&D team
  - Readout electrodes, feed-throughs, electronics, light cryostat, ...
  - Software & performance studies

Mogens Dam / NBI Copenhagen

FCC-ee Detector Costing Review

٠

## **CLD Detector Concept**

General purpose detector for Particle Flow reconstruction

• development of CLICdp detector concept developed for CLIC





https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.12230 and FCC CDS vol. 2

2 Tesla solenoidal field (solenoid ourside calorimetry, R=3.7m, L=7.4 m) Return yoke contains muon system with 6 (7 in barrel) equidistant layers

## **CLD Vertex Detector and Si Tracker**

- Silicon vertex detector: precise impact parameter measurement/vertex reconstruction
  - $\square$  25 x 25  $\mu m$  pixels, 50  $\mu m$  thickness, 3  $\mu m$  single point resolution
  - Double layers (0.3% X<sub>0</sub> per detection layer), R<sub>in</sub> = 17.5 mm (-> 12.5 mm with new beam pipe)
- Inner and Outer Silicon Tracker
  - a 3 short and 3 long barrel layers, 7 inner and 4 outer endcaps
  - $\square$  200  $\mu m$  sensor thickness, pixels for inner tracker disk, elsewhere strips
  - $\Box$  At least 8 hits for  $\theta$  > 8.5°

FCC-ee CLD

□ Material budget: 1.1 – 2.2 % X<sub>0</sub> per layer (including overlaps)





FCC-ee CLD

## **CLD Calorimetry**





## **CLD Software Implementation Status**

- All CLD sub-detectors implemented in DD4hep
- Full simulation + reconstruction workflow available
  - Simulation through ddsim
  - Reconstruction through *Marlin*
    - Background overlay, digitization, conformalTracking, ParticleFlow (PandoraPFA), vertexing, and flavour tagging
    - Inhereted from ILD/CLICdet
- Marlin reconstruction based on LCIO data format but can be integrated in EDM4hep Gaudi workflows through *MarlinWrappers* + data format translation





RICH ARC detector finding its way into CLD full simulation

**IP2I** Meeting, Lyon

## **IDEA Detector Concept**

#### **IDEA, Innovative Detector for** e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> accelerator



**Designed specifically for circular e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> collider** (FCC/CEPC)

- Silicon vertex detector
  - **5** MAPS layers, 17-340 mm
- Short-drift, ultra-light wire chamber
  - □ 112 layers, L= 400 cm, R = 35-200 cm
- Silicon "wrapper"

Precise spacepoint measurement in front of calorimeter

- Thin and light solenoid coil inside calorimeter system
   Coil: 2 Tesla, R = 2.1-2.4 m
  - $\square$  0.76  $X_0$  , 0.19  $\lambda_{int}$
- Dual-readout calorimeter
  - $\square$  2 m depth, 7  $\lambda_{int}$
  - Particle flow reconstruction
  - Departure of Control of Contro
  - If no crystals: pre-shower detector in front of DR calorimeter
- Muon system
  - $\square$  3 layers of  $\mu\text{-RWELL}$  detectors in return yoke

## **IDEA Vertex Detector**

#### **Vertex detector**

Inspired by Belle II (and ALICE ITS) based on DMAPS technology, using ARCADIA R&D program

- Inner Vertex Detector
  - Modules of 25 x 25 μm pixel size, 50 μm thick
  - □ 3 barrel layers at 13.7, 22.7, 33 mm
    - \* 0.3%  $X_0$  per layer
  - $\square$  Point resolution of ~3 mm
- Outer Vertex Detector
  - Modules of 50 x 150 μm pixel size, 50 μm thick
  - 2 barrel layers at 130, 315 mm, 2 x 3 disk layers
    - \* 1%  $X_0$  per layer

#### Performance

 $\square$  Efficiency of  ${\sim}100\%$ 

Extremely low fake hit rate



[%]

Material budget  $x/X_0$ 

Water

Kapton

Aluminum

CarbonFiber

0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9

 $\cos(\theta)$ 

Rohacell CarbonFleece

Silicon

GlueEcobond45

F.Palla, 2023 FCC Week

## **IDEA Drift Chamber**

### **Extremely transparent Drift Chamber**

- ◆ Gas: 90% He 10% iC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub>
- ◆ Radius: 0.35 200 m
- $\bullet$  Total thickness: 1.6% of X $_0$  at 90°
  - Tungsten wires dominant contributor
- ◆ 112 layers for each 15° azimuthal sector
- Max drift time: 350 ns







## **Dual Readout Calorimetry**



- Scintillation fibres
- Cherenkov fibres
- Measure simultaneously:

□ Scintillation signal (S) □ Cherenkov signal (C)

- ◆ Calibrate both signals with e<sup>-</sup>
- Unfold event by event  $f_{em}$  to obtain corrected energy

 $S = E[f_{em} + (h/e)_S(1 - f_{em})]$  $C = E[f_{em} + (h/e)_{C}(1 - f_{em})]$  $E = \frac{S - \chi C}{1 - \chi}$  with:  $\chi = \frac{1 - (h/e)_S}{1 - (h/e)_C}$  **Full GEANT4 simulation:** Single hadron:  $\frac{\sigma}{E} = \frac{31\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 0.4\%$ Electromagnetic:  $\frac{\sigma}{E} = \frac{13.0\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 0.2\%$ Crystal option: 20 cm PbWO<sub>4</sub>  $\frac{\sigma}{E} \approx \frac{3\%}{\sqrt{E}}$ 

#### **Crystal option**

- Crystal ECAL in front of DR fibre calorimeter
- PbWO crystals two longitudinal layers  $\Box$  10 × 10 × [ 50 (front) + 150 (rear) ] mm<sup>3</sup>
  - Dual readout via separation of light spectrum (S vs. C)



Mogens Dam / NBI Copenhagen

**IP2I** Meeting, Lyon

## **IDEA Software Implementation Status**

- Detailed DD4hep implementation of vertex detector being finalized
  - □ Sim, Digi, and Reco available
  - Silicon wrapper will be implemented based on the same detector builders
- Drift Chamber
  - Originally implemented in in plain Geant
  - Detailed DD4hep implementation under debugging and validation
    - Carbon fibre/Cu walls, sense + field wires, Au coating, Gas:He\_90Isob\_10
  - Next steps
    - Implementation of DCH reconstruction into Key4hep
    - Implementation of combined VXD + DCH tracking
      - Options: MarlinTracker, ACTS, ILD approach, BES III solution, native DCH tracking algorithm, ...
- Dual readout calorimeter fully implemented in key4hep
  - Geometry, simulation, digitization, reconstruction
     Next steps: integrate geometry in central repository, CPU optimisation
- Crystal ECAL detector description implemented in DD4hep
  - □ WIP: port code to central dual-readout repositiry, digi, reco, ParticleFlow











## **Noble-Liquid ECAL Based Detector Concept**



- Vertex Detector
  - MAPS or DMAPS possibly with timing layer (LGAD)
  - Possibly ALICE ITS3 like?
- Drift Chamber
  - $\Box$  ± 2.5 m active
- Silicon wrapper + ToF:
  - MAPS or DMAPS possibly with timing (LGAD)
- ♦ High Granularity ECAL
  - Noble Liquid + Pb or W
  - Particle Flow reconstruction
- Solenoid B=2T outside ECAL, sharing cryostat with ECAL
  - □ Light solenoid coil = 0.75 X<sub>0</sub>
  - Low-material cryostat < 0.1 X<sub>0</sub>
- High Granularity HCAL / Iron yoke
  - Scintillator + Iron (particle flow reconstruction)
    - ✤ SiPMs directly on scintillators or
    - \* TileCal: WS fibres, SiPMs outside
- Muon System
  - Drift chambers, RPC, Micromegas

#### M.Aleksa @ FCC Week, 2022

## High Granularity Noble-Liquid Calorimeter

### **Baseline design**



Mogens Dam / NBI Copenhagen

IP2I Meeting, Lyon

## **Software Implementation Status**

Current detector description in DD4hep

- Simplified VXD (CLD), to be updated to the detailed IDEA one
- Simplified drift chamber (no tracking available)
- ECAL barrel fully implemented in Key4hep
  - Inclined absorber plates that can be made trapezoidal
  - Cryostat, services, and solenoid material budget included
  - □ Calibration, noise, and clustering available as edm4hep native to Gaudi algorithms
  - Plug-and-play complant
    - Automatic rescaling upon geometry changes
  - □ First performance studies performed
  - Need Particle Flow to optimize granularity, requires tracks
    - ✤ Temperary hack: prepared detector config with CLD + LAr ECAL
    - Working on PandoraPFA integration
- ECAL endcaps under validation



B.Francois @ FCCWeek, 2023

## Outlook

♦ FCC-ee has an enormous physics potential

Unprecedented factory for Z, W and Higgs bosons; for top, beauty, and charm quarks; and for tau leptons
 Possibly also factory for BSM particles !!

Instrumentation to fully exploit the physics potential is challenging and exciting

□ FCC-ee can host (up to) four experimental collaborations

- For next ESUPP, need to demonstrate that experimental challenge can be met by several Detector Concepts
- Work currently ongoing on three proto detectors
   CLD, IDEA, Noble Liquid ECAL based concept (recently named Allegro)
- Work ongoing to implement proto detectors and their sub-detectors fully into Key4hep framework

□ Framework allows study of alternate detector configurations via plug-and-play

Mailing lists:

<u>FCC-PED-DetectorConcepts@cern.ch</u> <u>FCC-PED-SoftwareAndComputing@cern.ch</u>



Extras

## Solenoid Magnet

Nikkie Deelen,, FCC Workshop Feb. 2022



37