### Double parton scattering in ultraperipheral collision

### Bruna O. Stahlhöfer In collaboration with Emmanuel G. de Oliveira, H. Edgar

Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina Florianópolis – Brasil

### LC2023, Setembro 2023



# Contents

Ultraperipheral Collisions

- 2 Double parton scattering
- **(3)** Production of  $c\bar{c}I^+I^-$  in AA collisions and UPCs via DPS
- **4**  $J/\Psi$  production with the proton dissociation
  - 5 Conclusions
- 6 Acknowledgments



# Ultraperipheral Collisions



 Interactions are between "quasi-real" photons;

▶ < ∃ >

 The interaction is predominantly electromagnetic;

< • • • • • •



3/27

э

### **Ultraperipheral Collisions**

# Double parton scattering

Double Drell-Yan production as a example of the DPS:



- Independent interactions;
- Simultaneously interaction occurring in the same collision;

Pocket Formula  

$$\sigma_{A,B}^{\text{DPS}} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_A^{\text{SPS}} \sigma_B^{\text{SPS}}}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}}$$

$$m = 1$$
 Equal final states;

m = 2 Different final states.



4/27

# Production of $c\bar{c}I^+I^-$

E. Huayra, E. G. de Oliveira, R. Pasechnik and B. O. S., Phys. Rev. D 104, (2021).



- Three photons and one gluons in the initial state;
- Probe the gluons distribution of the nucleus;
- Probe the photons distribution of the nucleus;
- The target nucleus which provide the gluon is allowed to break;



### **Production of** $c\bar{c}l^+l^-$ in AA collisions and UPCs via DPS

ヘロト 人間ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

# DPS cross section



### **General equation:**

$$\frac{d^{5}\sigma_{AA\to AXc\bar{c}\bar{l}\bar{l}}^{\text{DPS}}}{dy_{c}dy_{\bar{c}}dp_{c\perp}^{2}dYdM} = \int d^{2}\vec{b}\,\Theta(b-2R_{A})\int d^{2}\vec{b}_{\gamma_{1}}\int d^{2}\vec{b}_{\gamma_{3}}\int d\xi_{1}d\xi_{2}d\xi_{3}dx$$
$$\times N_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{3}}(\xi_{1},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{1}};\xi_{3},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{3}})P_{\gamma_{2}g}^{A}(\xi_{2},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{2}};x,\vec{b}_{g})$$
$$\times \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma g\to c\bar{c}}}{dp_{c\perp}^{2}}\int \frac{dp_{l\perp}^{2}}{M^{2}}\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma\to l\bar{l}}}{dp_{l\perp}^{2}}.$$

6/27

# Correlation between parton distributions

### Photons distributions of the projectile nucleus:

 $N_{\gamma_1\gamma_3}(\xi_1,ec{b}_{\gamma_1};\xi_2,ec{b}_{\gamma_3}) = \Theta(b_{\gamma_1}-R_A)\Theta(b_{\gamma_3}-R_A)N_{\gamma_1}(\xi_1,ec{b}_{\gamma_1})N_{\gamma_3}(\xi_3,ec{b}_{\gamma_3}).$ 

- This nucleus stays intact;
- Punctual parametrization of the electromagnetic charge;
- In the high energy limit, we do not considered correlations between the photons;

### Photon and gluon distribution of the target nucleus:

$$P^{\mathsf{A}}_{\gamma_{2}g}(\xi_{2},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{2}};x,\vec{b}_{g}) = N_{\gamma_{2}}(\xi_{2},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{2}}) \, G^{\mathsf{A}}_{g}(x,\vec{b}_{g}).$$

- This nucleus is allowed to break;
- Realistic parametrization of the electromagnetic charge;
- We do not considered correlations between the photon and the gluse



7/27

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

### Effective cross section

Thickness function of the two photons:

$$T_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\vec{b}) = \frac{\int d^{2}\vec{b}_{\gamma_{1}}\Theta(b_{\gamma_{1}}-R_{A})N_{\gamma_{1}}(\xi_{1},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{1}})N_{\gamma_{2}}(\xi_{2},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{1}}-\vec{b})}{\overline{N}_{\gamma_{1}}(\xi_{1})\,\overline{N}_{\gamma_{2}}(\xi_{2})}$$

Thickness function of the two photons:

$$T_{\gamma_{3}g}(\xi_{3},\vec{b}) = \frac{1}{\overline{N}_{\gamma_{3}}(\xi_{3})} \int d^{2}\vec{b}_{p} \int d^{2}\vec{b}_{\gamma_{3}}\Theta(b_{\gamma_{3}} - R_{A}) N_{\gamma_{3}}(\xi_{3},\vec{b}_{\gamma_{3}})$$
$$\rho_{2\mathrm{D}}(\vec{b}_{p})f_{g}(\vec{b}_{\gamma_{3}} - \vec{b}_{p} - \vec{b}).$$

Effective cross section:

$$\sigma_{
m eff}^{AA}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3)^{-1} = \int d^2 ec{b} \ T_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(\xi_1,\xi_2,ec{b}) \ T_{g\gamma_3}(\xi_3,ec{b}) \Theta(b-2R_A) \,.$$

A B > 4
 B > 4
 B

프 에 제 프 에



8/27

э

### Pocket formula

$$\frac{d^{3}\Sigma_{\gamma g \to c\bar{c}}}{dy_{c}dy_{\bar{c}}dp_{c\perp}^{2}} = \xi_{3}\overline{N}_{\gamma_{3}}(\xi_{3})Axg(x)\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma g \to c\bar{c}}}{dp_{c\perp}^{2}},$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 \Sigma_{\gamma\gamma \to l\bar{l}}}{dY dM} = \xi_1 \overline{N}_{\gamma_1}(\xi_1) \xi_2 \overline{N}_{\gamma_2}(\xi_2) \int \frac{dp_{l\perp}^2}{M^2} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma \to l\bar{l}}}{dp_{l\perp}^2} \,.$$

# $\frac{d^{5}\sigma_{AA\to AXc\bar{c}\bar{l}\bar{l}}^{\text{DPS}}}{dy_{c}dy_{\bar{c}}dp_{c\perp}^{2}dYdM} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{eff}}^{AA}(\xi_{1},\xi_{2},\xi_{3})} \frac{d^{3}\Sigma_{\gamma g\to c\bar{c}}}{dy_{c}dy_{\bar{c}}dp_{c\perp}^{2}} \frac{d^{2}\Sigma_{\gamma\gamma\to l\bar{l}\bar{l}}}{dYdM}.$



9/27

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Effective cross section



- The region of the smallest value is where the photon ξ<sub>3</sub> is found more easily inner the target nucleus;
- For small or too large  $\xi_3$  the photon rarely overlaps with the gluon  $\xi_3$

10/27

# DPS production of $c\bar{c}I^{-}I^{+}$ in AA and pA collisions



- Inelastic contribution is much smaller for the for the AA collision;
- Cross section is orders of magnitude larger in AA collision in contrast to the pA collision;



11/27

# Cross section shape of the dimuon production in SPS



• The larger asymmetry in the DPS cross section is caused by the  $\xi$  dependency in the  $\sigma_{\rm eff};$ 

12/27

• DPS is not a simple rescale of the SPS;

# $J/\Psi$ production in SPS



- The measurements of the J/Ψ are more accurate;
- In a parton level we can not consider a pomeron exchange;
- CEM in LO is the easiest way to evaluate the cross section;



13/27

# Experimental data

EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH



CERN-EP-2023-089 29 March 202

Exclusive and dissociative  $J/\psi$  photoproduction, and exclusive dimuon production, in p–Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$  TeV

ALICE Collaboration

$\sigma_{J/\Psi}^{ m diss}$ ( $\mu$ b) by Alice $\sigma_{J/\Psi}^{ m diss}$ ( $\mu$ b) by us
$10.43 \pm 0.57 \pm 1.39$
11.22

14/27



# $di J/\Psi$ production via DPS

• Is the dissociative  $J/\Psi$  predominant over the exclusive one?



• Is it possible to distinguish the dissociative  $J/\Psi$  from the exclusive one?



15/27

# Preliminary results of the di $J/\Psi$ cross section via DPS



- The result is smaller than we naively expected;
- Some improvements can be done;
- We can consider a dissociative J/Ψ and an exclusive one in the final state;



16/27

# Perspective



 The next step is to build a effective cross section considering the production of a dimuon and a J/Ψ via DPS;



17/27

æ

# Conclusions

- We have explored the poorly known **photons density inside the nucleus in UPCs** and the correlation with the gluons density;
- We have evaluated for the **first time** the  $\sigma_{\rm eff}$  with  $3\gamma \in g$  in the initial state in UPC.
- We have found a **mensurable**  $\sigma^{\text{DPS}}$  considering the LHC and FCC;
- With the new experimental results we are able to test our procedure to evaluate a DPS cross section in the UPCs and to make new predictions;
- Maybe our results can be seen as a experimental motivation to near future measurements involving DPS in UPCs;



18/27

イロト イヨト イヨト

# Acknowledgments

# Thank you!













19/27

### ◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > □ 臣

### Elastic and Inelastic photon flux in p and A





20/27

### ・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# Distribuição das cargas

### Parametrização de Wood-Saxon:

$$\begin{split} \rho(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{\rho_0}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{c}}{a}\right)}, \\ c &= 6.63 \text{fm}; \quad a = 0.546 \text{ fm}; \\ \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) d^3 \mathbf{r} &= 1, \qquad \rho_0 = 7, 71 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^{-3}. \end{split}$$



Fator de forma:

$$F(\mathbf{q}^2) = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dr \frac{\rho_0 r}{1 + e^{(r-c)/a}} \frac{\sin(q \cdot r)}{q}$$

Fluxo de fótons do núcleo para fator de forma genérico:

$$\omega \frac{dN}{d\omega d^2 b}(\omega, b) = \frac{Z^2 \alpha}{\pi^2} \left| \int_0^\infty dq_t \frac{q_t^2 \mathsf{F}\left(q_t^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{\gamma^2}\right)}{q_t^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{\gamma^2}} \mathsf{J}_1(bq_t) \right|^2$$



21/27

٠

∃⇒

### Fluxo de fótons do núcleo







# Distribuição de glúons no núcleo

Distribuição de glúons no núcleon:

$$G_g(x,\vec{b}) = g(x)f_g(\vec{b}),$$

$$f_g(\vec{b}) = rac{\Lambda^2}{2\pi} rac{\Lambda b}{2} K_1(\Lambda b), \qquad \int d^2 \vec{b} f_g(\vec{b}) = 1 \,,$$

com  $\Lambda = 1.5 \text{GeV}$ .

Densidade de glúons no núcleo:

$$\rho_{2D}(\vec{b}) \equiv \int dz \, \rho(\vec{r}) = \int dz \, \frac{\rho_0}{1 + e^{\frac{\sqrt{b^2 + z^2} - c}{a}}},$$

Distribuição de glúons no núcleo integrada no parâmetro de impacto do próton:

$$G_{g}^{A}(x,\vec{b}_{g}) = Ag(x) \int d^{2}\vec{b}_{p}\delta^{(2)}(\vec{b}_{g}-\vec{b}_{p}-\vec{b}_{g|p})\rho_{2D}(\vec{b}_{p})f_{g}(\vec{b}_{g|p}).$$

23/27

# Distribuição de glúons



• Distribuição de glúons do próton em duas escalas — 2m<sub>c</sub> e 2m<sub>b</sub>;

< □ > < 同



24/27

• Distribuição de fótons fora do núcleo;

# Produção de dilépton e cc em SPS

Seção de choque partônica para o dilépton:

$$\frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma\to l\bar{l}}}{dYdM^2} = \frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{M^2} \int dp_t^2 \frac{d^2\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma\to l\bar{l}}}{dp_t^2} \delta\left(\xi_1 - \frac{M}{\sqrt{s}}e^Y\right) \left(\xi_2 - \frac{M}{\sqrt{s}}e^{-Y}\right)$$

Seção de choque partônica para  $c\bar{c}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3 \hat{\sigma}_{\gamma g \to c\bar{c}}}{dy_c dy_{\bar{c}} dp_{c\perp}^2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 4(m_c^2 + p_{c\perp}^2)/\hat{s}}} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma g \to c\bar{c}}}{d\hat{t}} \,\delta\left(y_c - \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\xi \hat{u}}{x\hat{t}}\right)\right) \\ &\times \,\delta\left(y_{\bar{c}} - \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\xi \hat{t}}{x\hat{u}}\right)\right) \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$\xi_3, x = \frac{\sqrt{m_c^2 + p_{c\perp}^2}}{\sqrt{s}} \left( e^{\pm y_c} + e^{\pm y_{\overline{c}}} \right).$$



25/27

э

.

メロト メロトメヨトメヨト

# DPS production of $b\bar{b}c\bar{c}$ in AA and pA collisions



### Effective cross section evaluated for the first time in UPCs.

E. Huayra, E. G. de Oliveira and R. Pasechnik, Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 880 (2019).

E. Huayra, E. G. de Oliveira and R. Pasechnik, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 772 (2020).

26/27

### Exemplo de contaminação SPS para a produção de duplo Drell-Yan:





27/27

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト - 三ト