

Experimental overview on light (anti)(hyper)nuclei production at the LHC

Chiara Pinto (CERN)



Production of (anti)nuclei at the LHC





- At LHC energies ($\sqrt{s} \sim 1-13$ TeV) same amount of matter and anti-matter is measured¹ ($\mu_B \sim 0$)
- Production measurements useful to investigate the hadronization mechanism
- Three classes of phenomenological models available:
 - statistical hadronization → works very well for integrated yields (even for nuclei!)
 - coalescence → describes fairly well the ratio to protons of integrated yields
 - relativistic hydrodynamics + coalescence afterburner -> survival of bound states in hadron gas phase with intense rescattering
- Interesting also for astrophysics applications
 - Cosmic ray fluxes of antinuclei \rightarrow dark matter searches
 - Particle interactions \rightarrow neutron stars and equation of state

¹ SALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2311.13332



Statistical models (SHMs)

- Hadrons emitted from a system in statistical and chemical equilibrium
- 3 free parameters: V, T_{chem} , μ_B – Particle ratios \rightarrow volume V cancels
 - Baryochemical potential $\mu_{\rm B}$ fixed by $\bar{\rm p}/{\rm p}$ ratio \rightarrow one remaining parameter $T_{\rm chem}$
- $dN/dy \propto exp(-m/T_{chem})$

 \Rightarrow Nuclei (large m): large sensitivity to T_{chem}

Typically used in Pb—Pb, for small systems the canonical ensemble is needed (CSM) → exact conservation of B, Q and S is required only in the correlation volume (V_c)



Andronic et al., Nature 561, 321–330 (2018)

B:baryon number, Q:charge, S: strangeness content

Modelling the production of (anti)nuclei

Coalescence models

State-of-the-art models use the Wigner function formalism → (anti)nuclei arise from the overlap of the (anti)nucleons phase-space distributions with the Wigner density of the bound state

Butler et al., Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 836
 Mahlein et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 9, 804

4





Modelling the production of (anti)nuclei

Coalescence models

- State-of-the-art models use the Wigner function formalism → (anti)nuclei arise from the overlap of the (anti)nucleons phase-space distributions with the Wigner density of the bound state
- Microscopic description
- Key observable is the coalescence parameter $B_A \rightarrow$ experimental observable tightly connected to the coalescence probability: Larger $B_A \Leftrightarrow$ Larger coalescence probability

$$B_A(p_T^p) = E_A \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 N_A}{\mathrm{d} p_A^3} \left/ \left(E_p \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 N_p}{\mathrm{d} p_p^3} \right)^A \right|_{p_T^p = p_T^A/A}$$



Butler et al., Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 836
 Mahlein et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 9, 804

4



chiara.pinto@cern.ch

Modelling the production of (anti)nuclei

Coalescence models

- State-of-the-art models use the Wigner function formalism → (anti)nuclei arise from the overlap of the (anti)nucleons phase-space distributions with the Wigner density of the bound state
- Microscopic description
- Key observable is the coalescence parameter $B_A \rightarrow$ experimental observable tightly connected to the coalescence probability: Larger $B_A \Leftrightarrow$ Larger coalescence probability

$$B_{A}(p_{T}^{p}) = E_{A} \frac{d^{3}N_{A}}{d p_{A}^{3}} \left/ \left(E_{p} \frac{d^{3}N_{p}}{d p_{p}^{3}} \right)^{A} \right|_{p_{T}^{p} = p_{T}^{A}/A}$$

$$Small distance in space$$
(Only momentum
correlations matter)
$$p_{T}^{1}, p - Pb^{2}: r_{0} = 1 - 1.5 \text{ fm} \qquad \Leftrightarrow \text{large } B_{A}$$

$$Pb - Pb^{3}: r_{0} = 3 - 6 \text{ fm}$$



Butler et al., Phys. Rev. 129 (1963) 836
 Mahlein et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 9, 804

PRC 99 (2019) 024001
 PRL 123 (2019) 112002
 PRC 96 (2017) 064613

Large distance in space (Both momentum and space correlations matter)

 \Leftrightarrow small B_{A}

Astrophysics applications: Dark Matter



Antinuclei production in our Galaxy:

 pp, pA and (few) AA reactions between primary cosmic rays and the interstellar medium



• dark-matter annihilation processes

Astrophysics applications: Dark Matter



Antinuclei production in our Galaxy:

 pp, pA and (few) AA reactions between primary cosmic rays and the interstellar medium



• dark-matter annihilation processes



- High Signal/Noise ratio ($\sim 10^2 10^4$) at low E_{kin} expected by models
- To correctly interpret any future measurement, we need precise knowledge of
 - 1. production of antinuclei
 - 2. annihilation

Astrophysics applications: neutron stars





- At the LHC, $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H has been measured in pp, p-Pb, and Pb–Pb collisions
- ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H$ powerful probe for investigating the nucleon- Λ interaction
- Crucial for the calculation of the equation of state (EoS) and the neutron star massradius relation

- 3-body hyperon-nucleon interaction is key in the softening of the EoS and the consequent reduction of the predicted maximum mass
- different results on the maximum mass not necessarily incompatible with the observed neutron stars





Experimental efforts at the LHC



ALICE Collaboration, 2008 JINST 3 S08002

- excellent tracking & PID capabilities over broad *p* range
- low material budget
- → most suited detector at the LHC for the study of nuclei



Experimental efforts at the LHC







ALICE Collaboration, 2008 JINST 3 S08002

- excellent tracking & PID capabilities over broad *p* range
- low material budget
- → most suited detector at the LHC for the study of nuclei

LHCb Collaboration, 2008 JINST 3 S08005

- excellent vertexing and PID separation for K, π and p with O(10) GeV/c
- covering forward rapidity and with SMOG \rightarrow several energies & systems \rightarrow recently joined the nuclei business!



Testing production models with A=2



ALICE Collaboration, <u>arXiv:2405.19826</u>



- V_c=1.6 dV/dy is the correlation volume needed to describe the net-deuteron number fluctuations in Pb–Pb collisions¹
- CSM \rightarrow either with fixed chemical temperature (CSM-I) or with annihilation temperature depending on multiplicity² (CSM-II)
- Both CSM and coalescence³ predictions qualitatively reproduce the trend and overall yields, but neither of the models catch all data points

Testing production models with A=2



- V_c=1.6 dV/dy is the correlation volume needed to describe the net-deuteron number fluctuations in Pb–Pb collisions¹
- CSM \rightarrow either with fixed chemical temperature (CSM-I) or with annihilation temperature depending on multiplicity² (CSM-II)
- Both CSM and coalescence³ predictions qualitatively reproduce the trend and overall yields, but neither of the models catch all data points
- CSM-I at low multiplicity does not reproduce d/π ratio, but CSM-II at high multiplicity catches the decreasing trend

¹ ALICE Collaboration, PRL 131 (2023) 041901
² Vovchenko, Koch, PLB 835, 137577 (2022)
³ Sun, Ko, Doenigus, PLB 792 (2019) 132-137

Testing coalescence model using B_2

• Important observable in accelerator measurements: coalescence parameter B_A

$$B_A\left(p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm A}}{{\rm d}y {\rm d}p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \left/ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm p}}{{\rm d}y {\rm d}p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}}\right)^A\right.$$



CERN

Testing coalescence model using B_2

• Important observable in accelerator measurements: coalescence parameter B_A

$$B_A\left(p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm A}}{{\rm dyd} p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \left/ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm p}}{{\rm dyd} p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}}\right)^A\right.$$

- Comparison to state-of-the-art coalescence models based on Wigner formalism showed that there are 2 key ingredients:
 - emission source size



★ ALICE Collaboration, PLB 811 (2020) 135849
 ▲ ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 01 (2022) 106

Kachelrieß et al., EPJA 56 1 (2020) 4
 Kachelrieß et al., EPJA 57 5 (2021) 167

 Mahlein et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 9, 804

chiara.pinto@cern.ch

Testing coalescence model using B_2

• Important observable in accelerator measurements: coalescence parameter B_A

$$B_A\left(p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{A}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{dyd} p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{A}}} \left/ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{p}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{dyd} p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{p}}}\right)^{\mathrm{A}}\right.$$

- Comparison to state-of-the-art coalescence models based on Wigner formalism showed that there are 2 key ingredients:
 - emission source size
 - deuteron wave function





* ALICE Collaboration, PLB 811 (2020) 135849 ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 01 (2022) 106

Kachelrieß et al., EPJA 56 1 (2020) 4
 Kachelrieß et al., EPJA 57 5 (2021) 167

Mahlein et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 9, 804

chiara.pinto@cern.ch

Important observable in accelerator measurements: coalescence parameter B_A

$$B_A(p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}) = \frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm A}}{{\rm dyd} p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \left/ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm p}}{{\rm dyd} p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}}\right)^A\right.$$

- Comparison to state-of-the-art coalescence models based on Wigner \mathbf{B}_{2} showed that there are 2 key ingredients:
 - emission source size •
 - deuteron wave function

State-of the-art coalescence model describes deuteron momentum distributions and coalescence parameter, using realistic WF and measured $r_0!$

\leftrightarrow

Production measurements can be used to constrain the nuclear wavefunction!

* ALICE Collaboration, PLB 811 (2020) 135849 SALICE Collaboration, JHEP 01 (2022) 106

🎽 Kachelrieß et al., EPJA 56 1 (2020) 4

Kachelrieß et al., EPJA 57 5 (2021) 167



B_2 vs rapidity with ALICE





- ALICE measurements cover the midrapidity region (|y|<0.5), while astrophysical models extrapolate to forward region
- Current acceptance of ALICE detector allows one to extend the measurement of antinuclei up to |y| = 0.7

B_2 vs rapidity with ALICE





- ALICE measurements cover the midrapidity region (|y|<0.5), while astrophysical models extrapolate to forward region
- Current acceptance of ALICE detector allows one to extend the measurement of antinuclei up to |y| = 0.7

B_2 vs rapidity with ALICE





- ALICE measurements cover the midrapidity region (|y|<0.5), while astrophysical models extrapolate to forward region
- Current acceptance of ALICE detector allows one to extend the measurement of antinuclei up to |y| = 0.7



 Rapidity and p_T dependence of B₂ is extrapolated to forward rapidity using coalescence model + Pythia 8.3 and EPOS as event generators





- Model predictions based on ALICE measurements are used as input to calculate antideuteron flux from cosmic rays* → dominant background in dark matter searches
- Most of the antideuteron yield from |y| < 1.5, in reach with:
 - \rightarrow future ALICE3⁽¹⁾ detector acceptance ($|y| \leq 4$)
 - \rightarrow LHCb experiment with fixed target
 - \rightarrow CMS in Run4
- Extrapolation to lower energies (~GeV) is needed for astrophysical models

chiara.pinto@cern.ch

K. Blum, Phys. Rev. D 96, 103021 (2017)

(anti)deuterons at forward rapidity

- LHCb can be used as a fixed-target experiment (SMOG)
- Collect physics samples with different **targets** and different **centre of mass energies** ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} \in [30,115]$ GeV)



CERN

(anti)deuterons at forward rapidity

- LHCb can be used as a fixed-target experiment (SMOG)
- Collect physics samples with different **targets** and different **centre of mass energies** ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} \in [30, 115]$ GeV)



CMS program in LHC Run4 $(|y| \lesssim 2)$

CMS Collaboration, https://cds.cern.ch/record/2800541



LHCb Collaboration, <u>JINST 3 S08005 (2008)</u>

Testing production models with A=3



- Measurements of yields of nuclei with A=3 challenge the models
- Neither of the CSM models or coalescence predictions reproduce the trend of the ratios, but qualitatively reproduce the overall yields



Testing production models with A=3



- Measurements of yields of nuclei with A=3 challenge the models
- Neither of the CSM models or **coalescence** predictions reproduce the trend of the ratios, but qualitatively reproduce the overall yields
- As for d/π and d/p ratios, CSM-II at high multiplicity catches the decreasing trend

ALICE Collaboration, PRL 131 (2023) 041901 Vovchenko, Koch, PLB 835, 137577 (2022)

ALICE Collaboration, PRC 107 (2023) 064904 🖉 Sun, Ko, Doenigus, PLB 792 (2019) 132-137

Testing production models with hypertriton









- In small collision systems (as pp) size of system created in the collision is smaller or equal to that of the nucleus under study
- Coalescence is sensitive to the interplay between the size of the collision system and the spatial extension of the nucleus wave function

Testing production models with hypertriton





- In small collision systems (as pp) size of system created in the collision is smaller or equal to that of the nucleus under study
- Coalescence is sensitive to the interplay between the size of the collision system and the spatial extension of the nucleus wave function
- ³_ΛH/Λ ratio provides a powerful tool to investigate nuclear production mechanism → For small systems model predictions are quite different

Hypertriton in Pb—Pb: test of production models



- $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H/³He ratio allows for testing the production models
 - **SHM** predicts a flat ratio: sensitive to their similar masses ($m_{_{3}H}$ =2.991 and $m_{_{3}He}$ =2.809 GeV/c²), but insensitive to their size $[r_{3He}: 1.76 \text{ fm}, r_{AH}^{(npA)}: 4.9 \text{ fm} (B_{A}= 2.35 \text{ MeV}), r_{AH}^{(dA)}: 10 \text{ fm} (B_{A} \sim 0.13 \text{ MeV})]$ coalescence \rightarrow interplay between the spatial extension of the nucleus wavefunction and the system size

vs. $\langle \mathrm{d}N_{\mathrm{ch}}/\mathrm{d}\eta angle_{|\eta|<0.5}$

- better agreement with coalescence



ALICE Collaboration, arXiv:2405.19839

Identification of ³He and $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H at LHCb

LHCb THCp

Bethe-Bloch: Z=2 particles deposits ~4 times the energy of Z=1 particles
 → He: higher ADC counts and wider cluster size

First (anti-)Helium candidates observed in *pp* in LHCb data!



LHCb Collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2023-002 (EPS-HEP)

Identification of ³He and $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H at LHCb

HC

Bethe-Bloch: Z=2 particles deposits ~4 times the energy of Z=1 particles \rightarrow He: higher ADC counts and wider cluster size

Signal

3040

 $m(^{3}\text{He}\,\pi)$ [MeV]

3060

Background Same-sign data

Yields:

0.16 MeV

- Application of ³He identification:
- **Reconstruction of hypertriton** through the 2-body mesonic decay







LHCb Collaboration, LHCb-CONF-2023-002 (EPS-HEP)

Ž960

2980

3000

3020

20

Measurement of A=4 nuclei in Pb—Pb





• ⁴He is very compact and more bound than lighter nuclei: $E_{\rm B}$ ~ 28 MeV, r ~ 1.7 fm

Measurement of A=4 nuclei in Pb—Pb



- ⁴He is very compact and more bound than lighter nuclei: $E_{\rm B}$ ~ 28 MeV, r ~ 1.7 fm
- ⁴He/p ratio & B_4 in agreement with SHM, but the only available measurements are from Pb—Pb collisions \rightarrow data needed at intermediate multiplicity where models differ
- Blast Wave using common parameters with the other nuclei describes B₄

Hypernuclei in the A=4 sector



- First ever observation of anti $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He!
- Hypernuclei with A=4 in Pb-Pb collisions are compared to predictions of SHM
 - penalty factor ~ 300 from ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He to ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H due to strangeness content
- But their yield may be enhanced due to larger binding energy wrt A=3 & existence of excited states (spin degeneracy)
- \rightarrow Measured yields in **agreement** with the presence of **excited states**


Hypernuclei in the A=4 sector



More to come with LHC Run3 data!

- First ever observation of anti $^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He!
- Hypernuclei with A=4 in Pb-Pb collisions are compared to predictions of SHM
 - penalty factor ~ 300 from ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ He to ${}^{4}_{\Lambda}$ H due to strangeness content
- But their yield may be enhanced due to larger binding energy wrt A=3 & existence of excited states (spin degeneracy)
- \rightarrow Measured yields in **agreement** with the presence of **excited states**



Summary

- CERN
- Measurements of the production of (anti)(hyper)nuclei is fundamental to investigate the hadronization mechanism
- The models on the market describe **different aspects** of the production but none of them can describe all observations, at all multiplicities and at all collision energies
- We have many observables that have significantly different model predictions → powerful probes to distinguish among the models
- Now the **experimental challenge** is to get **precision measurements** to finally close the debate

Summary

- CERN
- Measurements of the production of (anti)(hyper)nuclei is fundamental to investigate the hadronization mechanism
- The models on the market describe **different aspects** of the production but none of them can describe all observations, at all multiplicities and at all collision energies
- We have many observables that have significantly different model predictions → powerful probes to distinguish among the models
- Now the **experimental challenge** is to get **precision measurements** to finally close the debate
- Other powerful tools to distinguish among the models, not covered in this talk, are the ³He/³H ratio vs p_T , $^3_{\Lambda}$ H/³He ratio vs p_T , elliptic flow v_2 of nuclei and hypernuclei, nuclei in and out of jets, 3 H·p/d² ratio vs d N_{ch} /d η etc...

Summary

- CERN
- Measurements of the production of (anti)(hyper)nuclei is fundamental to investigate the hadronization mechanism
- The models on the market describe **different aspects** of the production but none of them can describe all observations, at all multiplicities and at all collision energies
- We have many observables that have significantly different model predictions → powerful probes to distinguish among the models
- Now the **experimental challenge** is to get **precision measurements** to finally close the debate
- Other powerful tools to distinguish among the models, not covered in this talk, are the ³He/³H ratio vs p_T , $^3_{\Lambda}$ H/³He ratio vs p_T , elliptic flow v_2 of nuclei and hypernuclei, nuclei in and out of jets, 3 H·p/d² ratio vs d N_{ch} /d η etc...

Thank you ...

Spares



V_2 of ³He: another test of production models



- ALICE Run3 statistics seems sensitive to the different production models using the elliptic flow v_2
- Coalescence is sensitive to a different production in-plane and out-of-plane
- Data are compared with the predictions of
 - Blast Wave model that uses the fit parameters of pi, K, p
 - coalescence model + hydrodynamics

Elliptic flow of hypertriton measured by ALICE

- ALICE delivered the first experimental measurement of hypertriton elliptic flow!
- Compatible with ³He v_2 , due to their similar masses
- Large uncertainties



Hypertriton in Pb—Pb: test of production models



- ${}_{\Lambda}^{3}$ H/ 3 He ratio allows for testing the production models
 - Radial flow picture (Blast-Wave): higher mass states have a harder momentum spectrum
 - Coalescence: at large momentum smaller source radius, hence the state with the larger wave-function will get suppressed



vs. p_{T}

Event-by-event fluctuations at the LHC





Testing coalescence model using B_2







Mahlein et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 9, 804



- Hulthén*: Favoured by low energy scattering experiments
- Argonne v₁₈**: phenomenological potential constrained to pn scattering
- **χEFT:** Favoured by modern nuclear interaction experiments (e.g. Femtoscopy)

* Scheibl et al., PRC 59 (1999) 1585-1602
 * Wiringa et al., PRC 51 (1995) 38-51
 * D. R. Entem et al., PRC 96 2 (2017) 024004

Flux of antinuclei in CRs





K. Blum, <u>Phys. Rev. D 96, 103021 (2017)</u>
 K. Blum, <u>arXiv:2306.13165</u>
 M. Aguilar et al. (AMS02 Coll.), <u>PRL 117, 091103 (2016)</u>

CSM-II





- Correlation volume fixed to 1.6 dV/dy
- Needed to describe the net-deuteron number fluctuations in PbPb collisions.
- Smaller than that of net-proton number fluctuations (3-5)dV/dy
- Temperature of annihilation depends on multiplicity

PLB 835, 137577 (2022)

For each multiplicity, the hadronic phase starts with hadronization at 160 MeV and expands in the state of partial chemical equilibrium which includes baryon annihilation reactions to reach chemical equilibrium at annihilation temperature

Identification of nuclei with ALICE





Identification of nuclei with LHCb

- LHCb detector not initially designed to identify light (anti)nuclei
- Use *dE*/dx ∝ Z² from the silicon detectors (VELO, TT, IT)
 - identification of **Helium**, good separation for $Z \ge 2$
- Time-of-Flight (OT, M1) $\rightarrow \beta = \Delta t / L$
 - identification of **d**, separation of ³He, ⁴He
- With **SMOG** can be used as a fixed-target experiment
- Collect physics samples with different targets and different centre of mass energies





• Energy range $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \in [30,115]$ GeV for beam energy in [0.45, 7] TeV \rightarrow Unexplored gap between SPS and LHC/RHIC

Measurement of (anti)nuclei with A=2





chiara.pinto@cern.ch

Measurement of (anti)nuclei with A=3





Identification of nuclei with A=3 with LHCb

LHCD CERN



https://cds.cern.ch/record/2881940/files/MPI23_v1.pdf

• excellent PID separation for K, π and p with O(10) GeV/c

chiara.pinto@cern.ch

Identification of ³He with LHCb



Identification of hypertriton at LHCb

LHCD THCD

- Hypertriton life-time and binding energy gives access to hyperon-nucleon interaction
 - \rightarrow Constrains on maximum mass of neutron stars

Search for 2-body decay into He: $^{3}_{\Lambda}H \rightarrow ~^{3}He ~\pi^{-} + cc$

<u>Results</u>:

(Run2 *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV)

- Yields:
 - 61 ± 8 Hypertriton
 - 46 ± 7 anti-Hypertriton
- Statistical mass precision: 0.16 MeV

This measurement shows the applicability of ³He reconstruction and paves the way for future measurements of astrophysical interest



Fixed-target programme at LHCb

- The System for Measuring Overlap with Gas (SMOG) can inject gas in LHC beam pipe around ±20 m from the LHCb IP
- SMOG exploited for LHCb fixed-target physics programme
 → Collected physics samples with different targets and
 different centre of mass energies



LHCb contribution is relevant for astrophysics applications!



Unique opportunities at the LHC:

- Collisions with targets of mass number A intermediate between p and Pb → Reproduce CR interactions (pp, pHe)
- Energy range √s_{NN} ∈ [30,115] GeV for beam energy in [0.45, 7] TeV → Unexplored gap between SPS and LHC/RHIC

LHCb Collaboration, LHCb-PUB-2018-015

chiara.pinto@cern.ch

(anti)deuteron identification with LHCb



LHCb is now also capable of measuring (anti)deuterons

- *Time-of-flight based technique*
- Reconstructed tracks refitted to determine β \rightarrow iterative procedure rerunning Kalman fit with different β hypotheses

• ~10% of SMOG pHe ($\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 110$ GeV) dataset

• Background suppression: $\sigma(\beta) < 0.02$, $\chi^2_{OThits}/ndf < 2$

First deuteron candidates observed in *p*He data!



https://cds.cern.ch/record/2881940/files/MPI23_v1.pdf

Testing production models with hypertriton



- In small collision systems (as pp) size of system created in the collision is smaller or equal to that of the nucleus under study
- For small systems model **predictions are quite different**
- Coalescence is sensitive to the interplay between the size of the collision system and the spatial extension of the nucleus wave function

pn

System size (pp, p—Pb): 1–1.5 fm r_d : 1.96 fm r_{3He} : 1.76 fm $r_{\Lambda H}^{3}(np\Lambda)$: 4.9 fm (B $_{\Lambda}$ = 2.35 MeV) $r_{\Lambda H}^{3}(d\Lambda)$: 10 fm (B $_{\Lambda}$ ~ 0.13 MeV)



 $^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H/ Λ ratio provides a powerful tool to investigate nuclear production mechanism



powerful probe for investigating the nucleon – Λ interaction

Measurement of (anti)nuclei with A=3





Testing production models (focus at low multiplicity)





Predictions available only for the pp multiplicity range (1-70)

- **Coalescence** predictions of ToMCCA using Wigner function formalism & multiplicity-dependent input (momentum distributions of nucleons, source size and multiplicity distributions) reproduce all data points within 1sigma
- No ³He coalescence predictions yet

Testing production models (focus at low multiplicity)



- **Coalescence** predictions of ToMCCA using Wigner function formalism & multiplicity-dependent input (momentum distributions of nucleons, source size and multiplicity distributions) reproduce all data points within 1sigma
- No ³He coalescence predictions yet
- Also coalescence parameter B_2 vs multiplicity is well reproduced by ToMCCA

 Mahlein, Pinto, Fabbietti, arXiv:2404.03352

Hypertriton lifetime & binding energy (Pb–Pb collisions) 🥘







- Models predicting a lifetime close to the $\underline{free \Lambda}$ one are favoured
- Strong hint that hypertriton is weakly bound

• B_{Λ} compatible with zero \rightarrow Weakly bound nature of ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}$ H is confirmed

 Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 102302

State-of-the-art coalescence model



- Use event generators (PYTHIA 8.3 & EPOS 3)
- Emulate experimental multiplicity trigger
- Calibrate (anti)nucleon momentum distribution
- Take resonance cocktail from SHM
- Tune emission source
- Employ realistic wavefunction

Hulthén: Favoured by low energy • scattering experiments

- Gaussian: easiest WF calculation
- **Two Gaussians:** Approximates Hulthén, easy to use in calculations
- *x***EFT:** Favoured by modern nuclear interaction experiments (e.g. Femtoscopy)
- **Argonne v18** phenomenological • potential constrained to p-n scattering



0.0020

Realistic wavefunction is key for coalescence predictions!

🐸 Mahlein et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 9, 804

Measurement of light (anti)nuclei with ALICE





Nuclear production in and out of jets

- Powerful tool to investigate coalescence mechanism is the study of nuclear production in and out of jets
- In jets nucleons have strong phase-space constraint

→ Study B_2 in and out of jets: jets obtained simply by subtracting the UE from the Toward region (Jet + UE)

- Studying the antideuteron production in jets in small systems (pp, pA) is important to understand and model nuclear production
- Implications for cosmic ray physics
- Antideuteron in the Galaxy is produced in interactions of cosmic rays (p, ⁴He) with kinetic energies of ~300 GeV



Toward: $|\Delta \phi| < 60^{\circ}$ Transverse: $60^{\circ} < |\Delta \phi| < 120^{\circ}$ Away: $|\Delta \phi| > 120^{\circ}$



Coalescence parameters in and out of jets



- Enhanced deuteron coalescence probability in jets wrt UE is observed for the first time in pp collisions
- Due to the reduced distance in phase space of hadrons in jets compared to those out of jets → favors coalescence picture

Coalescence parameters in and out of jets



- B_2 in-jet in p—Pb is larger than B_2 in-jet in pp \rightarrow could be related to the different particle composition of jets in pp and p—Pb \rightarrow to be further investigated
- B_2 in UE in p—Pb is smaller than B_2 in UE in pp due to the larger source size in p—Pb (pp⁽¹⁾: r₀~ 1 fm, p—Pb⁽²⁾: r₀~ 1.5 fm) Phys.Rev.Lett. 123 (2019) 112002Phys.Rev.Lett. 131 (2023) 4, 042301

Chemical potential at the LHC



• μ_B and μ_Q are extracted fitting the antiparticle-toparticle yield ratios with the predictions of the grand-canonical SHM using the Thermal-FIST code

ALICE Collaboration, <u>arXiv:2311.13332</u>

Chemical potential at the LHC



- μ_B and μ_Q are extracted fitting the antiparticle-toparticle yield ratios with the predictions of the grand-canonical SHM using the Thermal-FIST code
- $\mu_Q = -0.18 \pm 0.90 \text{ MeV}$
- $\mu_B = 0.71 \pm 0.45$ MeV (~8 times more precise than previous measurement)
- Nuclear transparency regime is reached
 (→ baryon transport from the colliding ions to the interaction region is negligible)
- No centrality dependence → nuclear transparency also in central Pb–Pb (despite μ_B>0 could be expected from a more significant baryon number transport at midrapidity

The system created in Pb−Pb collisions at the LHC is on average baryon–free and electrically neutral at midrapidity → approaching the early Universe more than any other experimental facility

ALICE Collaboration, <u>arXiv:2311.13332</u>

Light (anti)nuclei with ALICE: large systems

0-10 %

10-20 %

20-40 % 40-60 %

ALICE

Xe–Xe $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 5.44 TeV





In Pb—Pb collisions (anti)nuclei up to ⁴He are measured



chiara.pinto@cern.ch

10⁻¹

Spectra as a function of rapidity



- Current acceptance of ALICE detector allows to extend the measurement of antinuclei up to y = 0.7
- All rapidity classes show a common trend with y, for both species (ratio to |y| < 0.1 is ~1)

Transparency of Galaxy to anti³He

Solar modulated flux





Fluxes are model dependent

 $\sigma_{\rm incl}^{\rm GEANT4}$ DM

- Our Galaxy is rather constantly transparent to ³He passage
- Data are in good agreement with Geant4 predictions
- Uncertainties on Transparency only due to absorption measurements (10-20%)

anti³He: Sature Phys. (2023) 19, 61–71
Testing coalescence model using B_2

Important observable in accelerator measurements: coalescence parameter B_A

$$B_A\left(p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm A}}{{\rm dyd} p_{\rm T}^{\rm A}} \left/ \left(\frac{1}{2\pi p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}} \frac{{\rm d}^2 N_{\rm p}}{{\rm dyd} p_{\rm T}^{\rm p}}\right)^A\right.$$

- Comparison to model predictions based on Wigner formalism
 - Using event generators (PYTHIA 8.3 & EPOS 3) ٠
 - Calibrating (anti)nucleon momentum distribution & multiplicity distributions • to measurements
 - Obtaining deuteron *p* distributions according to the probability: •



Kachelrieß et al., EPJA 57 5 (2021) 167





chiara.pinto@cern.ch

Testing coalescence model using B_2

State-of the-art coalescence model describes deuteron momentum distributions and coalescence parameter!

\leftrightarrow

Production measurements can be used to constrain the nuclear wavefunction!





- Hulthén*: Favoured by low energy scattering experiments
- Argonne v₁₈**: phenomenological potential constrained to pn scattering
- **XEFT:** Favoured by modern nuclear interaction experiments (e.g. Femtoscopy)

* Scheibl et al., PRC 59 (1999) 1585-1602
* Wiringa et al., PRC 51 (1995) 38-51
* D. R. Entem et al., PRC 96 2 (2017) 024004