

# Study of hadron two-body and three-body interactions with femtoscopy

#### Raffaele Del Grande<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Physik Department E62, Technische Universität München, 85748 Garching, Germany



21<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Strangeness in Quark Matter (SQM 2024) Strasbourg, France 6<sup>th</sup> June 2024

\*raffaele.del-grande@tum.de

#### Why do we study hadronic interactions?

Understand how QCD evolves from high-energy to low-energy regime

How do hadrons interact? 2-body and many-body interactions



**Mesons and baryons** 

 $\tau$  decay (N<sup>3</sup>LO)  $\vdash$  low O<sup>2</sup> cont. (N<sup>3</sup>LO)  $\vdash$ 

DIS jets (NLO)

Heavy Quarkonia (NLO)

0.35

#### Femtoscopy technique at the Large Hadron Collider





#### Femtoscopy technique at the Large Hadron Collider

 $\overline{\mathbf{p}}_a$ 



Hadronic interaction

 $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}_b$ 

Femtoscopy technique

$$C(\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{a},\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{b}) \equiv \frac{P(\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{a},\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{b})}{P(\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{a})P(\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{b})}$$

ALICE: Thomas Humanic 4 Jun, 09:10 Neelima Agrawal 4 Jun, 18:30 Anton Riedel 5 Jun, 09:30 Valentina Mantovani Sarti 5 Jun, 08:30 Laura Serksnyte/Anton Riedel 4 Jun, 17:30
STAR: Priyanka Roy 4 Jun, 17:10 Bijun Fan 4 Jun, 17:30 Boyang Fu 5 Jun, 09:10
Theo: Kenshi Kuroki 5 Jun, 08:50 Juan Torres-Rincon 6 Jun, 18:00

#### **Correlation function**



Measuring  $C(k^*)$ , fixing the source  $S(\vec{r})$ , study the interaction

M.Lisa, S. Pratt et al., ARNPS 55 (2005), 357-402 L. Fabbietti et al., ARNPS 71 (2021), 377-402



#### Source function in pp collisions at the LHC

• Emitting source function anchored to p-p correlation function

$$C(k^*) = \int S(\vec{r}) |\psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r})|^2 d^3 \vec{r}$$
  
measured known interaction

• Gaussian parametrization

$$S(r) = \frac{1}{(4\pi r_{core}^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4r_{core}^2}\right) \times \frac{\text{Effect of short lived}}{\text{resonances (ct ~ 1 for } 1)}$$

ALICE Coll., PLB, 811 (2020), 135849



*Talk:* Anton Riedel 5 Jun, 09:30 *Poster:* Neelima Agrawal 4 Jun, 18:30

#### Source function in pp collisions at the LHC

• Emitting source function anchored to p-p correlation function

$$C(k^*) = \int S(\vec{r}) |\psi(\vec{k}^*, \vec{r})|^2 d^3 \vec{r}$$
  
measured known interaction

• Gaussian parametrization

 $S(r) = \frac{1}{(4\pi r_{core}^2)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4r_{core}^2}\right) \times$ 



ALICE Coll., PLB, 811 (2020), 135849

 One universal source for all hadrons (cross-check with K<sup>+</sup>-p, π-π, p-Λ, p-π)

• Small particle-emitting source created in pp collisions at the LHC ALICE Coll., PLB, 811 (2020), 135849; ALICE Coll., arXiv:2311.14527

*Talk:* Anton Riedel 5 Jun, 09:30 *Poster:* Neelima Agrawal 4 Jun, 18:30



#### Femtoscopy measurements at the LHC

ALICE provided unprecedented precision input in the study of the hadronic interactions





#### Femtoscopy measurements at the LHC

ALICE provided unprecedented precision input in the study of the hadronic interactions



#### The NA and NNA interactions in neutron stars

- High density in the core of neutron stars

   → Production of hyperons as Λ at ρ = 2-3ρ₀ and softening of the equation of state
  - $\rightarrow$  Incompatibility with astrophysical measurements of  $M_{NS}\gtrsim 2~M_{\odot}$
  - $\rightarrow$  Long-standing hyperon puzzle



Nature Reviews Physics 4 (2022) Figure adapted from NICER

#### The NA and NNA interactions in neutron stars

- High density in the core of neutron stars
   → Production of hyperons as Λ at ρ = 2-3ρ₀ and
   softening of the equation of state
  - $\rightarrow$  Incompatibility with astrophysical measurements of  $M_{NS}\gtrsim 2~M_{\odot}$
  - $\rightarrow$  Long-standing hyperon puzzle



D. Lonardoni et al., PRL 114 (2019)

#### The NA and NNA interactions in neutron stars

- High density in the core of neutron stars

   → Production of hyperons as Λ at ρ = 2-3ρ₀ and softening of the equation of state
  - $\rightarrow$  Incompatibility with astrophysical measurements of  $M_{NS}\gtrsim 2~M_{\odot}$
  - ightarrow Long-standing hyperon puzzle
- Repulsive 3-body ∧NN interaction can stiffen the EoS but:
   → Effect on EoS largely model dependent
  - $\rightarrow$  too repulsive YNN leads to no hyperons in the NSs

D. Logoteta et al., EPJA 55 (2019); D. Lonardoni et al., PRL 114 (2019)

### Can we exploit femtoscopy measurements?



D. Lonardoni et al., PRL 114 (2019)

#### The p $\Lambda$ interaction so far...

- Mainly investigated with scattering data
   → High-precision results by CLAS at large momenta
   CLAS coll.PRL 127 (2021), 27, 27230
  - → Large uncertainties at low momenta and not available down to threshold
- Cusp structure at ΣN opening
  - $\rightarrow$  Coupling ΛN-ΣN driving the behaviour of Λ at finite ρ D. Gerstung et al. Eur.Phys.J.A 56 (2020), 6, 175; J.Haidenbauer, U. Meißner, EPJA 56 (2020), 3, 91
  - $\rightarrow$  State-of-art chiral potentials with different  $\Lambda N-\Sigma N$  strength





#### The pA interaction before femtoscopy





#### The $p\Lambda$ interaction in the femtoscopy era



NLO19: J.Haidenbauer, U. Meißner, EPJA 56 (2020), 3, 91 NLO13: J.Haidenbauer, N.Kaiser et al., NPA 915, 24 (2013)



#### The $p\Lambda$ interaction in the femtoscopy era



Raffaele Del Grande

#### The $p\Lambda$ interaction in the femtoscopy era

• <u>NEW</u>: combined analysis of femtoscopic and scattering data

D. Mihaylov, J. Haidenbauer and V. Mantovani Sarti, PLB 850 (2024) 138550

#### New parameterizations of the χEFT Compatible with repulsive 3-body forces



• Point-like particle models anchored to scattering experiments

W. T. H. Van Oers et al., NPA 561 (1967); J. Arvieux et al., NPA 221 (1973); E. Huttel et al., NPA 406 (1983); A. Kievsky et al., PLB 406 (1997); T. C. Black et al., PLB 471 (1999);

- Coulomb + strong interaction using Lednický model Lednický, R. Phys. Part. Nuclei 40, 307–352 (2009)
- Only s-wave interaction
- Source radius evaluated using the universal  $m_{\tau}$  scaling

Point-like particle description doesn't work for p-d





- Full three-body calculations are required (NN + NNN + Quantum Statistics)
- Hadron-nuclei correlations at the LHC can be used to study many-body dynamics





Raffaele Del Grande

- Full three-body calculations are required (NN + NNN + Quantum Statistics)
- Hadron-nuclei correlations at the LHC can be used to study many-body dynamics
- Sensitivity to three-body forces up to 5%







Raffaele Del Grande

- Full three-body calculations are required (NN + NNN + Quantum Statistics)
- Run 3 data from 2022 already analysed and results are promising!
- In Run 3 expected uncertainty of 1%





Talk by Laura Serksnyte/Anton Riedel 4 Jun, 17:30

#### p-p-p correlation function

• First ever full three-body correlation function calculations

three-proton wave function

 $C(Q_3) = \int \rho^5 d\rho \, S(\rho, \rho_0) |\Psi(\rho, Q_3)|^2$ hyperradius

- Wave function via HH:
  - AV18
  - Three-body Coulomb interaction
  - Quantum statistics

A. Kievsky, et al., Phys.Rev.C 109 (2024) 3, 034006

- Negligible contribution from UIX
- Utilise to study three-body source
- Only shape of the theory and data should be compared



#### p-p-p correlation function

• ALICE Run 3 data from 2022 already analysed and results are promising!



• At the end of Run 3: 25 times larger statistical sample than 2022 alone





#### $p-p-\Lambda$ correlation function

- New data by ALICE (Run 3 2022 data)
- By the end of Run 3: 150 times larger statistical triplets sample expected compared to Run 2 due to developed software triggers!





Raffaele Del Grande

#### $p-p-\Lambda$ correlation function





#### **p-p-Λ correlation function**

• First theoretical predictions:  $\rightarrow$  effect up to 50% due to 3BFs



60

Raffaele Del Grande

#### Femtoscopy to study bound states

#### $p{\-}\varphi$ correlation function:

- Spin-3/2 interaction: elastic channel

   Lattice QCD potentials (HAL QCD)
   Yan Lyu et al., Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 074507
- Spin-1/2 interaction: inelastic channels (Nφ−ΛK, Nφ−ΣK)
   → Complex potential fitted to the data
- Attractive potential with C(k\*) < 1 provides indication of a p-φ bound state → Binding Energy = 14.7–56.6 MeV

E. Chizzali, Y. Kamiya et al., PLB 848 (2024) 138358

### Correlation analysis as alternative to the standard invariant mass analyses to study bound states



Talk by K. Kuroki 5 June 08:50

#### **D\*D correlation and link to molecular states**



 $T^+_{CC}$ : molecular state ? Inversion of the sign of the scattering length of the  $D^{*+}D^0$  pair translates into an inversion of the correlation function from pp to Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC

Y. Kamiya et al. EPJA 58 (2022)



#### First measurement of $D\pi$ correlation functions

L. Liu et al, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 014508

X.-Y. Guo et al, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 014510 Z.-H. Guo et al Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 13

B.-L. Huang et al, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 036016

- Coulomb-only interaction favoured
- Tension with theory models



ALICE Coll., arXiv:2401.13541 (2023)

Talk by Juan Torres-Rincon 6 Jun, 18:00

#### $D\pi$ correlation function fit

- $D^+\pi^+$  and  $D^+\pi^-$  share I=3/2 channel  $\rightarrow$  simultaneous fit
- Vanishing scattering parameters in both isospin channels
- Tension with theory especially in I=1/2 channel

Talk by Juan Torres-Rincon 6 Jun, 18:00



ALICE Coll., arXiv:2401.13541 (2023)

#### **Conclusions and Outlook**

- Exciting results from femtoscopy
   → Important experimental input to understand the many facets of QCD in strange and charm sector
  - Most precise p-A data at low momenta
  - First extraction of the p-Λ scattering parameters using femtoscopy and scattering data
  - First measurements of three-particle correlation functions
  - Indication of a p-φ bound state using correlation techniques
  - First measurements of D meson correlations
- On-going Run 3 and future Run 4
  - Access to precise data on three-particle interactions and interactions with charm mesons
  - Sensitivity to the effect of three-body forces in the correlation functions



#### Backup



#### p-p-p correlation function

• Cumulant method provides first hint of effects beyond two-body correlations



- A deviation of nσ = 6.7 from lower-order contributions
- Theoretical predictions necessary to understand the origin of the deviation further





#### p-p-Λ correlation function

• Cumulant method provides first hint of effects beyond two-body correlations

R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 17, 1100-1120 (1962)



• Compatible with lower-order contributions ( $n\sigma = 0.8$ )





#### The p $\Lambda$ interaction in the femtoscopy era



New scenario for pΛ interaction

 → Weaker ΛN-ΣN coupling favoured, important for neutron stars

D. Gerstung et al. Eur.Phys.J.A 56 (2020), 6, 175

- Most precise data on p∧ system at low momenta
   → Input for low energy effective models in the strange baryonic sector
- More pieces needed for the hyperon puzzle in LHC Run 3 and Run-4
  - $\rightarrow p\Sigma^{+,-}$  and  $\Lambda d$  interactions
  - $\rightarrow$  Three-particle ppp and pp $\Lambda$  interactions

ALICE coll. arXiv: 2206.03344 (2023)

#### $D^*\pi$ interaction

- Similar results as for D- $\pi$   $\rightarrow$  heavy-quark spin symmetry
- D\*π
  - Coulomb-only interaction favoured
  - Tension with theory model

J. M. Torres-Rincon et al, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 096008 Z.-W. Liu et al, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 034002





#### $D^{\ast}\pi$ correlation function fit



- Vanishing scattering parameters within uncertainties
- Scattering parameters compatible with  $D\pi$  results  $\rightarrow$  Heavy-quark spin symmetry

#### An example of EoS for neutron stars



## 

#### $|S| = 1: p - \Lambda$ interaction

(mb) Scattering data χEFT NLO13 **(b)**  $\Lambda p \rightarrow \Lambda p$ 20 χEFT NLO19 Sechi-Zorn et al. Jülich 04 Alexander et al. Hauptman et al. NSC97f Piekenbrock 200 Uncertainties ~ 30%  $U_{\Lambda}$  (MeV) Repulsive at low momenta **NI 013** xEFT NLO19 -20 100 Attractive -40 1.5 2.0 1.0 PNM k<sub>⊏</sub> (1/fm) 45 135 220 310 385 *k*\* (MeV/*c*) J.Haidenbauer, N.Kaiser et al. NPA 915 24 (2013)

J.Haidenbauer, U. Meißner EPJA 56 (2020)

- Low statistics and not available at low momenta
- $\Lambda N-\Sigma N$  coupled system  $\rightarrow$  two-body coupling to  $\Sigma N$  is not (yet) measured
- ΣN coupling strength relevant for EoS
  - Strongly affects the behaviour of  $\Lambda$  at finite density
  - Implications for ANN interactions
- NLO19 predicts weak coupling NA-N $\Sigma$ 
  - Attractive  $\Lambda$  interaction in neutron matter



Comparison with  $\chi EFT$  potentials

- Sensitivity to different ΣN coupling strength
- NLO19 favoured ( $n_{\sigma} = 3.2$ )  $\rightarrow$  attractive interaction of  $\Lambda$  at large densities

#### $|S| = 1: p - \Lambda$ interaction



ALICE Coll. PLB 833 137272 (2022)

#### p-ф bound state

- Predicted by various theoretical calculations
- No experimental evidence
  - Standart method of invariant mass measurment not yet available
- Accessible by studying **interaction** among constituents



|   | System | E <sub>B</sub> [MeV] |
|---|--------|----------------------|
| QCD Van der Waal using Yukawa type Potential <sup>1</sup>                               | φN     | 1.8                  |
| Chiral quark model <sup>2</sup>   | φN     | 3.0                  |
| Monte Carlo study of $\phi$ photoproduction from nuclear targets <sup>3</sup>           | φN     | 2.5                  |
| Quark delocalization color screening model <sup>4</sup>                                 | φN     | 0.3-8.8              |
| Unitary coupled-channel approximation anchored to ALICE pd scattering data <sup>5</sup> | φN     | 9.0                  |
| Phenomenological potential+variational method <sup>6</sup>                              | φN     | 9.3/9.23             |
|   | φΝΝ    | 10.0/17.5            |
| Phenomenological potential+variational method <sup>7</sup>                              | φN     | 9.5                  |
|   | φΝΝ    | 39.8                 |
|   | φφΝΝ   | 124.6                |

<sup>1</sup>H. Gao, T.-S. H. Lee, and V. Marinov, Phys. Rev. C 63 (2001) 022201(R)

<sup>2</sup>F. Huang, Z.Y. Zhang, and Y.W. Yu, Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 025207

<sup>3</sup>H. Gao et al., Phys. Rev. C 95 (2017) 055202

<sup>4</sup>S. Liska, H. Gao, W. Chen, and X. Qian, Phys. Rev. C 75 (2007) 058201

<sup>5</sup>B.-X. Sun, Y.-Y. Fan, and Q.-Q. Cao, arXiv, 2206.02961 (2022)

<sup>6</sup>V. B. Belyaev, W. Sandhas, and I. I. Shlyk, Few-Body Syst. 44 (2008) 347

<sup>7</sup>S. A. Sofianos, G. J. Rampho, M. Braun, and R. M. Adam, J. Phys. G. 37 (2010) 085109

#### Raffaele Del Grande

### |S|=0 sector: $p-\phi$ spin dependent interactions

$$V_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) = V_{LATTIC,MOD}(r) + i \cdot \sqrt{f(r; b_3)} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{r} e^{-m_K \cdot r}$$

$$\beta \cdot V_{short}(r) + V_{2\pi}(r)$$

Best fit to data obtained for attractive potential

- $\circ \quad \beta = 7.0^{+0.8}_{-0.2}(stat.)^{+0.2}_{-0.2}(syst.)$
- $\circ \quad \gamma = 0.0^{+0.0}_{-0.2}(stat.)^{+0.0}_{-0.2}(syst.)$

Repulsive potential ( $\beta$ <0) excluded by over  $3\sigma$ 

Within uncertainties room for inelastic contributions expected by theory



Imaginary Pot restricted to γ<0 (attractive) to model absorption processes



Comparison with  $\chi EFT$  potentials

- Sensitivity to different ΣN coupling strength
- NLO19 favoured ( $n_{\sigma} = 3.2$ )  $\rightarrow$  attractive interaction of  $\Lambda$  at large densities

#### $|S| = 1: p - \Lambda$ interaction



ALICE Coll. PLB 833 137272 (2022)

### p-d correlation function: d as composite object

The three body wave function with proper treatment of 2N and 3N interaction at very short distances goes to a p-d state.

• Three–body wavefunction for p–d:  $\Psi_{m_2,m_1}(x,y)$  describing three-body dynamics,

anchored to p-d scattering observables.

- x = distance of p-n system within the deuteron
- y = p-d distance
- $m_2$  and  $m_1$  deuteron and proton spin

• $\Psi_{m_2,m_1}(x,y)$  three-nucleon wave function asymptotically behaves as p-d state:

$$\Psi_{m_2,m_1}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) = \Psi_{m_2,m_1}^{\text{(free)}} + \sum_{LSJ}^{J \leq \overline{J}} \sqrt{4\pi} i^L \sqrt{2L+1} e^{i\sigma_L} (1m_2 \frac{1}{2}m_1 | SJ_z) (LOSJ_z | JJ_z) \widetilde{\Psi}_{LSJJ_z}.$$

Asymptotic form Strong three-body interaction  $\Psi_{LSJJ_Z}$  describe the configurations where the three particles are close to each other  $\Psi_{LSJJ_Z}$ 

 $\buildrel \Psi^{(\mathrm{free})}_{m_1,m_2}$  an asymptotic form of p-d wave function

Kievsky et al, Phys. Rev. C 64 (2001) 024002 Kievsky et al, Phys. Rev. C 69 (2004) 014002 Deltuva et al, Phys. Rev. C71 (2005) 064003

#### p-d correlation function



Mrówczyński et al Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 229, 3559 (2020)