





### **Neganov-Luke light detectors for double-beta decay experiments**

Vladyslav Berest

INR Neutrino meeting 19-20 June 2023



# **Why do we need new technologies for LDs?**

### **CUPID**

CUPID - proposed next-generation 0v2b bolometric experiment that will use CUORE infrastructure with background level 100 times lower at the ROI

CUORE background  $-$ Total  $\alpha$  $B + y$  $10<sup>o</sup>$  $10^{-}$  $130Te$  $10<sup>2</sup>$ **CUORE Preliminary** Energy (keV)

- Reject  $\alpha$  background with **scintillating bolometers** (high-performing light detectors for effective rejection)
- Mitigate  $\gamma$  background by moving from  $\alpha$  Absorber <sup>130</sup>Te to <sup>100</sup>Mo (Q<sub>BB</sub>=3034keV)





# **CUPID**



Random coincidence of 2 $\nu$ 2 $\beta$  events (T<sub>1/2</sub><sup>2 $\nu$ 2 $\beta$ =7.1×10<sup>18</sup> y)</sup>

**Pile-ups could be rejected by pulse shape** but required:

- Improve noise level in the heat and light channels
- Improve sensitivity and speed acting on sensor features
- Widen electronics bandwidth and increase sampling rate
- Investigate machine learning techniques
- Improve S/N and/or speed of light detectors by technological upgrades

- **Minimization of the signal rise-time**
- **Improving of baseline RMS**

**The necessity of the light detectors technological upgrade**

## **Neganov-Luke technology**



$$
E_{tot} = E_0 \left( 1 + \frac{q \cdot V_{el} \cdot \eta}{\epsilon} \right) = E_0 \cdot G_{NTL}
$$

W

 $E_{0}$ : Energy of the ionizing particle ε: Average energy required to generate an electron-hole pair q: elementary charge  $V_{el}$ : Potential between the electrodes η: Amplification efficiency  $G<sub>NTL</sub>$ : Gain



# **Possible difficulties**



W

# **Possible difficulties**



W

# **Possible difficulties**



# **First tests in IJCLab, Orsay**

In the past, a lot of circular NTL LDs were produced in France for R&D, with good results obtained:



# **Tests of different electrodes geometries**

### **"Concentric" geometry**



 $(1<sup>st</sup>$  electrode set

2<sup>nd</sup> electrode set)

- 45x45x0.3 mm<sup>3</sup> square HP Ge wafers
- 200 nm Al electrodes deposited on planar surface with photolithography by lift-off method
- 200 μm width electrodes with a 3.8 mm gap between them
- Maximum voltage **35V**
- Effective **gain ~7**

• 45x45x0.3 mm³ square HP Ge wafers

 $(1<sup>st</sup> electrode)$ 

- 200 nm Al electrodes deposited on planar surface with photolithography by lift-off method
- 2 x 200 μm width meandering electrodes with a 3.8 mm gap between them
- Maximum voltage **15V**
- Effective **gain ~4.6**

#### **"Meander" geometry**



# **Tests of different electrodes geometries**

### **"Edge" geometry**



- 45x45x0.3 mm<sup>3</sup> square HP Ge wafers
- 200 nm Al electrodes deposited on the edges
- Low electric field into the Ge  $\rightarrow$  Allows higher NTL voltage
- Maximum voltage **190V**
- Effective **gain ~7**
- 45x45x0.3 mm<sup>3</sup> square HP Ge wafers
- 200 nm concentric circular Al electrodes deposited on planar surface using evaporation
- Maximum voltage **>170V**
- Effective **gain ~9**

**"Circular" geometry**



(2<sup>nd</sup> electrode set)

- The tower consists of 10 light detectors and 10 crystals (6 Li<sub>2</sub>100MoO<sub>4</sub> ) and the set of the set and 4 TeO<sub>2</sub>
- **10 identical NL light detectors** were produced using evaporation: circular concentric electrodes on square Ge wafers 0.3mm thickness
- Structure installed in Canfranc underground laboratory in **February 2023**





• First measurements with **0V NL bias** to check the initial performance of light detectors:



• Sigma baseline **<85 eV** is enough to have a more then 99% alpha rejection

• 9/9 light detectors are working well at **50V NL bias**: good noise, no leakage current



Sigma baseline 50V

W

• Distribution for pile-ups rejection



# **Not optimal electrodes geometry**

- Geometry of electrodes not optimized: for square light detectors with circular masks that were available at the moment
- Electrodes connected inside the circle, no field outside, **56%** of the surface under bias



$$
\sigma_{projected} = \frac{\sigma_{measured}}{AreaFactor}
$$

$$
AreaFactor = \frac{Gain_{square}}{Gain_{circular}} = 1.79 - 0.79/Gain_{circular}
$$

# **Aboveground measurement at IJCLab, Orsay**





$$
AreaFactor_{estim}=1.5
$$

$$
\left\lceil \left( \frac{Gain_{square}}{Gain_{circular}} \right)_{meas} = 1.4 \right\rceil
$$







- Neganov-Luke light detectors are a promising technology to **increase the signal to noise** ratio and so to help to the **pile-up rejection**
- We are very reproducible in terms of production high performing NL light detectors. (**9/9 detectors operating at 50V** at Canfranc underground laboratory)
- With 50V NL bias we can reach **mean value of sigma baseline of 17.6 eV**
- Demonstrated that **BI<1×10-4 count/keV∙kg∙y is reachable** even in not optimal electrodes configuration at 50V NL voltage
- Aboveground measurements **confirmed scalability** of NL gain due to changing of electrodes geometry
- **This technology has been recently selected to become the new baseline for CUPID light detectors**



# **Thank you for attention!**



### **Backups**



#### An innovative detector assembly

- Minimize the amount of passive material
- Active shielding using the light detector position

#### **Geometrical reduction of the** surface radioactivity

+ compact assembly for anticoincidence cuts



# **BINGO**

### A cryogenic active veto

Made of scintillators (BGO) with  $\bullet$ a  $4\pi$  coverage operated at 20 mK Scintillation light read by its own light detectors

Suppress the external Y background and reject surface radioactivity from the crystals facing the active shield using anti-coincidence



#### **Neganov-Trofimov-Luke** light detectors

- **Higher signal to noise ratio**  $\bullet$ 
	- $\rightarrow$  lower energy threshold= efficient suppression of external y background with the veto
	- $\rightarrow$  Reject the background induced by the  $2\nu\beta\beta$  pileup events in **LMO**
- **Amplification of the tiny** Cerenkov signal (TeO<sub>2</sub>)

 $\rightarrow \alpha$  rejection





### **BINGO**





If the 2615 keV  $\gamma$  deposit a small amount of energy in the surrounding material ( $\sim$ 80 keV) and the rest in TeO2  $\rightarrow$  background in ROI

With the veto, the  $\gamma$  interact within it before and emit scintillation light which is detected by the LD  $\rightarrow$  rejected by anticoincidence

We estimated a conservative energy threshold to reach in the veto of about 50 keV (~hundreds of eV in the LD)  $\rightarrow$  NTL amplification on LD will help to reach it









Evaporation of 20 nm a-Ge:H + 200 nm Al









Deposition of 20 nm a-Ge:H + 200 nm Al





**IPI** Ge wafer