





Yelyzaveta Yedelkina

Dr.J.P. Lansberg (IJCLab), Dr.M.Nefedov(IJCLab)

Sep. 27, 2023

Assemblée Générale 2023 du GDR QCD

Y. Yedelkina (IJCLab,UCD)

Inclusive J/ψ and Y production in $\gamma\gamma$ fusion

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Part I

Introduction

Y. Yedelkina (IJCLab,UCD)

Inclusive J/ψ and Y production in $\gamma\gamma$ fusion

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Introduction: inclusive J/ψ and Y production in photon fusion In this work we discuss direct-photon and single-resolved photon $J/\psi(Y)$ production in $\gamma\gamma$ fusion:

as a reminder, J/ψ(Y) is a cc̄ (bb̄) bound state with J = 1, L = 0, S = 1; vector particle



One supposes factorisation:

- collinear, in which the hadronic cross section can be written as the convolution of the photon flux (WWA) and PDFs (for resolved-photon) with the partonic cross section;
- between the hard part (a perturbative amplitude, which describes the QQ pair production) and the soft part (a non-perturbative matrix element, which describes hadronisation - LDME):
- Colour Singlet (CS): the Taylor series expansion of the ampl. in the QQ relative momentum (v) to the 1st non-vanishing term. Colour Octet (CO): higher-v² ord.

General structure of NLO corrections

M. Krämer, Nucl.Phys., B459, 3 (96')



Singularities at NLO [and how they are removed]:

- Real emission
 - Infrared divergences: Soft [cancelled by loop IR contr.]
 - Infrared divergences: Collinear
 - initial state [subtracted by Altarelli-Parisi counter-terms (AP-CT) in the factorised PDFs]
 - final state [cancelled by loop IR contr.]
- Virtual (loop) contribution
 - Ultraviolet divergences: [removed by renormalisation]
 - Infrared divergences: [cancelled by real Infrared contribution]

[The quark and antiquark attached to the ellipsis are taken as on-shell and their relative velocity v is set to zero.]

LEP2 Puzzle: the DELPHI data overshoot CS+CO



- M. Klasen, B.A. Kniehl, L.N. Mihaila, M. Steinhauser (Phys.Rev.Lett.89:032001,2002): at low p_T LO CS+CO prediction reproduces the **DELPHI** data (J. Abdallah et al., PLB 565, 76 (2003))
- M. Butenschoen, B.A.Kniehl: (PRD84, 051501(R),2011): At NLO in α_s-order CS+CO these data do not agree anymore with NRQCD
- DELPHI: the normalisation of the data may be wrong: only 16ev. with p_T > 1GeV and it was not confirmed by any of the 3 LEPII exp.
- CO: perturbatively unstable

LEP2 Puzzle: more direct photon processes



Z.Q.Chen, L.B. Chen and C.F. Qiao: PRD 95, 036001 (2017)

- Given the current situation → direct photon processes matter
- In PRD 95: dominant direct γγ → J/ψcc̄ was computed up to NLO in α_s in CS → but it's not enought to reproduce the data
- the QED contribution to the inclusive yield was never considered for DELPHI and CEPC

DEPLHI data: J. Abdallah et al., PLB 565, 76 (2003)

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三

Part II

Computation of direct-photon $J/\psi(Y) + \gamma$ in $\gamma\gamma$ fusion process

Y. Yedelkina (IJCLab,UCD)

Inclusive J/ψ and Y production in $\gamma\gamma$ fusion

Sep. 27, 2023 7/18

A (1) > A (2) > A

Squared amplitude

- FeynArts: to generate expressions for Feynman diagrams
- In the amplitudes for the bound state of cc we replace heavy-quark spinors *ū*(*p*₁) and *v*(*p*₂) with the Colour Singlet spin projector + contracted colour indices + one momenta:

$$c(p_1) + \bar{c}(p_2) \rightarrow c\bar{c} \begin{bmatrix} {}^3S_1^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} (k_3)$$

- FeynCalc: tensor reduction & find master topologies (7/48 total)
- $\bullet~$ Solve linear dependence in propagators introduced with NRQCD limit $\rightarrow~$ partial-fractioning

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Partial-fractioning



Equation with linearly-dependant denominators $(1/(D_1D_2D_3))$: $Eq = aD_1(I) + bD_2(I) + cD_3(I) + f = 0$, where $a, b, c, f \neq a(I), b(I), c(I), f(I)$

2 Two cases

• If
$$f = 0$$
, then $Eq/(cD_3)/(D_1D_2D_3)$:
 $\frac{1}{D_1D_2D_3} = -\frac{b}{c}\frac{D_2}{D_3}\frac{1}{D_1D_2D_3} - \frac{a}{c}\frac{D_1}{D_3}\frac{1}{D_1D_2D_3}$
 $= -\frac{b}{c}\frac{1}{D_1D_3^2} - \frac{a}{c}\frac{1}{D_2D_3^2}$
• If $f \neq 0$, then $Eq/(f)/(D_1D_2D_3)$:
 $\frac{1}{D_1D_2D_3} = -\frac{b}{f}\frac{D_2}{D_1D_2D_3} - \frac{a}{f}\frac{D_1}{D_1D_2D_3} - \frac{c}{f}\frac{D_3}{D_1D_2D_3}$

Y. Yedelkina (IJCLab,UCD)

Evaluation of the master integrals

• Feynman integrals:

$$I(\vec{s};\nu;D) = \int \left(\prod_{j=1}^{L} e^{\gamma_{E}\epsilon} \frac{d^{D}l_{j}}{i\pi^{D/2}}\right) \frac{N(l_{j}\cdot l_{i}, l_{j}\cdot p_{j};D)}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} (m_{j}^{2} - q_{j}^{2} - i\epsilon)^{\nu_{j}}},$$

- Master integrals (MI): integrals with $v_j = 0, 1$ for each *j*-denominator and $N(l_j \cdot l_l, l_j \cdot p_l; D) = 1$
- IBP reduction: follow from translation invariance of the loop momentum.
- LiteRed, FIRE, KIRA, FiniteFlow IBP reduction of Feynman integrals to master integrals
- LoopTools library: Feynman integrals are evaluated in an efficient and numerically-stable way

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Evaluation of the amplitudes

- UV renormalisation: wave-function and quark mass renormalisation counter-terms (in On-shell scheme) restore gauge invariance and cancel μ_R dependence of the $J/\psi + \gamma$ amplitudes
- Fortran: to get differential hadronic cross-section, phase-space integration was performed numerically using CUBA packages (MC integration routines)
- Helac-Onia+MadAnalysis: we generate .lhe files + apply experimental cuts to plot LO cross sections for $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi + ggg$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi + c\bar{c}$
- One of the goals is to work on helicity amplitudes, which could be used to implement *α_s*-order cross-section computation in MC generators
- In future the one-loop helicity amplitudes for the similar process $gg \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma$ are relevant for TMD factorization computations at NLO

Part III

Phenomenology study of quarkonium production

Y. Yedelkina (IJCLab,UCD)

Inclusive J/ψ and Y production in $\gamma\gamma$ fusion

Sep. 27, 2023 12/18

K factors for DELPHI and CEPC predictions



- For single-resolved photon contribution K-factor > 1, direct-photon $(J/\psi + \gamma)$ contribution < 1
- Detailed comparison with the results from Klasen et al. (PRD71, 014016 (2005))
 in progress

Results with LEP2 DELPHI cuts for J/ψ



- We computed CS 1-loop QED direct-γ predictions for the 1st time for DELPHI
- QED contribution is relevant at low p_T
- CS channels $(J/\psi + ggg)$ and $(J/\psi + c\bar{c})$ included at LO in α_s

DEPLHI data: J. Abdallah et al., PLB 565, 76 (2003)

< 61 b

э.

Predictions with CEPC cuts for J/ψ



- We computed CS 1-loop QED direct-γ predictions for the 1st time for CEPC
- QED contribution is relevant at low p_T
- CS channels $(J/\psi + ggg)$ and $(J/\psi + c\bar{c})$ included at LO in α_s

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三

Predictions with CEPC cuts for Y



- We computed CS 1-loop QED direct-photon and single-resolved photon predictions for Y for the 1st time for CEPC
- For Y CO-contribution is smaller
- QED contribution is relevant at low p_T

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三

Exclusive $\gamma + \gamma \rightarrow J/\psi + \gamma$ is within the LHC reach

Thanks to D. d'Enterria and K.Lynch

- Photon efficiency:
 - 2.5 < ρ^γ_T < 3GeV: O(0.5) due to trigger, expected to grow close to 1 if associated with a J/ψ
 - $p_T^{\gamma} > 3\text{GeV}=O(1)$
- Cross section in UPC PbPb collisions in the CMS at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV for
 - ▶ 1.2 < |y^ψ| < 2.4</p>
 - |η^γ| < 2.4</p>
 - $p_T^{\psi} > 2.5 \text{GeV}$
- $\sigma_{LO} = O(10)$ nb, ($K_{NLO} = O(1)$)
- Expected event counts: $\sigma \times \epsilon \times Br \times L_{PbPb} = 10 \times 0.06 \times 13 = O(10)$ events
- Conclusion: exclusive direct-photon (J/ψ + γ) can be measured in ultra-peripheral heavy-ion collisions at the LHC
- This gives us confidence that inclusive $J/\psi + \gamma$ and $J/\psi + X$ from photon fusion can be measured at LHC if UPC can be identified in inclusive reactions

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Summary

- LEP puzzle: the experimental data from DELPHI LEP2 overshoots CS+CO NLO- α_s leading $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ contributions
- It may indicate that we have issues with the normalisation of the data or with the CO model
- CS is more perturbatively stable: direct-photon processes (J/ψ + γ, J/ψ + cc̄) are not negligible
- We computed the first predictions for CS one-loop QED direct-photon production for CEPC and DELPHI and single-resolved photon contributions for J/ψ production for CEPC
- We computed the first predictions for CS one-loop QED direct-photon and single-resolved photon contributions for Y production for CEPC
- For the computations we used self-written codes based on FeynArts, FeynCalc, KIRA, LoopTools, Fortran CUBA
- Exclusive direct-photon $(J/\psi + \gamma)$ can be measured in ultra-peripheral heavy-ion collisions at the LHC