For the IceCube Collaboration

# New Measurement of Muon Neutrino Disappearance from the IceCube Experiment

Shiqi Yu

Michigan State University

MORIOND EW, March 19th, 2023

#### **Presentation Outline**

- Introduction
- Reconstruction: Convolutional neural networks
- Atmospheric  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance measurements

# 



• Atmospheric muon neutrinos from cosmic ray interactions:

104

Wide ranges of both energy (E) and baseline
(L), and largest values.

# $v_{\mu}$ Disappearance with IceCube



- Atmospheric muon neutrinos from cosmic ray interactions:
  - Wide ranges of both energy (E) and baseline(L), and largest values.
- Neutrino distance of travel (L) calculated using arrival direction (zenith).

## $v_{\mu}$ Disappearance with IceCube



- Atmospheric muon neutrinos from cosmic ray interactions:
  - Wide ranges of both energy (E) and baseline
    (L), and largest values.
- Neutrino distance of travel (L) calculated using arrival direction (zenith).

 $v_{\mu}$  survival probability (two flavor approx.):

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_{23})\sin^2(\frac{1.27\Delta m_{32}^2 L}{E})$$

# $v_{\mu}$ Disappearance with IceCube



• Low-energy (< 100 GeV) reconstruction is critical to oscillation analysis

- Atmospheric muon neutrinos from cosmic ray interactions:
  - Wide ranges of both energy (E) and baseline
    (L), and largest values.
- Neutrino distance of travel (L) calculated using arrival direction (zenith).

 $v_{\mu}$  survival probability (two flavor approx.):

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_{23})\sin^2(\frac{1.27\Delta m_{32}^2 L}{E})$$

#### IceCube Neutrino Observatory

- 1 km<sup>3</sup> neutrino detector deep under South Pole ice;
- 5160 digital optical modules (DOMs) detect Cherenkov photons emitted during neutrino interactions;
- DOMs record pulse charges & times;
- DeepCore: denser configured sub-detector, can observe GeV-scale neutrinos.



#### Reconstruction

Machine learning techniques reconstruct:

- Energy
- Direction (L)
- PID:  $v_{\mu}$  CC vs. others
- Interaction vertex
- Atm. muon classifier .



#### Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)

- Only use DeepCore & nearby IceCube strings;
- Five CNNs trained on balanced MC samples: optimized for different variables.



# 5 summarized variables per DOM:

- sum of charges
- time of first (last) pulse
- charge weighted mean (std.) of times of pulses

#### **Reconstruction Performance**

- Nominal MC with analysis cuts and flux, xsec, and oscillation weights applied;
- Comparable resolution to current (likelihood-based) method;
- ~3,000 times faster in runtime: big advantage for full MC production of atmospheric neutrino datasets.



#### Preliminary Analysis Sample

- Data taken over 3,390 days between 2012-2021;
- Total of 150,257 events;
- High signal ( $\nu_{\mu}$  CC) and low background (noise and atm. muon) rates (~0.6%):
  - Several levels of selection are applied to eliminate the primary atm. muons and noise backgrounds.



#### **3D** Binned Analysis Sample

Measure 3D distortions in reconstructed [energy, cos(zenith), PID]:

- PID discriminates  $v_{\mu}$  CC vs. neutrino bkgs;
  - 27,352 tracks; 22,963 cascades.
- Robust against systematic uncertainties.





#### **3D** Binned Analysis Sample

Measure 3D distortions in reconstructed [energy, cos(zenith), PID]:

- PID discriminates  $v_{\mu}$  CC vs. neutrino bkgs;
  - 27,352 tracks; 22,963 cascades.
- Robust against systematic uncertainties.





# $v_{\mu}$ Disappearance Analysis

- Systematic uncertainty pulls within expectations;
- Same treatments with DeepCore 8-year results:
  - $\circ$   $\,$  A publication with details coming soon.





- Reduce correlations among flux uncertainties: PCA;
- Further MC improvements underway.



MORIOND EW 2023 | Shiqi Yu

#### **Oscillation Results**

- The new result is compatible and complementary with the existing measurements:
  - Different sample and facing different systematics.
- Big updates on MC models and calibration since last publications (DeepCore 3-year).





#### **Oscillation Results**

- The new result is compatible and complementary with the existing measurements.
- Competitive on  $\Delta m^2_{32}$  measurement.
- Room for future improvements!
  - Flux model; particle modeling; calibration, etc





## Conclusion



- First-time using the highest-statistic (9.3yr) DeepCore atmospheric neutrino dataset for oscillation measurements:
  - Machine learning tools (including CNNs) are used for multi-purpose reconstruction.
- Compatible, complementary results with the existing measurements:
  - Different sample and facing different systematics;
  - $\circ \quad \text{Competitive constraint on } \Delta m^2_{\phantom{2}32} \ .$
- A lot of room for future improvements!
  - MC models, detector calibration, reconstruction, uncertainty modeling...
- More oscillation results using this new sample on the way!
  - Neutrino mass ordering, non-standard interactions...





#### ley I'm a D-Egg



## Backup

#### **Oscillation Results**

- Consistent with the previous IceCube results.
- Big updates on MC models and calibration since last publication (DeepCore 3-year).
- Compared to DeepCore 8-year result: New reconstruction, including mixed- and low-pid bins into analysis.



#### Future

The Upgrade detector:

- More densely instrumented strings in the center
  - Better energy resolution!
- DOM: multiple PMT designs
  - Great for calibration studies!
- Target deploying 2024/25









#### **Training Samples**

- Balanced MC samples;
- Energy, direction, interaction vertex are trained on  $v_{\mu}$  CC events (signal).





#### MORIOND EW 2023 | Shiqi Yu

#### Performance: Speed

	Second per file (~3k events)	Time for full sample assuming 1000 cores
CNN on GPU	21	~ 13 minutes
CNN on CPU	45	~ 7.5 hours
Current Likelihood-based method (CPU only)	120,000	~ 46 days

- CNN runtime improvement: ~3,000 times faster;
  - CNNs are able to process in parallelize with clusters  $\rightarrow$  can be even faster!
- Big advantage: large production of full Monte Carlo simulations  $\sim O(10^8)$ .

## **Testing Samples**

- Nominal MC sample with flux, xsec, and oscillation weights applied;
- Testing on signal ( $v_{\mu}$  CC) and major background ( $v_{e}$  CC);
- Baseline: current reconstruction method (likelihood-based)



#### Performance: Vertex

- Selecting events starting near DeepCore;
- Comparable purities in selected  $v_{\mu}$  CC samples.





#### Performance: Muon and PID Classifiers

- Comparable performance to the current methods:
  - Similar AUC values.
- Hard to identify track from cascades at low energy  $\rightarrow$  less DOMs see photons.



#### **Training Samples**

Energy: nDOM >= 7 Muon : nDOM >= 4; 5–200 GeV Muon, PID, Vertex: nhits >= 8 hit 5-200 GeV Zenith: full containment cut on true vertexes, 5-300GeV







#### MORIOND EW 2023 | Shiqi Yu

### **Performance: Direction**

- Direction bias flat against true energy;
- Comparable to current method;
- Better resolution for  $v_{\mu}$  CC (signal);
- High energy (>100 GeV) neutrinos leaving DeepCore
  - Need containment cut: interaction vertex reconstruction.



### **Performance: Energy**

- Flat median against true neutrino energy;
  - CNN has better resolution at low energy (majority of sample)
- Comparable performance to current method at higher energy and in background;



#### **Reconstruction Performance**

- Flat median against true neutrino energy and zenith;
- CNN has comparable resolution to current method, and better at low energy (majority of sample)





#### **Performance: Zenith**

- Flat median against true direction;
- Comparable to current method in both signal and background.



0.75

1.00

### Performance: Zenith (Contained, 5-300 GeV Sample)



#### Systematic Effect: Neutrino Flux Model

Neutrino flux spectral index variation has different signature to expected oscillation signal



Fit for spectral index among other model systematics

$$N_{\sigma} = \frac{N_{\text{pulled}} - N_{\text{nominal}}}{\sqrt{N_{\text{nominal}}}}$$

Flux model systematic: Neutrino flux spectral index changed by +1 $\sigma$ 

#### Systematic Uncertainty Consideration

- Flux uncertainty
  - Pion & Kaon production uncertainties

E <sub>i</sub> (Ge	V)	Pions				Kaons	
<8	10	0% 30%			40%		
8-15	30%	10%	30%			40%	
15-30	30 10	5%	10%	30	20	10%	
30-500	30	15%		40		30%	
>500	30	15%+Energy dep.		40		30%+Energy dep.	
	0	0.5	X LAB	1 0		0.5 x <sub>LAB</sub>	
				Barr et	al.	Phys. Rev. D 74, 094009	