



# Measurements of rare Higgs boson processes

Yurii Maravin (Kansas State University) On behalf of ATLAS and CMS Collaborations

Moriond/EW2023: 57th Rencontres de Moriond on "Electroweak Interactions & Unified Theories" March 18, 2023

Yurii Maravin (K-State)

Measurements of rare Higgs boson processes

1



## A decade since the Higgs discovery



- A triumph of theoretical and experimental physics
- One of few handles to search for new physics
  - Sensitivity to new physics in rare decays
    - Experimentally challenging





# A decade since the Higgs discovery



- A triumph of theoretical and experimental physics
- One of few handles to search for new physics
  - Sensitivity to new physics in rare decays
    - Experimentally challenging

Decay channel	Branching fraction $(\%)$
bb	$57.63 \pm 0.70$
WW	$22.00  \pm 0.33  $
gg	$8.15  \pm  0.42$
ττ	$6.21  \pm 0.09 $
cc	$2.86  \pm 0.09$
$\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}$	$2.71  \pm 0.04 $
γγ	$0.227\ \pm 0.005$
$Z\gamma$	$0.157\ \pm 0.009$
SS	$0.025\ \pm 0.001$
μμ	$0.0216 \pm 0.0004$





#### • In this talk:

- H→cc
- H→Zγ
- Decays to quarkonium and vector mesons and a photon





Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022) 717

#### • Very challenging analysis

- $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$  is difficult to trigger
- Multijet background is larger by many orders of magnitude
- Charm-jet tagging is more complex compared to b-tagging
  - YSF Talk later today on  $H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$  tagging by Martino Tanasini
- Search using VH production
  - Categories based on number of leptons
    - Further categorization based on  $p_{T}(V)$  and  $N_{\text{jets}}$
  - Validation of methods on  $V \rightarrow cq$  process
    - Evidence for VW( $\rightarrow$  cq): observed (expected) significance is 3.8 $\sigma$  (4.6 $\sigma$ )
    - Observed (expected) significance of  $VZ(\rightarrow c\overline{c})$  is 2.6 (2.2







- Very challenging analysis
  - $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$  is difficult to trigger
  - Multijet background is larger by many orders of magnitude
  - Charm-jet tagging is more complex compared to b-tagging
    - YSF Talk later today on  $H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$  tagging by Martino Tanasini
- Search using VH production
  - Categories based on number of leptons
    - Further categorization based on  $p_{T}(V)$  and  $N_{\text{jets}}$
  - Validation of methods on  $V \rightarrow cq$  process
    - Evidence for VW( $\rightarrow$  cq): observed (expected) significance is 3.8 $\sigma$  (4.6 $\sigma$ )
    - Observed (expected) significance of  $VZ(\rightarrow c\overline{c})$  is 2.6  $\sigma$  (2.2  $\sigma$ )
- Combined observed (expected) limit (95% CL) on  $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$  is 26 (31) of the SM signal strength
  - A limit on  $|\kappa_c/\kappa_b| < 4.5$  @ 95% CL, from the combination with VH(H  $\rightarrow$  bb)





## Search for $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$

- Search using VH production
  - Combined resolved and boosted approach
- Significant improvement in c-tagging performance
  - Adopting boosted H production utilizing specialized c-jet tagger based on the ParticleNet algorithm
    - An improvement by a factor of 3 with respect to the CMS previous algorithm (DeepAK15)
- First observation of  $VZ(Z \rightarrow c\overline{c}) @ 5.7\sigma$









## Search for $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$



- Search using VH production
  - Combined resolved and boosted approach
- Significant improvement in c-tagging performance
  - Adopting boosted H production utilizing specialized c-jet tagger based on the ParticleNet algorithm
    - An improvement by a factor of 3 with respect to the CMS previous algorithm (DeepAK15)
- First observation of  $VZ(Z \rightarrow c\overline{c}) @ 5.7\sigma$
- Combined observed (expected) limit (95% CL) 0L on  $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$  is 14 (7.6) of the SM signal strength
  - Most stringent limit:  $1.1 < |\kappa_c| < 5.5$  at 95% CL





2L



## Search for boosted $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$



- Dedicated effort to look for boosted  $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$  process enriched with ggH production
  - Target p<sub>T</sub> > 450 GeV and use <u>decorrelated taggers</u> (DDT)
  - DNN discriminators for signal vs. background (QCD multijet) separation
- Observed Z  $\rightarrow$  c $\overline{c}$  process with  $\mu = 1.00^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$
- Observed (expected) upper limit on the signal is 47 (39) times the SM expectation





## Search for boosted $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$



- Dedicated effort to look for boosted  $H \rightarrow c\overline{c}$  process enriched with ggH production <u>CMS</u> 138
  - Target p<sub>T</sub> > 450 GeV and use <u>decorrelated taggers</u> (DDT)
  - DNN discriminators for signal vs. background (QCD multijet) separation
- Observed Z  $\rightarrow$  c $\overline{c}$  process with  $\mu = 1.00^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$
- Observed (expected) upper limit on the signal is 47 (39) times the SM expectation







• Small branching fraction  $Br(H \rightarrow Z\gamma) \sim O(1.6 \times 10^{-3})$ 



- A ratio of  $Br(H \rightarrow Z\gamma)/Br(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  is sensitive to BSM effects
  - Some systematics cancels, BSM change two branching fractions differently enhancing the sensitivity
- Pursue  $ee\gamma$  and  $\mu\mu\gamma$  final states
- Final state radiation recovery to improve the mass resolution



CMS

• BDT discriminator (D<sub>kin</sub>) to improve S/B

## • Utilize 8 categories

- VH and ttH production (lepton-tagged)
- VBF (dijet-tagged): BDT VBF classifier (D<sub>VBF</sub>)
- ggH (untagged)





Accepted to JHEP





- Observed (expected) signal:  $2.7\sigma$  ( $1.2\sigma$ )
  - Signal strength:  $\hat{\mu} = 2.4 \pm 0.9$
  - Ratio of branching fractions is consistent with the SM @  $1.5\sigma$  $Br(H \to Z\gamma)$  $= 1.54^{+0.65}_{-0.58}$

 $Br(H \to \gamma \gamma$ 

Accepted to JHEF







- Use 6 categories with different signal-to-background ratio and mass resolution to enhance the sensitivity
  - MVA enriched VBF



PLB 809 (2020) 135754



## Search for H to quarkonia



0

Submitted to PLB

н

= O

- Small branching fractions but clean experimental signature
  - $Br(H \rightarrow Z J/\Psi) \sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$ ,
  - $Br(H \rightarrow J/\Psi J/\Psi) \sim 2 \times 10^{-10}$
- Analysis strategy
  - Search for ee,  $\mu\mu$  final states from Z and  $\mu\mu$  final state from  $J/\Psi$
  - Search for double Υ decays



Н

0

Ζ

н



## Search for H to quarkonia



- Small branching fractions but clean experimental signature
  - $Br(H \rightarrow Z J/\Psi) \sim 2 \times 10^{-6}$ ,
  - $Br(H \rightarrow J/\Psi J/\Psi) \sim 2 \times 10^{-10}$
- Analysis strategy
  - Search for ee,  $\mu\mu$  final states from Z and  $\mu\mu$  final state from  $J/\Psi$
  - Search for double Υ decays





Submitted to PLB

Process	Observed	Expected	Obser	ved
Higgs boson channel	Longitudinal	Longitudinal	Unpolarized	Transverse
$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H}  ightarrow \mathrm{ZJ}/\psi)$	$1.9 imes10^{-3}$	$(2.6^{+1.1}_{-0.7})  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.4 imes10^{-3}$	$2.8 imes10^{-3}$
$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{Z}\psi(\mathrm{2S}))$	$6.6 imes10^{-3}$	$(7.1^{+2.8}_{-2.0})  imes 10^{-3}$	$8.3 imes10^{-3}$	$9.4 imes10^{-3}$
$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{J}/\psi\mathrm{J}/\psi)$	$3.8  imes 10^{-4}$	$(4.6^{+2.0}_{-0.6}) imes10^{-4}$	$4.7 imes10^{-4}$	$5.2  imes 10^{-4}$
$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H}  ightarrow \psi(\mathrm{2S})\mathrm{J}/\psi)$	$2.1 imes10^{-3}$	$(1.4^{+0.6}_{-0.4})  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.6 imes10^{-3}$	$2.9 imes10^{-3}$
$\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{H}  ightarrow \psi(\mathrm{2S})\psi(\mathrm{2S}))$	$3.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$(3.3^{+1.5}_{-0.9})  imes 10^{-3}$	$3.6 imes10^{-3}$	$4.7 imes10^{-3}$
$\mathcal{B}(H \to Y(nS)Y(mS))$	$3.5 imes10^{-4}$	$(3.6^{+0.2}_{-0.3})  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.3 imes10^{-4}$	$4.6 imes10^{-4}$
$\mathcal{B}(H \to Y(1S)Y(1S))$	$1.7  imes 10^{-3}$	$(1.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1})  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.0  imes 10^{-3}$	$2.2  imes 10^{-3}$
Z boson channel				
${\cal B}({ m Z}  ightarrow { m J}/\psi { m J}/\psi)$	$11  imes 10^{-7}$	$(9.5^{+3.8}_{-2.6}) imes10^{-7}$	$14 imes 10^{-7}$	$16  imes 10^{-7}$
$\mathcal{B}(Z \to Y(nS)Y(mS))$	$3.9 imes10^{-7}$	$(4.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3}) imes10^{-7}$	$4.9 imes10^{-7}$	$5.6 imes10^{-7}$
$\mathcal{B}(Z \to Y(1S)Y(1S))$	$1.8  imes 10^{-6}$	$(1.8^{+0.1}_{-0.0})\times10^{-6}$	$2.2  imes 10^{-6}$	$2.4  imes 10^{-6}$





Accepted to EPJC

H(Z)

М

۸ یکریر

H(Z)

- Small branching fractions
  - $Br(H \rightarrow J/\Psi \gamma) \sim 3 \times 10^{-6}, Br(H \rightarrow \Upsilon \gamma) \sim 10^{-9}$
- Focus on  $\mu\mu\gamma$  final state
  - Major background is FSR  $\mu\mu\gamma$  and  $\gamma$ +jet processes
    - Estimated from data (shape of exclusive background is from MC)
  - 2D simultaneous unbinned fit to  $m_{\mu\mu}$  and  $m_{\mu\mu\gamma}$







Accepted to EPJC

#### • Similar distributions for $\Upsilon(nS)$



**Search for H to quarkonia** 



- Similar distributions for  $\Upsilon(nS)$
- Observations are consistent with background-only hypotheses
  - $Br(H \rightarrow Q\gamma) < O(10^{-4})$



	95% CL <sub>s</sub> upper limits					
	Branching fraction			$\sigma \times \mathcal{B}$		
Decay	Higgs bos	on [ 10 <sup>-4</sup> ]	Z boson [ 10 <sup>-6</sup> ]		Higgs boson [fb]	Z boson [fb]
channel	Expected	Observed	Expected	Observed	Observed	Observed
$J/\psi \gamma$	$1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	2.1	$0.6^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$	1.2	12	71
$\psi(2S) \gamma$	$8.5^{+3.8}_{-2.4}$	10.9	$2.9^{+1.3}_{-0.8}$	2.3	61	135
$\Upsilon(1S) \gamma$	$2.8^{+1.3}_{-0.8}$	2.6	$1.5^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$	1.0	14	59
$\Upsilon(2S) \gamma$	$3.5^{+1.6}_{-1.0}$	4.4	$2.0^{+0.8}_{-0.6}$	1.2	24	71
$\Upsilon(3S) \gamma$	$3.1^{+1.4}_{-0.9}$	3.5	$1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.5}$	2.3	19	135

100

### Flavor conserving/violating decays

- $H(Z) \rightarrow \omega \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \gamma$
- $H \to K^* \to K^+ \pi^- \gamma$

#### Dedicated trigger and tau lepton particle flow to identify pions

Events / 2.5 GeV

140

120

100

80

60

40

20

05

Data / Fit

ATLAS

√s=13 TeV, 89.5 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Data

200

150

250

Background Fit ±10

 $B(H\rightarrow\omega\gamma)=1.5\times10^{-4}$ 

 $B(Z\rightarrow\omega\gamma)=3.8\times10^{-7}$ 

Background

 Backgrounds are determined from data

Channel	95% CL upper limit		
	Expected	Observed	
$H \rightarrow \omega \gamma \; [10^{-4}]$	$3.0^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$	1.5	
$Z  ightarrow \omega \gamma \; [10^{-7}]$	$5.7^{+2.3}_{-1.6}$	3.8	
$H \to K^* \gamma \; [10^{-5}]$	$12.2^{+4.9}_{-3.4}$	8.9	

• Limits for  $H \rightarrow \omega \gamma$  are ~100 times the SM expected values



H(Z)

Y



Submitted to PL

H(Z)

**ATLAS** Search for H to meson and gamma



#### ATLAS: <u>ATL-PHYS-PUB-2023-004</u>

 These results together with the other ATLAS results on mesons + photon searches are illustrated below



#### Z to meson and gamma

Yurii Maravin (K-State)



## Summary

 Studies of rare Higgs boson decays are essential in the LHC physics program

~MS

- Both experiments are making impressive progress in studying Higgs boson
  - First evidence of Higgs boson couplings to muons at CMS
  - First evidence of Higgs decay to low-mass  $\ell\ell\gamma$  in ATLAS
- Improvement not only comes from a larger data set, but also from using innovative MVA techniques
- Run 3 will consolidate the evidence in some decay channels and will bring further improvement in sensitivity



10<sup>1</sup>

Particle mass (GeV)

100

10

10-2

10-3

10-

1.4

1.2

1.0

0.8

 $10^{-1}$ 

 $\kappa_F$  or  $\kappa_V$ 

Or VKV.

m<sub>F</sub> vev

 $10^{2}$ 









- Small branching fractions  $Br(H \rightarrow \ell \ell \gamma) \sim 10^{-4}$ 
  - Use di-electron and di-muon plus photon
  - $m_{\ell\ell} < 30$  GeV (excluding  $J/\Psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  resonances)
- Three types of categories
  - VBF enriched, high- and low-pTt categories
    - pTt is strongly correlated with the transverse momentum of the  $\ell\ell\gamma$  system
- Use both resolved and merged ee signatures
  - Dedicated ID and calibration for merged electrons



ATLAS: PLB 819 (2021) 13641



ATLAS: PLB 819 (2021) 136412



- Small branching fractions  $Br(H \rightarrow \ell \ell \gamma) \sim 10^{-4}$ 
  - Use di-electron and di-muon plus photon
  - $m_{\ell\ell} < 30$  GeV (excluding  $J/\Psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  resonances)
- Three types of categories
  - VBF enriched, high- and low-pTt categories
    - pTt is strongly correlated with the transverse momentum of the  $\ell\ell\gamma$  system
- Use both resolved and merged ee signatures
  - Dedicated ID and calibration for merged electrons
- Observed (expected) signal:  $3.2\sigma$  ( $2.1\sigma$ )
  - Signal strength:  $\hat{\mu} = 1.5 \pm 0.5$











- Very small branching fraction  $Br(H \rightarrow \mu\mu) = 2.17 \times 10^{-4}$
- Indistinguishable Drell-Yan background with signal to background  $pprox 10^{-3}$
- Background modeling is essential to search for the narrow mass peak







• Final state radiation recovery

CMS

- Utilize 20 mutually exclusive categories
  - Exploiting the topological and kinematic differences between production modes
  - Dedicated MVA





ATLAS: PLB 812 (2021) 13598

• Limits:

- Observed (expected) limit:  $2.0\sigma$  ( $1.7\sigma$ )
- $Br(H \to \mu\mu) < 4.7 (2.4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ obs (exp)}$
- About x2 of that of the SM prediction

Yurii Maravin (K-State)







- Multiple categories based on production processes
  - VBF uses DNN discriminator
- First evidence:  $3.0\sigma$  ( $2.5\sigma$ ) observed (expected)



CMS EXPERIMENT





- **Really** small branching fraction  $Br(H \rightarrow ee) = 5 \times 10^{-9}$ 
  - Expected enhancement from BSM sources
- No evidence for the decay, 95% CL limits are set
  - ATLAS:  $Br(H \to ee) < 3.6 (3.5) \times 10^{-5}$  obs (exp)
  - CMS:  $Br(H \to ee) < 3.0 (3.0) \times 10^{-5}$  obs (exp)



