Theoretical Aspects and Specific Problems of Physics Analysis at SuperB factories

(PROJECT:B_02 & B_03)

Emi KOU (LAL/IN2P3)

TYL meeting: LAPP (Annecy) 15-16th June 2010





JOINT LAB

Overview of the project

Project B_02 & B_03

Project B_02

- Contributions to B physics
- Approved in 2006, 2007, 2008 (Hazumi/Stocchi)
 → 2010

2010

Project B_03

- Investigation of the theoretical aspects of the flavour physics
- Approved in 2009 (Hashimoto/Kou) → 2010

TH.&Exp.

Theoretical Aspects and Specific Problems of Physics Analysis at SuperB factories (Hashimoto/Kou)

Project B_02& B_03

Members

KEK: S. Hashimoto,* T. Goto, Y. Okada, N. Yamada Universities: K. Tobe(Nagoya), Y. Shimizu (Tohoku)

h.

LAL/IN2P3: E.Kou* LPT-Orsay/CNRS: A. Abada, D. Becirevic, B. Blossier, S. Descotes-Genon

FR

*responsible

h

KEK: M.Nakao, T.Higuchi, S.Nishida, Y.Sakai Universities: K.Hara(Nagoya) LAL/IN2P3: A.Stocchi, G.Wormser, A.Perez, L.Bursmistrov, N.Arnaud, D.Derkach Universities: E.Ben-Haim(ParisVI)

Activity Report for 2009 ~Project B_03~

Japanese team visiting France I

~March 2010 (LAL): S. Hashimoto~

TYL workshop on Lattice QCD inputs to CKM fits

Day I: Review on the recent progress in the lattice QCD

Day 2: Discussions on how to implement the lattice errors to the CKM fit (different approaches of the CKM fitters (by J. Charles) and UTfit (by A.Stocchi) presented). → Different formulation of fermions
→ Different errors in the final results



Japanese team visiting France I

~March 2010 (LAL): S. Hashimoto~

TYL workshop on Lattice QCD inputs to CKM fits

Day Review on the recent progress in the lattice QCD

Day 2: Discussions on how to implement the lattice errors to the CKM fit (different approaches of the CKM fitters (by J. Charles) and UTfit (by A.Stocchi) presented). Statistical problems on how to deal with the lattice errors...



from J. Charles

Japanese team visiting France II

~Oct 2009 (LAL): T. Goto & Y. Shimizu, Dec 2009 (Frascati) T. Goto~

Working group on SUSY benchmark points for SuperB factories

Oct 2009 : Working group on clarifying the role of the SuperB factories in order to pin down the parameter space of SUSY model.

• Dec 2009 : SUSY flavour models to present the interplay of the different SuperB observables.

Japanese team visiting France II

~Oct 2009 (LAL): T. Goto & Y. Shimizu, Dec 2009 (Frascati) T. Goto~

Working group on SUSY benchmark points for SuperB factories

	View Edit History Print					
	Introduction					
	Motivation					
	This working group is a part of the SuperB activity for writing Tech	nical Design Report				
	in 2010. It is a similar kind of document as Conceptual Design Re	port ^[1] . In this page,				
LAL-Theorie-wiki	we discuss the SuperB SUSY benchmark. The benchmark point w	vas required by the				
HomePage	experimentalists for the following reasons:					
Benchmark	1. For the sensitivity study to the SUSY effect, it is useful	to have some				
Introduction	example of pattern of the SUSY contributions.					
SuperB Observables	2. The sensitivity study so far has been done by using the mass insertions					
Flavour models	approximation by having only one mass insertion parameter no zero.					
U(2) Model	However, it was often asked: what if we switch on more than one? or is					
 Non-abelian SU(3) Model 	there any correlation among the mass insertions?					
Radiative model	The activity started in the Valencia meeting and some results are	presented in the				
Complex At-term	proceeding ^[2] . In this work, the flavour observable is tested by using the so-called SPS					
Mass Insertion						
PmWiki Manuals	(Showmass Foint and Slope).	Bonchmark working				
Edit test space	The SUSY benchmark for SuperB	Dencimark working				
PmWikitoolbox	While the motivation for this activity is described as above. we contain the second se	group W/iki				
edit SideBar	purpose or the meaning to have the SuperB benchmark point in	group wiki				
Logout Login						

Japanese team visiting France II

~Oct 2009 (LAL): T. Goto & Y. Shimizu, Dec 2009 (Frascati) T. Goto~

Working group on SUSY benchmark points for SuperB factories



French team visiting Japan I

~Feb 2010 (KEK): B.Blossier, S.Descotes-Genon, E.Kou, A.Tayduganov ~

Informal seminars on Lattice QCD

Subject I: The chiral perturbation theory and lattice (S. Descotes-Genon)

Subject 2: European Lattice QCD activities (B.Blossier)

•Subject 3: Baryon spectroscopy on Lattice QCD (B.Blossier)

French team visiting Japan I

~Feb 2010 (KEK): B.Blossier, S.Descotes-Genon, E.Kou, A.Tayduganov ~

Lectures at the BELLE analysis school

Lecture I: Non- leptonic B decays into two mesons (S. Descotes-Genon)

- Lecture 2: SUSY CP/Flavour problem (E. Kou)
- **Lecture 3:** $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ (e.g. $B \rightarrow K\pi\pi\gamma$) (E. Kou)

Project and Plan for 2010

~Theoretical Aspects and Specific Problems of Physics Analysis at SuperB factories~

I. Theoretical aspects

Our project aims at...

- Reduction of the theoretical uncertainties: very important to justify the SuperB projects
- New physics: clarifying the target and the sensitivity is important for SuperB projects
 - The activity 2009 was very useful for the SuperB community.
 - We will continue those activities (SUSY benchmark, lattice QCD relation and discussions with fitters and more...) and further enforce the theory relation between France and Japan.

II. Specific problems for physics analysis at SuperB

- The SuperB factories aim at a 50-100 times higher luminosity than the current machines.
- Two SuperB projects are waiting for the full approval.

Our project aims at...

- Discussions on new ideas in theory: possible new observables at the SuperB factories.
- Discussions on specific analysis problem: experiences from BELLE/BABAR to SuperB

II. Specific problems for physics analysis at SuperB

	B physics @ Y(4S)	Variety of meas	urements for any o	bservable	
Observable	B Factories (2 ab^{-1})	Super B (75 ab^{-1})	Observable	B Factories (2 ab^{-1})	Super B (75 at	
$\sin(2eta)~(J/\psi~K^0)$	0.018	0.005 (†)	$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D})$	2007	107 (+)	SuperB
$\cos(2eta)~(J/\psi~K^{*0})$	0.30	0.05	$\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau \nu)$	20%	<u>4% (†)</u>	
$\sin(2eta)~(Dh^0)$	0.10	0.02	$B(B \to \mu \nu)$	visible	5%	Observables
$\cos(2eta)~(Dh^0)$	0.20	0.04 -	$\mathcal{B}(B \to D\tau\nu)$	10%	2%	Obscivabies:
$S(J/\psi \ \pi^0)$	0.10	0.02				
$S(D^+D^-)$	0.20	0.03	${\cal B}(B o ho \gamma)$	15%	3% (†)	
$\alpha \ (B \to \pi \pi)$	$\sim 16^{\circ}$	3°	$\mathcal{B}(B ightarrow \omega \gamma)$	30%	5% 🖌	
$\alpha \ (B \to \rho \rho)$	$\sim 7^{\circ}$	$1-2^{\circ}$ (*)	$A_{CP}(B \to K^* \gamma)$	0.007 (†)	0.004 († *)	
$\alpha \ (B \to \rho \pi)$	$\sim 12^{\circ}$	2°	$A_{CP}(B \to \rho\gamma)$	~ 0.20	0.05	
$lpha \ (ext{combined})$	$\sim 6^{\circ}$	$1-2^{\circ}$ (*)	$A_{\rm cm}(b \to s \gamma)$	0.012 (+)	0.004 (†)	
$\gamma \ (B \to DK, D \to CP \text{ eigenst})$	tates) $\sim 15^{\circ}$	2.5°	$A_{CF}(b \to (s+d)\gamma)$	0.03	0.006 (+)	
$\gamma (B \to DK, D \to \text{suppressed})$	states) $\sim 12^{\circ}$	2.0°	$\frac{R(F(0, \pi^0 \alpha))}{S(F(0, \pi^0 \alpha))}$	0.00	0.000 (1)	
$\gamma (B \to DK, D \to \text{multibody})$	states) $\sim 9^{\circ}$	1.5°	$S(\mathbf{X}_{s}\pi,\gamma)$.11	0.02 (*)	
$\gamma \ (B \to DK, \text{ combined})$	$\sim 6^{\circ}$	$1-2^{\circ}$	$S(\rho^{\circ}\gamma)$	possible	0.10	
$2\beta + \gamma \left(D^{(*)\pm}\pi^{\mp}, D^{\pm}K^{0}_{S}\pi^{\mp} \right)$) 20°	50		_~~	. ~ .	
$S(\phi K^0)$	በ 13	0.02 (*)	$A_{CP}(B \to K^*\ell\ell)$	7%	1%	
$S(\eta K^0)$	0.15	0.02(*)	$A^{FB}(B \to K^*\ell\ell)s_0$	25%	9%	
$S(K^0K^0K^0)$	0.00	0.02 (*)	$A^{FB}(B \to X_s \ell \ell) s_0$	35%	5%	
$S(K^0\pi^0)$	0.15	0.02 (*)	$\mathcal{B}(B \to K \nu \overline{\nu})$	visible	20%	
$S(\omega K^0)$	0.13	0.03 (*)	$\mathcal{B}(B \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu})$	-	possible	
$S(\mathbf{f}_{0}K^{0})$	0.12	0.00(*)]	Possible also at LHC	b	
			Sii	milar precision at LH	ICb	
V _{cb} (exclusive) 4% (*) 1.0% (*) Example of « SuperB specifics »						
$ V_{cb} $ (inclusive)	1% (*)	0.5% (*)	inclusive in	addition to exclus	sive analyses	
$ V_{ub} $ (exclusive) $ V_{ub} $ (inclusive)	8% (*) 8% (*)	3.0% (*) 2.0% (*)	channels w	ith π^0 , γ 's, ν , many	y Ks	from A. Stocchi

Analysis Examples...



Analysis Examples...

Photon polarization determination in $B \rightarrow K_1 \gamma$ decay



Analysis Examples...

Role of D-D mixing to constrain 4th generation model

by E.Kou, N.Kosnic





Number of generation is not a requirement of the standard model. There might be 4th generation!

Conclusions

- This proposal is the combined project B_02 (2006,2007,2008) & B_03 (2009).
- We reported the theory co-operation activity in 2009.
- We would like to continue this activity in 2010 in order to further enforce the French-Japan theory co-operation.
- For 2010, we propose an additional project to discuss the SuperB physics case and the specific analysis problem.