



Heavy-flavor femtoscopy in Au+Au collisions @ $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ at STAR

Priyanka Roy Chowdhury
(for the *STAR Collaboration*)

Warsaw University of Technology, Poland



The 21st International Conference on Strangeness in Quark Matter
3 - 7 June 2024, Strasbourg, France

Supported in party by

Motivation – c/\bar{c} interaction with QGP

- Heavy quarks (c and b) are produced early in collisions → useful to probe all stages of heavy-ion collisions
- Significant D^0 elliptic flow and suppression of D^0 meson at high p_T are observed in heavy-ion reactions at RHIC
- Strong interaction of charm quarks with the quark-gluon plasma and their thermalization
- **New observables** to constrain different models and understand production mechanism




Figure 1: Stages of heavy-ion collisions




Figure 2: D^0 anisotropy vs. transverse momentum




Figure 3: R_{AA} (a) D^0 , (b) $\pi^{+/-}$ & $h^{+/-}$

Femtoscopic correlation

- Femtoscopic correlations are observed between pair of particles with low relative momentum
- Correlations are measured as a function of the reduced momentum difference (k^*) of the pair of particles in rest frame


$$C(\vec{k}^*) = \int S(\vec{r}^*) \left| \Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}^*) \right|^2 d^3 r^*, \quad (1)$$

where, $S(\vec{r}^*) \rightarrow$ source emission function

$\vec{r}^* \rightarrow$ relative separation vector

$\Psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r}^*) \rightarrow$ pair wave function

- Femtoscopic Correlation \longrightarrow QS + FSI
 - Quantum Statistics [QS]: Bose-Einstein / Fermi-Dirac
 - Final-State-Interaction [FSI]: Strong & Coulomb interaction
 - **Only strong interaction contributes to D^0/\bar{D}^0 - h^\pm femtoscopy**



Femtoscopic correlation & k^*

Femtoscscopy and interaction parameters

The Lednicky–Lyuboshitz analytical model connects the correlation function with final-state strong interaction parameters

$$C(k^*) = 1 + \sum_s \rho_s \left[\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{f^s(k^*)}{r_0} \right|^2 \left(1 - \frac{d_0^s}{2\sqrt{\pi}r_0} \right) + \frac{2\Re(f^s)(k^*)}{\sqrt{2}r_0} F_1(Qr_0) - \frac{\Im(f^s k^*)}{r_0} F_2(Qr_0) \right] \quad (2)$$

where , $f^s(k^*)$ is the scattering amplitude for singlet ($s = 0$) or triplet ($s = 1$) state

ρ_s is fraction of pairs with a given spin s ($\rho_0 = 1/4$ and $\rho_1 = 3/4$)

$$Q = 2k^*, \quad F_1(z) = \int_0^z dx e^{x^2 - z^2} / z, \quad F_2(z) = (1 - e^{-z^2}) / z$$

This model assumes, average separation vector (\vec{r}^*) from eq. (1), follows Gaussian distribution

$$dN^3 / d^3 r^* \propto e^{-r^{*2} / 4r_0^2} \quad (3)$$

where, r_0 is the effective radius of the correlated source

Learning outcomes

- Two-particle femtoscopic correlations are sensitive to the interactions in the final state as well as to the extent of the region from which correlated particles are emitted
- Average distance between emission points of correlated pairs (D^0 -hadron) is known as ‘*length of homogeneity*’
- Femtoscopy may provide additional information about the correlation between charmed mesons and light mesons at the freeze-out





Figure 4: c/\bar{c} as a probe of QGP medium and final-state interaction



Final State Interaction




Figure 5: $C(k^*)$ for (left) pD and (right) πD pairs and interaction behavior of D^\pm at final state

- First studies of D-hadron interactions in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by the ALICE experiment
- ALICE data for both p -D and D - π pairs are compatible within $(1.1 - 1.5)\sigma$ with the theory predictions obtained from the hypothesis of Coulomb only interaction
- Small values of $a_{\pi D}$ (scattering length) → ALICE measurement suggests strong interactions in the hadronic phase of heavy-ion collision are small (parameters are consistent with 0)
- Possibility to learn something new about nuclear medium or QGP by measuring the source size or length of homogeneity

Freeze-out dynamics

→ Properties of nuclear medium

Example – source size measured at RHIC with Kaons compatible with model calculations employing hydrodynamics

→ Local thermal equilibrium




Figure 6: Emission source phase-space

M. Lisa, S. Pratt, R. Soltz, U. Wiedemann, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 2005.55:357-402

STAR, Phys. Rev. C 88 (2013) 34906

HKM – Hydro Kinetic Model







Figure 7: m_T dependence of 3-D source size using Kaon femtoscopy


STAR (Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC)



STAR (Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC)





TOF (Time of Flight)




TPC (Time Projection Chamber)

- TOF is used for PID
- TPC is used for tracking and PID


STAR (Solenoidal Tracker At RHIC)



TOF (Time of Flight)



HFT (Heavy Flavour Tracker)



TPC (Time Projection Chamber)

- TOF is used for PID
- TPC is used for tracking and PID
- HFT is used for D⁰ reconstruction

Particle Identification (PID)

STAR, Phys. Rev. C 99, 034908 (2019)





Figure 8: Particle identification using TPC (left) and TOF (right)

- dE/dx bands for π and K overlap around 0.7 GeV/c; K and p bands overlap beyond 1.2 GeV/c
- To distinguish between π , K and p at higher momenta (> 0.7 GeV/c), TOF information was required

Dataset and D^0 meson reconstruction

STAR, Phys. Rev. C 99, 034908 (2019)



$$c\tau \approx 123 \mu m$$

$$1.6 < D^0 \text{ mass window} < 2.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

$D^0 \rightarrow$ *mixture of $D^0 (K^-\pi^+)$ and $\bar{D}^0 (K^+\pi^-)$*





Dataset:

- Au+Au, 200 GeV, collected in Run 2014
- Trigger: Minimum bias
- Centrality: 0 – 80%
- 490 M good minimum bias events

D^0 reconstruction:

- Decay length - distance between decay vertex and primary vertex (PV)
- Distance of Closest Approach (DCA) between:
 - a) K^- & π^+ - DCA_{12}
 - b) π^+ & PV - DCA_π
 - c) K^- & PV - DCA_K
 - d) D^0 & PV - DCA_{D^0}
- θ - angle between \bar{P} & decay length

D^0 invariant mass & signal purity



- Unlike-sign ($K\pi^+$) pairs from SE construct ‘signal’
- Like-sign ($K\pi^-$ and $K^+\pi^+$) pairs from SE and unlike-sign $K\pi$ pairs from ME represent ‘background’
- Invariant mass range for D^0 signal: 1.82 – 1.91 GeV/c^2

→ D^0 purity:

$$\frac{\text{Signal}}{(\text{Signal} + \text{Background})}$$

- Higher D^0 signal purity with increasing p_T bin
- Good S/B ratio for D^0 signal $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}/c$

Figure 9: p_T dependence of $K\pi$ invariant mass distribution and D^0 signal purity

Correction of raw correlation function

→ Correlation function $C(\vec{k}^*)$ for $D^0/\bar{D}^0 - h^{+/-}$ pairs:
$$C(\vec{k}^*) = \mathcal{N} \frac{A(\vec{k}^*)}{B(\vec{k}^*)}. \quad (4)$$

$A(\vec{k}^*)$ and $B(\vec{k}^*) \rightarrow k^*$ distribution for correlated and uncorrelated pairs; $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow$ normalization factor

→ Pair-purity corrected correlation function:
$$C_{\text{measured}}^{\text{corr}}(k^*) = \frac{C_{\text{measured}}(k^*) - 1}{\text{PairPurity}} + 1, \quad (5)$$

where $\text{PairPurity} = \mathbf{D^0 \text{ purity}} * \mathbf{hadron \text{ purity}}$

- $C_{\text{measured}}(k^*)$ is the raw correlation function calculated using Eq. (4)
- D^0 -hadron pair purity correction is required to remove the contribution from combinatorial background (D^0 candidates reconstructed from like-sign $K\pi$ pairs within selected mass range)
- Average D^0 purity $\sim 37\%$, $1 \text{ GeV}/c < p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}/c$
- Kaon purity $\sim (97 \pm 3 \text{ (syst.)})\%$, $p_K < 1 \text{ GeV}/c$
- Pion purity $\sim (99.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ (syst.)})\%$, $p_\pi < 1 \text{ GeV}/c$
- Proton purity $\sim (99.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ (syst.)})\%$, $p_p < 1.2 \text{ GeV}/c$



Results: D^0/\bar{D}^0 - $K^{+/-}$ correlation




Figure 10: $C(k^*)$ for D^0 - K pairs with systematic uncertainties (boxes). Green and pink bands are theory predictions of $C(k^*)$ for D^0 - K^+ channel using source radii of 5 fm and 2 fm respectively

- STAR data shows no significant correlations, but the data is also consistent with theoretical model predictions with emission source size of 5 fm or larger
- Resonance effect of D_{S0}^* (2317) $^\pm$ state is NOT visible due to large source size or large experimental uncertainties

- $C(k^*)$ measured for D^0 - K^+ , D^0 - K^- , \bar{D}^0 - K^+ and \bar{D}^0 - K^- with kaon momentum < 1 GeV/c and D^0 $p_T > 1$ GeV/c
- Theory predictions are estimated for D^0 - K^+ channel using next-to-leading order (NLO) - Heavy Meson Chiral Perturbation Theory (HMChPT) scheme

NLO + HMChPT: M. Albaladejo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 108, 014020

Results: D^0/\bar{D}^0 - $\pi^{+/-}$ correlation





Figure 11: $C(k^*)$ for D^0 - π pairs with systematic uncertainties (boxes). Green and pink bands are theory predictions of $C(k^*)$ for D - π channel using source radii of 2 fm and 5 fm respectively

- We do not observe significant correlations, but STAR data is consistent with theoretical model predictions with emission source size of 5 fm or larger

- $C(k^*)$ calculated for D^0 - π^+ , D^0 - π^- , \bar{D}^0 - π^+ and \bar{D}^0 - π^- with π momentum < 1 GeV/c and D^0 $p_T > 1$ GeV/c
- Theory calculations consist of D^0 - π^+ and D^+ - π^0 channels using next-to-leading order (NLO) - Heavy Meson Chiral Perturbation Theory (HMChPT) scheme

NLO + HMChPT: M. Albaladejo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 108, 014020

Results: D^0/\bar{D}^0 - $p^{+/-}$ correlation



- $C(k^*)$ contains D^0 - p^+ , D^0 - p^- , \bar{D}^0 - p^+ and \bar{D}^0 - p^- with proton momentum $< 1.2 \text{ GeV/c}$ and $D^0 p_T > 1 \text{ GeV/c}$
- We do not observe significant correlations between D^0 - p pairs
- Suggesting large emission source size

Figure 12: $C(k^*)$ for D^0 - p pairs with systematic uncertainties (blue brackets)

Summary & future plans

- D-meson femtoscopy is applicable to probe the interaction behavior of charmed hadron and the phase space geometry of emission source
- Correlation studies between D^0 and charged hadrons, provide consistent results with no significant correlation and large emission source size (~ 5 fm)
- Even though current statistical precision is not sufficient to make decisive conclusions but good prospects for improving precision of the measurement; In total 2B events are available from 2014 and 2016 data
- Model study (ex. Lednický–Lyuboshitz) is on the plan to extract interaction parameters and emission source size
- Theoretical inputs are required to connect the observed correlation functions and interaction parameters of charm and light quarks before hadronization

Thank you!



The 21st International Conference on Strangeness in Quark Matter
3-7 June 2024, Strasbourg, France



Theory prediction of CF for $D\pi$ channels

NLO + HMChPT: M. Albaladejo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 108, 014020




Figure: Correlation functions for $D\pi$ channels predicted for $R = 1, 2$ and 5 fm sources represented by red, blue and green dashed lines respectively. Corresponding bands show uncertainties with 68% CL

→ Interaction in $I = 3/2$ sector ($D^0\pi^-$) is weaker and repulsive

→ Isospin combinations for $D\pi$ channels

$$C_{D^+\pi^0} = \frac{2}{3}C_{3/2}^{D\pi} + \frac{1}{3}C_{1/2}^{D\pi},$$

$$C_{D^0\pi^+} = \frac{1}{3}C_{3/2}^{D\pi} + \frac{2}{3}C_{1/2}^{D\pi},$$

$$C_{D^0\pi^-} = C_{3/2}^{D\pi},$$

→ Predicted CF for $D^0\pi^+$ and $D^+\pi^0$ channels considered only $I = 1/2$ state

→ Depletion at $k \sim 215$ MeV for $R = 1$ fm source, produce due to presence of the lightest D^*_0 state [$D^*_0(2135)$]

→ For $R = 2$ fm and 5 fm sources, the minimum is present but diluted

Correction of detector effects

1. Self correlation: Possible correlation between D^0 candidates and their daughters were removed


Hadron (chosen for pairing with D^0) track id \neq Track id of D^0 (π^+K^-)

2. Track splitting: Track splitting causes an enhancement of pairs at low relative pair momentum k^* . This enhancement is created by a single track reconstructed as two tracks, with similar momenta. Track splitting mostly affects identical particle combinations (here, $\pi_D^0 - \pi$ and $K_D^0 - K$), as one track may leave a hit in a single pad-row. Due to shifts of pad-rows, it can be registered twice. In order to remove split tracks, we applied following condition.

No. of hit points / Max no. of hit points > 0.51

Possible detector effects

3. Track merging:



Merging of tracks inside TPC

Approach 1:

- $\delta r(i) < \text{mean TPC distance separation}$ → 'merged' hits
- $\delta r(i)$ - distance between TPC hits of two tracks
- Pair of tracks with fraction of merged hits $> 5\%$ were removed as 'merged tracks'
- The technique was adopted from HBT approach

Approach 2:

- $\delta r(i) < \text{threshold}$ → 'merged' hits

Approach 3:

- **SE/ME of $\Delta\eta$ vs $\Delta\phi$ distribution** → no dip around 0 → negligible effect of merged tracks
- **With variation of merging cuts** → **Negligible effect on correlation value, no correction applied**

Selection criteria

Event cuts

- $|V_z| < 6.0$ cm.
- $|V_z - V_z^{\text{VPD}}| < 3.0$ cm.
- $|V_x| > 1.0e^{-5}$ cm.
- $|V_y| > 1.0e^{-5}$ cm.
- $\sqrt{[(V_x)^2 + (V_y)^2]} \leq 2.0$

Track cuts

- $p_T > 0.5$ GeV/c
- $|dca| > 0.0050$ cm.
- $n\text{HitsFit} \geq 20$
- $|\eta| \leq 1.0$

PID cuts for π , K & p

- $|n\sigma_\pi| < 3.0$
- $|n\sigma_K| < 2.0$
- $|n\sigma_p| < 2.0$
- $|\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_\pi}| < 0.03$
- $|\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_K}| < 0.03$
- $|\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\beta_p}| < 0.03$
- $\frac{n\text{HitsFit}}{n\text{HitsFitMax}} > 0.51$