Measuring nuclei radii in heavy-ion collisions

Interfacing nuclear structure with high-energy nuclear collisions

Giuliano Giacalone

Institut für Theoretische Physik – Universität Heidelberg

June 6, 2024







1







PART I – BREAKING THE BARRIER

The paradigm

[Miller *et al.*, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. **57** (2007) 205-243] [PHOBOS Collaboration, PRL **98** (2007) 242302] [Alver, Roland, PRC **81** (2010) 054905]



Snapshot of many-body ground state $|\Psi(\mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}, \dots, \mathbf{r_A})|^2$ KNOWLEDGE OF THIS OBJECT IS IMPORTANT

NB: same paradigm (nucleons) also at low beam energy / fixed-target



Motivation # 2 – Many different species available

 $|\Psi(r_1,r_2,\ldots,r_{\mathbf{A}})|^{\mathbf{2}} \quad \text{nuclear shapes to capture many-body correlations}$



Highly nontrivial parametrizations for nucleon distributions from low-energy physics

$$\rho(r,\theta,\phi) \propto \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\left[r - R(\theta,\phi)\right]/a\right)} , \ R(\theta,\phi) = R_0 \left[1 + \frac{\beta_2}{2} \left(\cos\gamma Y_{20}(\theta) + \sin\gamma Y_{22}(\theta,\phi)\right) + \frac{\beta_3}{3} Y_{30}(\theta) + \frac{\beta_4}{4} Y_{40}(\theta)\right]$$
[Bally et al., PRL **128** (2022) 8, 082301]
[Ryssens et al., PRL **130** (2023) 21, 212302]



A (seemingly) success story – Understanding Xe-Xe data



[Bally *et al.*, PRL **128** (2022) 8, 082301] [Bally, Giacalone, Bender, EPJA **58** (2022) 9, 187]



9

So far so good ... until 2021 and data from "isobar collisions"



[STAR collaboration, PRC 105 (2022) 1, 014901]



Zirconium-96 must have an octupole deformation

[Zhang, Jia, PRL **128** (2022) 2, 022301] [Nijs, van der Schee, SciPost Phys. **15** (2023) 041]



 Low-energy experiments: A~100 is away from double shell closure. "Difficult" isotopes Ru and Zr have completely different spectra/structures

 Low-energy theory: Some indications of octupole deformation in Zr. Little known about Ru No solid understanding of these nuclei

Possibly the worst choice of nuclei for precision high-energy studies

Precision STAR data is a breakthrough, albeit in a different direction

Starting from scratch – Nuclei directly from high-energy data

BAYESIAN ANALYSIS

$$Pr(p\&D) = Pr(p) \times Pr(D|p) = Pr(D) \times Pr(p|D)$$

prior × likelihood = evidence × posterio

```
[e.g. Paquet, arXiv:2310.17618]
```

Beautiful example and opportunity: The neutron distribution of ²⁰⁸Pb is poorly known

$$\rho(r) \propto \frac{1}{1 + e^{(r-R)/\underline{a}}}$$

Protons: density from low-energy scattering [Zenihiro *et al.*, PRC 82 (2010) 044611]

Neutrons: same R as protons, infer *a* from LHC data



DFT [Brussels-Skyrme]

ab initio

LHC [Trajectum]

 \bigcirc

 \rightarrow

-O-

13

Measuring the total radius of ²⁰⁸Pb

$$R_{
m Pb}^2 = rac{1}{208} igg(126 \langle r_n^2
angle + 82 \langle r_p^2
angle igg)$$
LHC data low-energy data

- 18 tuned EDF Brussels-Skyrme results [BSkG2 functional by W. Ryssens]
- $R_{\rm Pb}(ab \ initio) = 5.534 \pm 0.030 \ {\rm fm}$

[Hu et al., Nature Phys. 18 (2022) 10, 1196-1200]

• $R_{\rm Pb}({\rm LHC}) = 5.568 \pm 0.058 \text{ fm}$

[Giacalone, Nijs, van der Schee, PRL **131** (2023) 20, 20]

First implication – Independent determination of neutron skin of ²⁰⁸Pb

- Dedicated PREX II experiment at JLAB

[PREX Collaboration, PRL 126 (2021) 17, 172502]

neutron - Determination in the context of *ab initio* nuclear theory star [Hu et al., Nature Phys. 18 (2022) 10, 1196-1200] LHC ab initio $\overline{}$ LHC [Trajectum] -O-- LHC [Trajectum] 79 ± 39 MeV LHC [*Trajectum*] $[0.217 \pm 0.058 \text{ fm}]$ PREX II matter radius $p(\Delta r_{np})$ ab initio P(L) $e(\rho,\delta)=e(\rho,0)+S(\rho)\delta^2$ neutron skin $L = 3\rho \frac{\partial S(\rho)}{\partial \rho}$ EOS 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0 50 100 150 200 5.505.555.605.65 $\Delta \mathbf{r}_{np} = r_n - r_p \,[\mathrm{fm}]$ L [MeV] $R_{\rm Pb}$ (fm)

Second implication – The barrier is broken in a unified picture

[Giacalone, Nijs, van der Schee, PRL 131 (2023) 20, 20]



ENERGY DEPOSITION

$$e(x_{\perp}) \propto \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_L(x_{\perp}-b/2)^p + \mathcal{T}_R(x_{\perp}+b/2)^p}{2}\right)^{q/p}$$

NUCLEON STRUCTURE

w [fm] "gluon size" of the nucleon

Generalize to other parameters (e.g. deformation of 129Xe) – Improve uncertainties ... HOW TO DO THAT SYSTEMATICALLY?

PART II – TOWARDS A UNIFIED MODEL

Modern nuclear structure theory

[Weinberg, PLB **251** (1990) 288-292, NPB **363** (1991) 3-18]

Effective field theory of low-energy QCD

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \mathcal{T}_{i} + \sum_{i < j} V_{ij} + \sum_{i < j < k} V_{ijk} + \cdots$$

- theory of nucleons and pions
- consistent with symmetries of QCD
- nucleon-nucleon contact interaction proportional to low-energy constants (EFT parameters, from lattice QCD or exp. data)



Power counting enabled by scale separation

$$m_{\pi}/m_{\rm QCD} \ll 1$$

[Hammer, König, van Kolck, RMP **92** (2020) 2, 025004] [Piarulli, Tews, Front.in Phys. **7** (2020) 245] [Epelbaum, Hammer, Meissner, RMP **81** (2009) 1773-1825]



Outstanding effort in low-energy community to reach higher masses / deformed systems

Nuclei relevant for heavy-ion collisions fully within reach in next decade



CURRENT COLLABORATIONS

- Nuclear Lattice Effective Field Theory (NLEFT)

MC solution of Schrödinger equation on a lattice ≈ 15 000 ground-state configurations available [Lu *et al.*, PLB **797** (2019) 134863] [Summerfield *et al.*, PRC **104** (2021) 4, L041901]

Nucleons sampled directly from A-body wave function!



- ab initio Projected Generator Coordinate Method (PGCM)

Wave function from variational calculation (as in energy density functional theory)

$$\delta \frac{\langle \Psi | H | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} = 0$$

[Frosini *et al.*, EPJA **58** (2022) 4, 62 EPJA **58** (2022) 4, 63 EPJA **58** (2022) 4, 64]

Provides a deformed density motivated by chiral EFT

arXiv:2402.05995

The unexpected uses of a bowling pin: exploiting ²⁰Ne isotopes for precision characterizations of collectivity in small systems

Giuliano Giacalone,^{1, *} Benjamin Bally,² Govert Nijs,³ Shihang Shen,⁴ Thomas Duguet,^{5, 6} Jean-Paul Ebran,^{7, 8} Serdar Elhatisari,^{9, 10} Mikael Frosini,¹¹ Timo A. Lähde,^{12, 13} Dean Lee,¹⁴ Bing-Nan Lu,¹⁵ Yuan-Zhuo Ma,¹⁴ Ulf-G. Meißner,^{10, 16, 17} Jacquelyn Noronha-Hostler,¹⁸ Christopher Plumberg,¹⁹ Tomás R. Rodríguez,²⁰ Robert Roth,^{21, 22} Wilke van der Schee,^{3, 23, 24} and Vittorio Somà⁵

- HEAVY IONS

— PGCM

- NLEFT

CERN-TH-2024-074

The unexpected uses of a bowling pin: anisotropic flow in fixed-target $^{208}\rm{Pb}+^{20}\rm{Ne}$ collisions as a probe of quark-gluon plasma

arXiv:2405.20210

<u>Giuliano Giacalone</u>,^{1, *} <u>Wenbin Zhao</u>,^{2, 3, †} <u>Benjamin Bally</u>,⁴ <u>Shihang Shen</u>,⁵

Thomas Duguet,^{6,7} Jean-Paul Ebran,^{8,9} Serdar Elhatisari,¹⁰ Mikael Frosini,¹¹

Timo A. Lähde,^{12, 13} Dean Lee,¹⁴ Bing-Nan Lu,¹⁵ Yuan-Zhuo Ma,¹⁴ Ulf-G. Meißner,^{16, 17, 5}

<u>Govert Nijs</u>,¹⁸ Jacquelyn Noronha-Hostler,¹⁹ Christopher Plumberg,²⁰ Tomás R. Rodríguez,²¹

Robert Roth,^{22, 23} Wilke van der Schee,^{18, 24, 25} Björn Schenke,^{26, ‡} Chun Shen,^{27, 28, §} and Vittorio Somà⁶





Ancillary files (details):

- NLEFT_dmin_0.5fm_negativeweights_Ne.h5
- NLEFT_dmin_0.5fm_negativeweights_O.h5
- NLEFT dmin 0.5fm positiveweights Ne.h5
- NLEFT_dmin_0.5fm_positiveweights_0.h5
- PGCM_clustered_dmin0_Ne.h5
- PGCM_clustered_dmin0_O.h5
- PGCM_uniform_dmin0_Ne.h5
- PGCM uniform dmin0 O.h5

arXiv:2402.05995

Playing bowling at LHCb

Ne+Ne for precision studies of small-system collectivity



23

SIDE NOTE – STRONGLY CORRELATED MESOSCOPIC QUANTUM SYSTEMS



NB: We probe clusters in high-energy OO collisions Unique experimental opportunity

[Yuan *et al.*, PRC **109** (2024) 5, L051904 [Zhao *et al.*, arXiv:2404.09780] [Zhang *et al.*, arXiv:2404.08385]

Long term goals? Coupling chiral EFT to hydrodynamics

Essential for proper uncertainty quantification



Near future? "Minimal" interaction (pion-less SU(4)-symmetric) in NLEFT

[Lu et al., PLB 797 (2019) 134863]



Replacing the Woods-Saxon with a nuclear force inferred from ¹⁶O collisions

Akin to femtoscopy studies. Consistency with low-energy physics? [ALICE collaboration, Nature 588 (2020) 232-238]

Program is feasible – Fully exploiting Run 3+4 data



Huge opportunities from LHCb and small systems:

Pb+N, Pb+Ar, Pb+Kr, Pb+Xe (fixed-target) B+B, Mg+Mg (will run in SPS, short LHC run?)

Schedule for Run 5+6? Choice of nuclei?

CONCLUSION

COMPLETING THE PICTURE

