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## LHC: the story so far

## Rediscovering the SM

Searching for the unknown



Good agreement with the SM predictions
No evidence of new light particles

## Where is New Physics?

There is a good chance that New Physics is Heavy
Not enough energy to produce it

Indirect searches are needed new directions

## Effective Field Theory

## Energy



## Standard Model $\mathcal{L}_{S M}(\phi)$

Effective Field Theory reveals high energy physics through precise measurements at low energy.

## EFT pathway to New Physics

## $\Delta \mathrm{Obs}_{n}=\mathrm{Obs}_{n}^{\mathrm{EXP}}-\mathrm{Obs}_{n}^{\mathrm{SM}}=\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i} c_{i}^{6}(\mu) a_{n, i}^{6}(\mu)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}}\right)$ <br> Precise experimental measurements
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## EFT pathway to New Physics



$$
\text { Constraints } \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} c_{i}^{6}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathrm{UV}
$$

## Huge effort to improve each one of these steps!

## Global nature of EFT



SMEFT correlates different sectors $\rightarrow$ Global fits

## Global fit Setup

## Theory

Accurate predictions for the SM and the EFT

## Data

> Top data, Higgs data, EW data, EWPO Inclusive and differential

## Global SMEFT fit

Faithful uncertainty estimate Avoid under- and over-fitting Validated on pseudo-data (closure test)

## Methodology

Constraints on New Physics scale Fit results can be used to bound specific UV complete models

Output

## Operator examples

currents $\quad i\left(\varphi^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} \varphi\right)\left(\bar{Q} \gamma^{\mu} Q\right)$




- Shift SM $f \bar{f} V$ couplings
- $f \bar{f} V h$ contact interactions
dipole
$\left(\bar{q} \sigma_{\mu \nu} t \tilde{\varphi}\right) V^{\mu \nu}$



- Chirality flipping $f \bar{f} V$ couplings
- $f \bar{f} V(V) h$ contact interactions
- $W, B \& G$ fields

Yukawa $\quad(\bar{q} t \tilde{\varphi})\left(\varphi^{\dagger} \varphi\right)$


- Decouple $m_{t} \& y_{t}$
- $t \bar{t} h h(h)$ contact interactions

4 fermion $\left(\bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q\right)\left(\bar{Q} \gamma^{\mu} Q\right)$


$\int f t$

- Contact interactions
- 2-heavy-2-light or 4-heavy
- Numerous (~O(20) w/ top)
+Purely bosonic operators


## Global fit observables

|  | Category | Processes | $n_{\text {dat }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top | Top quark production | ```t\overline{t}\mathrm{ (inclusive)} t\overline{t}Z,t\overline{t}W single top (inclusive) tZ,tW t\overline{t}t\overline{t},t\overline{t}b\overline{b} Total``` | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ 14 \\ 27 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ \mathbf{1 5 0} \end{gathered}$ |
| Higgs | Higgs production and decay | Run I signal strengths <br> Run II signal strengths <br> Run II, differential distributions \& STXS <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 40 \\ & 35 \\ & \mathbf{9 7} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { Е } W$ | Diboson production | LEP-2 <br> LHC <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 30 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Baseline dataset | Total | 317 |

Ethier, Maltoni, Mantani, Nocera, Rojo, Slade, EV and Zhang arXiv:2105.00006

## Global fit results



Bounds vary from operator to operator! Lots of information
Ethier, Maltoni, Mantani, Nocera, Rojo, Slade, EV and Zhang arXiv:2105.00006

## What do we learn from global fits?

Bounds on new physics scale vary from 0.1 TeV (unconstrained) to 10 s of TeV . Bounds depend on:

$$
\frac{c_{i}^{6}(\mu)}{\Lambda^{2}}=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{M^{2}}<X
$$

- the operator
- assumption of a strongly or weakly coupled theory
- individual or marginalised bounds (reality is somewhere in-between)

- linear or quadratic bounds


## Where is most information from?



Higgs-Top interface
Fisher information table

## Where is most information from?



Fisher information table

## Future of global fits

## More observables:

- particle level observables
- spin correlations
- new final states

More/different operators:

- different flavour assumptions
- dimension-8 operators


## Better EFT predictions

Higher Orders in $1 / \wedge^{4}$

- squared dim-6 contributions
- double insertions of dim-6
- dim-8 contributions

Higher Orders in QCD and EW
EFT is a QFT, renormalisable order-by order in $1 / \wedge^{2}$

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{e w}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha_{e w}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

## SMEFT of computations at dimension-6

$$
\Delta \mathrm{Obs}_{n}=\mathrm{Obs}_{n}^{\mathrm{EXP}}-\mathrm{Obs}_{n}^{\mathrm{SM}}=\sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}^{6}(\mu)}{\Lambda^{2}} a_{n, i}^{6}(\mu)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{4}}\right)
$$

Tree level: Done (SMEFTsim) https://smeftsim.github.io/ Brivio, arXiv: 2012.11343

NLO QCD: ~Done (SMEFT@NLO) http://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/SMEFTatNLO Degrande, Durieux, Maltoni, Mimasu, EV, Zhang arXiv:2008.11743
NLO EW: Some examples available, needed to probe unconstrained operators.
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$$
\text { How about this } \mu \text { ? }
$$

## Running and mixing in SMEFT

$$
\frac{d c_{i}(\mu)}{d \log \mu}=\gamma_{i j} c_{j}(\mu)
$$

One loop anomalous dimension known:
(Alonso) Jenkins et al arXiv:1308.2627, 1310.4838, 1312.2014
Example: Turn one 1 operator at high-scale
Compute effect on top pair cross-section


Aoude, Maltoni, Mattelaer, Severi, EV arXiv:2212.05067

## Impact of RGE on constraints

How does running and mixing impacts the constraints?

## Top sector fit:




Aoude, Maltoni, Mattelaer, Severi, EV arXiv:2212.05067
Effect becomes more important for differential distributions \& measurements with very different scales

## Conclusions

- SMEFT is a consistent way to look for new interactions
- The LHC gives a lot of opportunities to explore SMEFT through a lot of new measurements
- First global fits results already available: important to combine as many processes as possible
- Strong link between Higgs and top sectors
- Precise EFT predictions (NLO, RGE-improved) maximise the potential of EFT probes
- Eventually global fit results give us a clear indication of the scale of potential new physics


