Numerical investigation of screened scalar-tensor theories in space-based experiments

Hugo Lévy, Joël Bergé, Jean-Philippe Uzan

Colloque national Action Dark Energy 2023 - 7ème édition, Annecy



Based on arχiv 2209.07226 & 2310.03769

Scalar-Tensor theories

Scalar field in the gravitational sector

1. **The dark sector** [1]
   - Dark Matter
   - Dark Energy
   - + inflation paradigm



2. **A 'true' scalar field exists in nature**
   - Discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012
   - … ?



3. **More fundamental theories**
   - String theory as an effective 4-dimensional theory [1]
   - f(R)-theories ≡ scalar-tensor [2]

[1] *A. Joyce et al, arXiv:1407.0059*

[2] *J. Velásquez and L. Castañeda, arXiv:1808.05615*

Scalar field in the gravitational sector

1. **The dark sector** [1]
   - Dark Matter
   - Dark Energy
   - + inflation paradigm



2. **A 'true' scalar field exists in nature**
   - Discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012
   - … ?



3. **More fundamental theories**
   - String theory as an effective 4-dimensional theory [1]
   - f(R)-theories ≡ scalar-tensor [2]

[1] *A. Joyce et al, arXiv:1407.0059*

[2] *J. Velásquez and L. Castañeda, arXiv:1808.05615*

Laboratory Tests

Atom interferometry [3]



Eöt-Wash torsion pendulum [4]



Casimir effect [5]

Solar System Tests



© Y. Gominet/IMCCE/Nasa



MICROSCOPE [6]

Astrophysical Tests

Galaxy rotation curves [7]

Cluster lensing [8]



Scalar radiation in BNS [9]

Length scales

Laboratory Tests

Atom interferometry [3]



Eöt-Wash torsion pendulum [4]



Casimir effect [5]

Solar System Tests



© Y. Gominet/IMCCE/Nasa

MICROSCOPE [6]

Astrophysical Tests

Galaxy rotation curves [7]

Cluster lensing [8]



NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center

Scalar radiation in BNS [9]

Length scales

Scalar fields playing *hide-and-seek*

Review of the most popular screening mechanisms [2]		
Classification	Type of Equation	Rule of Thumb
Weak coupling • Symmetron • Damour-Polyakov **Large mass** • Chameleon	$\Box\phi = \frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{eff}}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}$	Occurs in regions of high Newtonian potential
Large inertia • K-Mouflage	$\Box\phi + A_1\partial_\mu\left[(\partial\phi)^2\partial^\mu\phi\right] + A_2 T = 0$	Occurs in regions where the gravitational acceleration is large
• Vainshtein	$6\Box\phi + B_1\left[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi)^2\right] = B_2 T^\mu{}_\mu$	Occurs in regions where spatial curvature is large

Take-home messages:

- Different mechanisms to 'screen' scalar fields from local tests of gravity (i.e. recover GR at Solar System scales)
- At the equation level, screening ≡ non-linearity

[2] *A. Joyce et al, Beyond the Cosmological Standard Model, arXiv:1407.0059*

Scalar fields playing *hide-and-seek*

Review of the most popular screening mechanisms [2]		
Classification	Type of Equation	Rule of Thumb
Weak coupling • Symmetron • Damour-Polyakov **Large mass** • Chameleon	$\Box\phi = \frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\text{eff}}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}$ Non-linear Klein-Gordon equation	Occurs in regions of high Newtonian potential
Large inertia • K-Mouflage	$\Box\phi + A_1\partial_\mu\left[(\partial\phi)^2\partial^\mu\phi\right] + A_2T = 0$	Occurs in regions where the gravitational acceleration is large
• Vainshtein	$6\Box\phi + B_1\left[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\phi)^2\right] = B_2T^\mu{}_\mu$	Occurs in regions where spatial curvature is large

Take-home messages:
- Different mechanisms to 'screen' scalar fields from local tests of gravity (i.e. recover GR at Solar System scales)
- At the equation level, screening ≡ non-linearity

[2] *A. Joyce et al, Beyond the Cosmological Standard Model, arXiv:1407.0059*

Numerical considerations

arχiv:2209.07226

Chameleon field equation

Field equation (in the Newtonian limit)	**5th force**
$$\Delta\phi = \frac{\beta}{M_{Pl}}\rho - n\frac{\Lambda^{n+4}}{\phi^{n+1}}$$ Free parameters: β, n, Λ Mass distribution: $\rho = \rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ Unknown: $\phi = \phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$	$$\vec{F}_\phi = -m\frac{\beta}{M_{Pl}}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi$$ Point-mass follows geodesics of the Jordan frame metric $\neq$ Einstein frame geodesics

Chameleon field equation

Field equation (in the Newtonian limit)	**5th force**
$$\Delta\phi = \frac{\beta}{M_{Pl}}\rho - n\frac{\Lambda^{n+4}}{\phi^{n+1}}$$ Free parameters: β, n, Λ Mass distribution: $\rho = \rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ Unknown: $\phi = \phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$	$$\vec{F}_\phi = -m\frac{\beta}{M_{Pl}}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi$$ Point-mass follows geodesics of the Jordan frame metric ≠ Einstein frame geodesics

Geometry can be quite complex!

- ✓ Finite Element Method can deal with complex geometries



Eöt-Wash torsion pendulum [4]

FEM Mesh ⇒



[2] *A. Upadhye, Dark energy fifth forces in torsion pendulum experiments, arXiv:1209.0211*

Chameleon field equation

Field equation (in the Newtonian limit)	**5th force**
$$\Delta\phi = \frac{\beta}{M_{Pl}}\rho - n\frac{\Lambda^{n+4}}{\phi^{n+1}}$$ Free parameters: β, n, Λ Mass distribution: $\rho = \rho(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ Unknown: $\phi = \phi(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$	$$\vec{F}_\phi = -m\frac{\beta}{M_{Pl}}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi$$ Point-mass follows geodesics of the Jordan frame metric ≠ Einstein frame geodesics

Boundary conditions?
$$\begin{cases} \alpha\Delta\phi = \rho - \phi^{-(n+1)} \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}) \underset{\lVert\mathbf{x}\rVert\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \phi_{\mathrm{vac}} \end{cases}$$ **“ well-posed problem ”**



Ω
$\begin{cases} \alpha\Delta\phi = \rho - \phi^{-(n+1)} \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}) \underset{\|\mathbf{x}\|\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \phi_{\mathrm{vac}} \end{cases}$
Distance from the origin
0
$+\infty$

Ω

Ω



Not possible to mesh a domain of infinite spatial extent...

Ω

Let's *compactify** *space*!

***(i.e. apply a global coordinate transform that will map the whole plane to a bounded domain)**

For instance

$$\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (x, y) \mapsto \frac{R_{\text{cut}}}{1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|}(x, y)$$



Not possible to mesh a domain of infinite spatial extent...


Distance from the origin
0
+∞





Penrose diagram




Γ


Γ


Γ
Apply $\phi = \phi_\infty$ on Γ



One idea among (many) others!

Caveat: Applying such coordinate transforms leads to unbounded coefficients in the resulting PDE (weight regularisation technique arχiv:2209.07226)

Inspired by
Grosch and Orszag (1977)
Zenginoglu (2011)
Chernogorova et al. (2016)
Boulmezaoud (2005)

Programming *(low level code)*

Physics *(high level code)*

Study Scripts (`from femtoscope import ...`)

- Custom nonlinear solver with line-search
- Implementation of 3 techniques to handle asymptotic boundary conditions
- 1D, 2D and 3D Finite Element Method

femtoscope

- Poisson *Class*
  (+ analytical & semi-analytical solutions available)
- Chameleon *Class*
  (+ few analytical approximations)

Third-party Python librairies

Gmsh

meshio

sfepy

NumPy

Application to space geodesy* arχiv:2310.03769

All computations are performed with

femtoscope

**Space geodesy is a scientific discipline that involves precise measurements and analysis of the Earth's shape, gravitational field, and the dynamic behavior of its surface using satellite-based technologies.*

Motivations

- A satellite in orbit is subject to both **Newtonian attraction** and **fifth force**
- Strong **impact of the local landform** on the scalar field in the **screened regime**
- **Mountain** ≡ deviation from spherical symmetry + analogy with the **'lightning-rod effect'** in chameleon and symmetron models [10]
- Can a satellite flying over a mountain **distinguish** between **Newtonian gravity** and **chameleon gravity**?



[10] K. Jones-Smith and F. Ferrer, *Detecting Chameleon Dark Energy via an Electrostatic Analogy*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 221101 – Published 29 May 2012



Testing screened scalar-tensor models with mountains (?!)

GRACE-FO

Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech


Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech
GRACE-FO

Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech

GRACE-FO

Laser Ranging Interferometry:
precision of **few tenths of microns**

That’s $\sim 10^{-10}$ km!!!



$t = t_0$


$t = t_0$
$d(t_0)$

$t = t_1$



$$d(t_1) > d(t_0)$$


$t = t_2$
$d(t_2) < d(t_1)$


$t = t_2$
d (inter-satellite distance)
Time



$t = t_2$
d (inter-satellite distance)
Time
10 *minutes*
$0{,}1mm - 1m$

Interpreting the measurement in the framework of Newtonian gravity gives us a gravity map




Exaggerated
view!!


Chameleon
+ Newton
Newton
+3.267 × 10^5
Inter-satellite distance [m]
36
34
32
30
28
0
2
4
6
8
10
Time [h]


Passage of the satellites above the mountain
Chameleon + Newton
Newton
+3.267 × 10^5
Inter-satellite distance [m]
36
34
32
30
28
0
2
4
6
8
10
Time [h]




Anomaly
=
Chameleon
+ Newton
−
Newton
+3.267 × 10^5
Inter-satellite distance [m]
36
34
32
30
28
Anomaly [cm]
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
0
2
4
6
8
10
Time [h]

The anomaly is well within the range of GRACE-FO precision!

$$\mathcal{O}(1cm) \gg \mathcal{O}(10^{-5}cm)$$



Sources of degeneracy



$$x_{sat}(t_0) = x_0[1 + \delta_x]$$

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{x}) = \rho_0[1 + \delta_\rho(\boldsymbol{x})]$$

<u>Questions</u>

Is it possible to absorb the chameleon anomaly in

- a small uncertainty in the satellite's initial state vector δ_x?
- a slight variation in the {Earth + Mountain} density δ_ρ?

(in the framework of Newtonian gravity)

Sources of degeneracy



$x_{sat}(t_0) = x_0[1 + \delta_x]$

$\rho(\boldsymbol{x}) = \rho_0[1 + \delta_\rho(\boldsymbol{x})]$

<u>Questions</u>

Is it possible to absorb the chameleon anomaly in

- a small uncertainty in the satellite's initial state vector δ_x?
- **a slight variation in the {Earth + Mountain} density δ_ρ?**

(in the framework of Newtonian gravity)

Modified Gravity

(Newtonian + chameleon accelerations)

vs

Newtonian Gravity

with extra point-mass





Modified Gravity

(Newtonian + chameleon accelerations)

vs

Newtonian Gravity

with extra point-mass



= 0,001% the mass of the initial mountain

Anomaly

$\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-4} cm)$



How to lift the degeneracy?



Idea:

Perform the experiment at **different altitudes**

How to lift the degeneracy?



Tensions come in...





H Lévy et al, arχiv 2310.03769

Tensions come in...



The greater the tension, the tighter the potential constraints on the modified gravity model at stake

Conclusion

- *femtoscope*: solve semi-linear elliptic PDE using the Finite Element Method on unbounded domains (general purpose code)

femtoscope

- Application to scalar-tensor theories of gravity:

Linear Poisson equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\Phi = 4\pi G\rho(\mathbf{x}) \\ \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \underset{\|\mathbf{x}\|\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \end{cases}$$

Nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi = \mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{eff}}/\mathrm{d}\phi \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}) \underset{\|\mathbf{x}\|\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \phi \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{a} \sim -\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\Phi + \phi)$$

Gravitational Acceleration

- Application to space geodesy: focus on the GRACE-FO configuration
- Can we detect / put constraints on the chameleon model in this context?

With little to **no** uncertainties

Competitive constraints can be derived

With uncertainties

Mass distribution inside the Earth

Satellite state vector

- Dramatically improve our knowledge of the Earth density (unlikely)
- Use ≠ altitudes (taking advantage of the fact that $a_\phi \not\propto 1/r^2$)

- In comparison, lab experiments offer a more controlled environment

hugo.levy@onera.fr

- Application to space geodesy: focus on the GRACE-FO configuration
- Can we detect / put constraints on the chameleon model in this context?

With little to **no** uncertainties

→ Competitive constraints can be derived

With uncertainties

→ Mass distribution inside the Earth

→ Satellite state vector

- Dramatically improve our knowledge of the Earth density (unlikely)
- Use ≠ altitudes (taking advantage of the fact that $a_\phi \not\propto 1/r^2$)

- In comparison, lab experiments offer a more controlled environment

Thanks for your attention!

hugo.levy@onera.fr

References

[1] A. Joyce et al, *Beyond the Cosmological Standard Model*, Physics Reports, Vol. 568, 22 March 2015, p. 1-98

[2] J. Velásquez and L. Castañeda, *Equivalence between Scalar-Tensor theories and f(R)-gravity: From the action to Cosmological Perturbations*, Journal of Physics Communications, May 2020

[3] B. Elder et al, *Chameleon dark energy and atom interferometry*, Phys. Rev. D, August 2016

[4] A. Upadhye, Dark energy fifth forces in torsion pendulum experiments, Phys. Rev. D, November 2012

[5] A. Almasi et al, *Force sensor for chameleon and Casimir force experiments with parallel-plate configuration*, Phys. Rev. D, May 2015

[6] P. Touboul et al (MICROSCOPE Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 121102 – Published 14 September 2022

[7] V. Vikram et al, *Astrophysical tests of modified gravity: Stellar and gaseous rotation curves in dwarf galaxies*, Phys. Rev. D, May 2018

[8] H. Wilcox et al, *Simulation tests of galaxy cluster constraints on chameleon gravity*, MNRAS, October 2016

[9] A. Upadhye, J. H. Steffen, *Monopole radiation in modified gravity*, arXiv:1306.6113 [astro-ph.CO], June 2013

[10] K. Jones-Smith and F. Ferrer, *Detecting Chameleon Dark Energy via an Electrostatic Analogy*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 221101 – Published 29 May 2012

Backup Slides

Tensions come in...





H Lévy et al, arχiv 2310.03769

FEM in a nutshell (example on Poisson's equation)

strong form

Find $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma := \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad (1)$$

FEM in a nutshell (example on Poisson's equation)

strong form

Find $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma := \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

FEM Recipe

1. Multiply Eq. (1) by a test function v
2. Integrate over Ω
3. Perform an integration by parts

FEM in a nutshell (example on Poisson's equation)

strong form

Find $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma := \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Find $u \in V := H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\forall v \in V, \underbrace{\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x}_{a(u,v)} = \underbrace{\int_\Omega f v \, \mathrm{d}x}_{l(v)}$$

FEM in a nutshell (example on Poisson's equation)

strong form

Find $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma := \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Find $u \in V := H_0^1(\Omega)$
$$\forall v \in V, \underbrace{\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x}_{a(u,v)} = \underbrace{\int_\Omega f v \, \mathrm{d}x}_{l(v)}$$

4. Look for u in a finite-dimensional subspace $V^h \subset V$ (e.g. space of piecewise polynomial functions)

The landscape of basis functions



FEM in a nutshell (example on Poisson's equation)

strong form

Find $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma := \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

weak formulation

Find $u \in V := H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\forall v \in V, \underbrace{\int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x}_{a(u,v)} = \underbrace{\int_\Omega f v \, \mathrm{d}x}_{l(v)}$$

continuous

Find $u_h \in V_h \subset V$

$$\forall i \in [\![1, N]\!] \; a(u_h, v_i) = l(v_i)$$

Solve $A\,U = L$

$$\begin{cases} A_{ij} = a(v_j, v_i) \\ L_i = l(v_i) \end{cases}$$

discrete

How to lift the degeneracy?



Idea n°2

Measuring gravitational redshift using clocks [under investigation]

Chameleon constraints from MICROSCOPE



M. Pernot-Borràs et al. (2019)

Chameleon constraints from MICROSCOPE

MICROSCOPE can do:

- weak equivalence principle [state of the art]
- generic long-range Yukawa 5^{th}-force [state of the art]
- light dilaton [competitive]
- Lorentz invariance [state of the art]

MICROSCOPE cannot do:

- generic short-range Yukawa 5^{th}-force [not competitive]
- chameleon 5^{th}-force [not competitive]

MICROSCOPE was not designed for testing short-ranged modified gravity theories. Recent work challenges the claim on the ability of space experiments to detect chameleon-sourced violations of the WEP sourced by the Earth [2, 3].

Convergence Analysis (FEM)



Implemented techniques

- Compactification : $\Omega \rightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}$ (global coordinate transform) + BC applied at the boundary of the compactified domain.
- "Connected" : domain splitting $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega}_{int} \cup \overline{\Omega}_{ext}$ and Kelvin inversion $\Omega_{ext} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{ext}$ + identification of the boundary DOFs $\partial\Omega_{int} \equiv \partial\widetilde{\Omega}_{ext}$.
- "ping-pong" : domain splitting $\overline{\Omega} = \overline{\Omega}_{int} \cup \overline{\Omega}_{ext}$ and Kelvin inversion $\Omega_{ext} \rightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{ext}$ + iterative method with DtN / NtD transmission conditions at the boundary

Convergence Analysis (FEM)



e
the
Ω_{ext}
ation
Ω_{ext}
itions

Building blocks of Scalar-Tensor theories

Metric Tensor

$g_{\mu\nu}$

Einstein-Hilbert action in General Relativity

$$S_{EH} = \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\, R + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\, L_m\left(g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_m^{(i)}\right)$$

Building blocks of Scalar-Tensor theories

Metric Tensor
$g_{\mu\nu}$

+

Scalar Field
ϕ

Modified action with a scalar field (example)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{M_{Pl}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi)\right] + \int d^4x \sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\, L_m\left(\Omega^2(\phi) g_{\mu\nu}, \psi_m^{(i)}\right)$$

Modified Gravity geodesics

$$u^\mu \nabla_\mu u^\rho = -\frac{\partial \ln\Omega}{\partial \phi} \perp^{\mu\rho} \partial_\mu \phi$$

General Relativity geodesics

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x^\sigma}{\mathrm{d}s^2} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\mu}{\mathrm{d}s} \frac{\mathrm{d}x^\nu}{\mathrm{d}s} = 0$$

$$\blacksquare - \blacksquare \longrightarrow \mathbf{F}_\phi = -m\frac{\partial \ln\Omega}{\partial\phi}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\phi$$

Modified Gravity geodesics

$$u^\mu \nabla_\mu u^\rho = -\frac{\partial \ln\Omega}{\partial\phi}\perp^{\mu\rho}\partial_\mu\phi$$

General Relativity geodesics

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2x^\sigma}{\mathrm{d}s^2} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^\mu}{\mathrm{d}s}\frac{\mathrm{d}x^\nu}{\mathrm{d}s} = 0$$

Derivation can be found in M. Pernot-Borràs 2020, PhD thesis