DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

MICROCHANNEL X-RAY TELESCOPE SCIENTIFIC PERFORMANCE

Diego Götz

CEA Irfu/Département d'Astrophysique

diego.gotz@cea.fr

Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg

Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik

CENTRE NATIONAL D'ÉTUDES SPATIALES

Irfu - CEA Saclay Institut de recherche sur les lois fondamentales de l'Univers

Irène Joliot-Curie

EBERHARD KARLS UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN

University of Leicester

WITH INPUTS AND ON BEHALF OF THE MXT SCIENCE TEAM

Science Working Group

www.cea.fr

MXT FLIGHT MODEL

March 18th 2022 @ CNES

MXT HAS BEEN SHIPPED TO CHINA!

MECHANICAL INTEGRATION IN CHINA





MXT FLIGHT MODEL & PERFORMANCE



MXT Optics

MXT Camera & FEE

Energy range	0.2 – 10 keV
Field of View	58 × 58 arcmin
Angular resolution	10 arcmin at 1.5 keV
Source location accuracy	< 120 arcsec for 80 % GRBs
Effective area	~ 35 cm^2 at 1.5 keV
Sensitivity (5σ)	10 mCrab in 10 s
	150 μCrab in 10 ks
Energy resolution	< 80 eV at 1.5 keV
Time resolution	100 ms





MXT FLIGHT MODEL

MXT Data Processing Unit(s): implement the MXT control and scientific OBSW

PANTER E2E CALIBRATION

From October to November 5 2021, the MXT telescope in its final flight configuration was extensively tested at MPE Panter facility

The main goals of the calibration campaign included :

- Measuring the telescope Point Spread Function (PSF) as a function of energy and position in the FOV
- Measuring the telescope Line of Sight (LOS)
- Measuring the telescope effective area
- Measuring the camera spectral response
- Testing the on board S/W scientific partition (real-time localization)
- Exploring different thermal regimes
- Measuring the impact of stray-light using lasers (impact of filter absence)

PANTER SETUP







PANTER (ET AL.) DATA ANALYSIS

In 2022 we focused on the detailed analysis of Panter (and SOLEIL) data. This resulted in a set of papers that have been published lately

1) Spectral performance of the Microchannel X-ray Telescope on board the SVOM mission, by B. Schneider et al., ExpAstr (https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09863)
2) The Scientific Performance of the Microchannel X-ray Telescope on board the SVOM Mission, by D. Götz et al., ExpAstr (https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.13489)
3) Analysis methods to localize and characterize X-ray sources with the Micro-channel X-ray Telescope on board the SVOM satellite, by S. Hussein et al., ApJ (https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.13330)
4) Design and performance of the camera of the Micro-channel X-ray Telescope on-board the SVOM mission, by A. Meuris et al., NIM-A
5) Characterization of the focal plane of the Microchannel X-ray Telescope at the Metrology beamline of SOLEIL synchrotron for the space astronomy mission SVOM, by A. Meuris et al., NIM-A
6) Stability and assembly precision of MXT line of sight, by J.M. Le Duigou et al., SPIE
7) Results of the development of the MXT X-ray telescope for the SVOM mission, by K. Mercier et al., SPIE
8) Calibration of the flight model lobster eye optic for SVOM, by C. Feldman et al., SPIE
9) SVOM-MXT Optic and Telescope Testing at PANTER, by V. Burwitz et al., ICSO

DATA TAKING

C-K 0.277 keV
O-K 0.525 keV
Cu-L 0.93 keV
Mg-K 1.253 keV
Al-K 1.486 keV
W-M 1.774 keV
Ag-L 2.98 keV

Ti-Ka 4.508 keV
Ti-Kb 4.93 keV
Cr-Ka 5.405 keV
Fe-Ka 6.398 keV
Fe-Kb 7.053 keV
Cu-Ka 8.047 keV





CAMERA PERFORMANCE



CAMERA PERFORMANCE : LLT

Combined spectrum used for calibration



Requirement: 200 eV



LLT is stable over the -75° to -65° C range

CAMERA PERFORMANCE : GAIN & OFFSET





At -65°C gain & offset are very regular over the entire detector





threshold for suppressing noise events), a fraction of the photon energy gets lost and the recombined photon energy is thus slightly underestimated

- This produces a charge sharing (CS) energy effect, which on one side induces a shift of the line position to lower energy, and on the other side degrades the spectral performance of the instrument

ENERGY RESOLUTION AND ENERGY SCALE



CHARGE TRANSFER INEFFICIENCY

The more lines the collected charge has travel to reach the CAMEX (i.e. the higher the number of transfers), the higher is the probability to lose some charge. This effect (CTI) can be characterized and corrected. The value measured corresponds well to the expected value for a new device. A degradation is expected in orbit due to irradiation (measurements with an irradiated device are on going, C. Plasse PHD)





OPTICAL PERFORMANCE



OPTICAL PERFORMANCE



$$F(x,y) = \frac{A(f_1(x) + f_2(x))(f_1(y) + f_2(y))}{(1+\eta)^2}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{2x}{G}\right)^2} \qquad f_2(x) = \eta\left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{H}\right)^2\right) \tag{3}$$

$$f_1(y) = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{2y}{G}\right)^2} \qquad f_2(y) = \eta\left(1 - \left(\frac{y}{H}\right)^2\right) \tag{4}$$

G: PSF Width (~FWHM)
eta: contribution of the arms

PSF models are available for simulation if needed

OPTICAL PERFORMANCE

$$F(x,y) = \frac{A(f_1(x)+f_2(x))(f_1(y)+f_2(y))}{(1+\eta)^2}, \qquad (2)$$

where

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{2x}{G}\right)^2} \qquad f_2(x) = \eta\left(1-\left(\frac{x}{H}\right)^2\right) \qquad (3)$$

$$f_1(y) = \frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{2y}{G}\right)^2} \qquad f_2(y) = \eta\left(1-\left(\frac{y}{H}\right)^2\right) \qquad (4)$$





EFFECTIVE AREA



Quite good agreement between the data and the model. The residual discrepancies are probably due to the uncertain SDD reference detector calibration. May be improved by a dedicated calibration campaign in Soleil Synchrotron facility.

The effective area peaks at about 38 cm^2.

MXT SENSITIVITY

- More information about the MXT performance can be found here
  - https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.13489

- Using the MXT effective area derived above, one can estimate the expected counts for an astrophysical source in the MXT

- For example, if we consider a Crab-like spectrum with a photon index Γ =2.1, a normalization of 9 photons/cm^2/s/keV at 1 keV and an NH equivalent column density of 0.45×10^{22} atoms/cm^2, we obtain an expcted count rate of 121 cts/s in the MXT detector over the entire energy range in 1 ks.

- The 5σ MXT sensitivity is hence
  - 1 mCrab in this case (1ks), 13 mCrab in 10 s, and 400 μCrab for a 10 ks observations.

- However, these values can are based on the simple comparison of the expected counts over the entire the detector and they do not consider the advantage of the imaging properties of the MXT, where> 50% of the counts are concentrated in the center of the PSF.
  - The latter is spread over an area of about 100×100 pixels^2, and the expected background within this area is about a 0.15 fraction of the one expected on the whole detector (~ 1 cts/s).
  - Taking this into account, the final sensitivity value is improved by a factor 30%.

MXT SIMULATION TOOLS

- MXT ARF and RMF derived from PANTER calibration data are available and can be used for simulating MXT spectra using XSPEC.
  - If you want to access them, they are available here
  - https://forge.in2p3.fr/projects/svom/dmsf?folder_id=3199
  - If you don't have access to the SVOM redmine, please contact me by e-mail and I can send them to you
- APC colleagues (F. Cangemi & A. Coleiro) - in charge of SVOM GP observations in France- have developed and online simulation tool (jupyter notebook) to derive the exposure required for observing a given source with MXT. The tool can be found here
  - https://fcangemi.github.io/gp-tools-svom/exposure_time-book.html
    - Spectra can also be simulated (for people without XSPEC)
    - https://fcangemi.github.io/gp-tools-svom/exposure_time-book.html#simulate-your-spectra
  - In case of questions you can contact them directly at: cangemi@apc.in2p3.fr; coleiro@apc.in2p3.fr

MXT TRANSIENT SKY SCIENCE



CONCLUSIONS

- The MXT instrument is now mechanically and electrically integrated to the satellite!

- The data taken at PANTER on October/November 2021 are of high quality and allowed us to reach all the calibration goals that have been presented in in detail in different papers. Spectral response matrices and PSF models are available on the redmine, exposure time calculator is available online.

- The performance requirements are globally met (even if with little margin for some items)