[Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) [x](#page-12-0) physics [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0) [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0) [Summary](#page-32-0)

Signatures of saturation involving dissociation

Renaud Boussarie

GDR QCD workshop on coherence/incoherence

 1 [Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) x physics

2 [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x

3 [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0)

⁴ [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0)

Accessing the partonic content of hadrons with an electromagnetic probe

[Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) [x](#page-12-0) physics [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0) [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0) [Summary](#page-32-0)

Regge theory: for asymptotic values of s, an effective particle with the quantum numbers of the vacuum is exchanged

Positive C-parity: Pomeron exchange, negative C-parity: Odderon exchange

How can we understand the Pomeron and the Odderon in perturbative QCD?

Naive perturbative description of the target hadron

a b a color singlet state
 $tr(t^a t^b)$

Leading Pomeron

Leading Pome Three gluons on a color singlet state $\mathrm{tr}(t^at^bt^c)=\frac{1}{4}(d^{abc}+if^{abc})$ f^{abc} : subleading Pomeron d^{abc}: leading Odderon

More involved but still for perturbative targets: BFKL, BKP, BLV... Most general framework: $small-x$ semiclassical effective theory

Gluon exchanges dominate at small x

[NNLO NNPDF3.0 global analysis, taken from PDG2018] Higher chance to see gluons \Rightarrow enhanced multiple scatterings

Effective semiclassical description of small x QCD

Let us split the gluonic field between "fast" and "slow" gluons

$$
A^{\mu a}(k^+,k^-,\vec{k}) = A^{\mu a}_{Y_c}(|k^+| > e^{-Y_c}p^+,k^-,\vec{k}) + a^{\mu a}_{Y_c}(|k^+| < e^{-Y_c}p^+,k^-,\vec{k})
$$

 $e^{-\,Y_{c}}\ll 1$

[Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) [x](#page-12-0) physics [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0) [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0) [Summary](#page-32-0)

 Y_c independence: B-JIMWLK hierarchy of equations [Balitsky, Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Leonidov, Kovner]

Dissociation and the Color Glass Condensate [Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) x physics GDR QCD workshop 11

Evolution for the dipole operator

B-JIMWLK hierarchy of equations [Balitsky, Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Leonidov, Kovner]

$$
\frac{\partial U_{12}^{Y_c}}{\partial Y_c} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} \int d\vec{z}_3 \frac{\vec{z}_{12}^2}{\vec{z}_{13}^2 \vec{z}_{23}^2} \left[U_{13}^{Y_c} + U_{32}^{Y_c} - U_{12}^{Y_c} + U_{13}^{Y_c} U_{32}^{Y_c} \right]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial U_{13}^{Y_c} U_{32}^{Y_c}}{\partial Y_c} = \dots
$$

Evolves a dipole into a double dipole

Mean field approximation, or 't Hooft planar limit $N_c \rightarrow \infty$ in the dipole B-JIMWLK equation

⇒ BK equation [Balitsky, 1995] [Kovchegov, 1999]

 $\partial\Big\langle\mathcal{U}_{12}^{Y_c}\Big\rangle$ $\frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{U}_{12}}}{\partial Y_c} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}$ $2\pi^2$ $\int d\vec{z}_3 \frac{\vec{z}_{12}^2}{\vec{z}_{13}^2 \vec{z}_{23}^2}$ $\left[\left\langle \mathcal{U}_{13}^{Y_c}\right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{U}_{32}^{Y_c}\right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{U}_{12}^{Y_c}\right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{U}_{13}^{Y_c}\right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{U}_{32}^{Y_c}\right\rangle\right]$ BFKL/BKP part Triple pomeron vertex

Non-linear term: recombination effects: saturation Non-perturbative elements are compatible with CGC-type models

- \bigcirc [Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) x physics
- 2 [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x
- **3** [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0)
- ⁴ [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0)

Low x description of diffractive processes First assumption Rapidity gap \Leftrightarrow Color singlet exchange

Generic Wilson line operator W

Singlet Wilson line operator trW

Case 1: anything can happen to the target

Case 1b: anything can happen to the target No "diffraction=singlet" assumption

Case 2: the target is unbroken

Case 3: dissociative diffraction

 $\langle P | \text{tr} W^\dagger \text{tr} W | P \rangle - \langle P | \text{tr} W^\dagger | P' \rangle \langle P' | \text{tr} W | P \rangle$

 \bigcirc [Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) x physics

2 [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x

3 [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0)

⁴ [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0)

fast partons \leftrightarrow valence partons

slow gluons \leftrightarrow wee gluons

The Color Glass Condensate

Hadron wave function $=$ collection of static color sources

Color sources ρ are classical random variables, treated with a weight function $W_Y[\rho]$

The Color Glass Condensate

Static source $=$ static current of color charge

$$
J^{\mu}_a = \delta^{\mu +} \rho_a(x)
$$

Wee gluons: solutions to the classical Yang-Mills equation with the source

$$
[D_{\nu}, F^{\mu\nu}] = \delta^{\mu+} \rho_a(x) T^a
$$

The Color Glass Condensate

Target matrix elements \rightarrow averages over configurations of sources and dynamical fields A^{μ}

$$
\frac{\langle P|\mathcal{O}|P\rangle}{\langle P|P\rangle} \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{O}\rangle = \int \mathcal{D}\rho \, \mathcal{D}A^{\mu} \, W[\rho] \, e^{iS[\rho, A]} \, \mathcal{O}[\rho, A]
$$

McLerran-Venugopalan model

- Sources \simeq valence quarks \Rightarrow number of sources $\sim N_cA$
- Transverse radius $R_A \sim A^{1/3} \Lambda_{\rm QC}^{-1}$ QCD
- Transverse resolution of the probe $1/Q^2$
- Number of sources seens by the probe $\Delta N = \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2}{Q^2} \frac{N_c A^{1/3}}{\pi}$

If $Q^2 \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2 A^{1/3}$, a large number of sources is probed

Random distribution of sources, total color charge probed is 0:

$$
\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \int_{1/Q^2} \!\! d^2\vec{x} \int d\mathsf{x}^- \rho(\mathsf{x}^-,\vec{\mathsf{x}}) = 0
$$

McLerran-Venugopalan model

- Assume that $\langle \rho_a(x^-,\vec{x}) \rangle = 0$
- Write that $\langle \rho_a(x^-,\vec{x}) \rho_b(y^-,\vec{y}) \rangle = g_s^2 \delta_{ab} \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \lambda(x^-)$
- Assume that higher-point functions vanish

Correlators are generated from a Gaussian weight function

$$
\Phi[\rho] \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int d^2\vec{x}\,\frac{\rho_a\,\rho_a}{\mu^2}\right), \quad \mu \propto \int d\mathbf{x}^- \lambda(\mathbf{x}^-)
$$

Target matrix elements:

$$
\frac{\langle P|\mathcal{O}|P\rangle}{\langle P|P\rangle} \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{O}\rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \,\Phi[\rho]\,\mathcal{O}}{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \,\Phi[\rho]}
$$

- \bigcirc [Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) x physics
- 2 [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x
- **3** [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0)
- ⁴ [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0)

Dissociative meson production at small x

Wilson line operator: simple dipole $D(b, r)$

 $\sqrt{\langle P|P\rangle}$ – $\langle P|P\rangle\langle P|P\rangle$

Convoluted with wave function overlaps $\mathcal{H}(\bm{b}, \bm{r}) = \mathrm{e}^{-i(\bm{\Delta} \cdot \bm{b})} (\Psi_\gamma \Psi_{\text{meson}}^*) (\bm{r})$ and $\mathcal{H}^*(\bm{b}', \bm{r}')$

CGC model for the first term (inclusive diffraction)

$$
\int_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} \frac{\langle P | \mathrm{tr} D^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}') \mathrm{tr} D(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}) | P \rangle}{\langle P | P \rangle} \mathcal{H}^*(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}') \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r})
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} \langle \mathrm{tr} D^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}') \mathrm{tr} D(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}) \rangle \mathcal{H}^*(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}') \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r})
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow \langle \int_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}'} \left[\mathrm{tr} D(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}') \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}') \right]^* \int_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}} \left[\mathrm{tr} D(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}) \right] \rangle
$$

This has the form $\langle \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D} \rangle = \langle |\mathcal{D}|^2 \rangle$

CGC model for the second term (coherent diffraction)

$$
\int_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} \frac{\langle P|\text{tr}D^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}')|P'\rangle\langle P'|\text{tr}D(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r})|P\rangle}{\langle P|P\rangle\langle P|P\rangle} \mathcal{H}^{*}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}')\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r})
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}} \langle \text{tr}D^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}')\rangle \langle \text{tr}D(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r})\rangle \mathcal{H}^{*}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}')\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r})
$$
\n
$$
\rightarrow \left\langle \int_{\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}'} \left[\text{tr}D(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}')\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{r}') \right]^{*} \right\rangle \left\langle \int_{\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}} \left[\text{tr}D(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r})\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{b},\mathbf{r}) \right] \right\rangle
$$
\nThis has the form $\langle D^{*} \rangle \langle D \rangle = |\langle D \rangle|^{2}$

Bit of cheating involved here since matrix elements are non-diagonal so not simple charge averages

CGC model for dissociative meson production

Wilson line operator: simple dipole $D(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{r})$

In a CGC context, this process is sensitive to the variance

 $\langle |\mathcal{D}|^2 \rangle - |\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle|^2$

CGC model for dissociative meson production

This cross section has the form of a variance $\langle |\mathcal{D}|^2 \rangle - |\langle \mathcal{D} \rangle|^2$

Randomness: distribution of color sources in the proton.

It is thus sensitive to any fluctuations of proton shapes, hot spots, local color correlations...

In energy/density limits where the mean field approximation is valid, the cross section vanishes.

Particular case: black disk limit $D \sim 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} = \text{cste}$

- \bigcirc [Semi-classical small](#page-1-0) x physics
- 2 [Dissociation at small](#page-13-0) x
- **3** [The Color Glass Condensate](#page-19-0)
- ⁴ [Dissociation in the CGC](#page-26-0)

- Assuming diffraction ⇔ singlet exchange
- Assuming large target densities due to high energy or high mass number A
- Assuming we can model non-diagonal matrix elements as color averages
- Then dissociative production is directly sensitive to color fluctuations in the proton.