The ATLAS Muon Barrel Trigger

D. della Volpe



Università degli Studi di Napoli "FEDERICO II" &



CPPM - Marseille - 8 March 2010

Outline

- The context
 - LHC Physics and ATLAS trigger Strategy (Rol)
 - Muons for LHC Physics
 - Muon Trigger physics requirements
- The RPC detector
 - The Principle of operation
 - The Bakelite RPC
 - The ATLAS RPC
- The Muon Barrel Trigger
 - The Algorithm and Trigger Logic
 - The Implementation
 - The Timing



Large Hadron Collider

27 km circumference25 ns bunch crossing = 40 MHz

Two modes of operation:

- proton-proton 7 TeV + 7 TeV
- ion-ion 574 TeV per nucleus

High Luminosity Experiment

• ATLAS, CMS $(L=10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$

Low Luminosity Experiment

- **LHCb** $(L=10^{32}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$
- **TOTEM** $(L=2x10^{29}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$

Ion Lead-Lead

• ALICE $(L=10^{29}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$



Large Hadron Collider

27 km circumference25 ns bunch crossing = 40 MHz

Two modes of operation:

- proton-proton 7 TeV + 7 TeV
- ion-ion 574 TeV per nucleus

High Luminosity Experiment

• ATLAS, CMS $(L=10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$

Low Luminosity Experiment

- **LHCb** $(L=10^{32}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$
- **TOTEM** $(L=2x10^{29}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$

Ion Lead-Lead

• ALICE $(L=10^{29}cm^{-2}s^{-1})$





Inner Tracker 3 Detector

- Pixel
- Silicon
- Transition radiation





Hadronic Tile Calorimeter

















The ATLAS Trigger strategy

The challenge

At 10³⁴ we will have 25 underlying event at each bunch crossing (25 ns)

This corresponds to ~1 GHz of events while the affordable storage rate is 200-300 Hz

We need to be able to select 5x10⁻⁶ of total events

Trigger select bunch crossing not event!

The challenge

At 10³⁴ we will have 25 underlying event at each bunch crossing (25 ns)

This corresponds to ~1 GHz of events while the affordable storage rate is 200-300 Hz

We need to be able to select $5x10^{-6}$ of total events We need to be able to select this

out of this

Trigger select bunch crossing not event!





At full LHC Luminosity, the ATLAS detector can produce **1.6 MB/event *40 MHz = 64 PB/s**

To reduce the amount of data to write to disk and of unnecessary data transfer Three -level Trigger Architecture
"Region of Interest" mechanism

The Rol Mechanism

The Level 1 Trigger objects (jet, electromagnetic, muon candidate, etc.) determine Regions of Interest (Rols) that seed further trigger decisions

A typically Rol size is $~0.1\Delta\eta\times 0.1\Delta\varphi$ (larger for jets)

Based on coarse, fast information

Identify Regions based on local areas of activity at Level-1 (L1) and pass on to Level-2 (L2)

Only Rol data sent to next trigger level to reduces stress on DataFlow





The starting point



CERN/LHCC/92-4 LHCC/I 2 1 October 1992

ATLAS

Letter of Intent for a General-Purpose pp Experiment at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN

Abstract

The ATLAS collaboration proposes to build a general purpose proton-proton detector for the Large Hadron Collider, capable of exploring the new energy regime which will become accessible. The detector would be fully operational at the startup of the new accelerator. The detector concept, the research and development work under way to optimize the detector design, and its proposed implementation are described, together with examples of its discovery potential.

The ATLAS Barrel Toroid

- - 25.3 m length
 - 20.1 m outer diameter
 - 8 coils

1100

- 1.08 GJ stored energy
- 370 tons cold mass
- 830 tons weight
- 118 tons superconductor
- 56 km Al/NbTi conductor
- 20.5 kA @ 4 T nominal current
- 4.5 K working point

ATLAS Muon Trigger Requirements

- ✓ Two threshold regimes for single-muon triggers as the physics suggests
 - pT > 6 GeV for b physics, Low p_T
 - pT > 20 GeV for heavy object searches High pt
- An high and bias-free single-muon triggering efficiency for a multilepton trigger capability
- ✓ Unique Bunch crossing identification
 - LHC Bunch crossing ~ 25 ns
 - Data stamped with LVL1 ID (event ID) and Bunch crossing ID (BCID) fundamental for tagging fragments belonging to the same physic event for subsequent event building
- ✓ Trigger resolution < 4 ns</p>
- ✓ Rough muon p_T-measurement, on a time-scale of < 2 µs (LVL1 Latency)</p>

ATLAS Muon Trigger Requirements

Safe operation in high background condition



• At the nominal LHC luminosity, the expected counting rate

- 10 Hz/cm² in the barrel region
- few kHz/cm² in the very forward regions.

- The interaction of protons with the beam pipe, the forward calorimeters and the machine elements will produce neutrons with a wide energy spectrum
- This background particle flux behaves like a "gas"
- Neutrons Emission of photon with energy of the order of 100 keV to few MeV by nuclei capture.
- Photons converted in electrons via Compton effect are detected in the muon chambers.

ATLAS Muon Trigger Requirements



Radiation Background rate determines

- The sharpness of the trigger efficiency curve,
- the rate of genuine and accidental muon triggers,
- the number of trigger planes and their granularity

- To reduce further the rate of accidental coincidences, the trigger is implemented in two projections, (r–z) and (r–φ);
- therefore, the trigger system also provides the measurement of track coordinates in the 'non-bending' (r– ϕ) projection.
- The granularity in the latter projection affects the accidental trigger rate and the second-coordinate resolution.

The Choice of the detector

- ✓ Have a good time resolution <5 ns</p>
- Good spatial resolution
- Low sensitivity to Neutron and Gamma
- Cheap for large area

Resistive Plate Counter Detector



RPC detector

The principle of operation The characteristic

The ATLAS RPC

Spark Counters

Keuffel 'Spark' Counter:

High voltage between two metal plates. Charged particle leaves a trail of electrons and ions in the gap and causes a discharge (Spark).

\Rightarrow Excellent Time Resolution(<100ps).

Discharged electrodes must be recharged \Rightarrow **Dead time of several ms**.

Parallel Plate Avalanche Chambers (PPAC):

At more moderate electric fields the primary charges produce avalanches without forming a conducting channel between the electrodes.

No Spark \Rightarrow induced signal on the electrodes.

\Rightarrow Higher rate capability.

However, the smallest imperfections on the metal surface cause sparks and breakdown.

\Rightarrow Very small (few cm2) and unstable devices.

Parallel-Plate Counters

J. WARREN KEUFFEL* California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California (Received November 8, 1948)



Spark Counters

Keuffel 'Spark' Counter:

High voltage between two metal plates. Charged particle leaves a trail of electrons and ions in the gap and causes a discharge (Spark).

\Rightarrow Excellent Time Resolution(<100ps).

Discharged electrodes must be recharged \Rightarrow **Dead time of several ms**.

Parallel Plate Avalanche Chambers (PPAC):

At more moderate electric fields the primary charges produce avalanches without forming a conducting channel between the electrodes.

No Spark \Rightarrow induced signal on the electrodes.

\Rightarrow Higher rate capability.

However, the smallest imperfections on the metal surface cause sparks and breakdown.

\Rightarrow Very small (few cm2) and unstable devices.

Parallel-Plate Counters

J. WARREN KEUFFEL* California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California (Received November 8, 1948)



Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs)

\Rightarrow Place resistive plates in front of the metal electrodes.

No spark can develop because the resistivity together with the capacitance will only allow a very localized 'discharge'. The rest of the entire surface stays completely unaffected.

\Rightarrow Large area detectors are possible !

Resistive plates from Bakelite ($\rho = 10^{10}-10^{12} \Omega cm$) or Window glass ($\rho = 10^{12}-10^{13} \Omega cm$).

Gas gap: 0.25-2mm. Electric Fields 50-100kV/cm. Time resolutions: 50ps (100kV/cm), 1ns(50kV/cm)

Application: Trigger Detectors, Time of Flight (TOF)

Pestov idea: use as anodic electrode a high resistivity glass Concept extended to RPCs with both electrodes with high resistivity



Principles of operation



Principles of operation












$$\tau = \rho \frac{2d}{S} \left(\frac{\epsilon S}{2d} + \frac{\epsilon_0 S}{g} \right) = \rho \epsilon_0 \left(\epsilon_r + \frac{2d}{g} \right)$$







$$\tau = \rho \frac{2d}{S} \left(\frac{\epsilon S}{2d} + \frac{\epsilon_0 S}{g} \right) = \rho \epsilon_0 \left(\epsilon_r + \frac{2d}{g} \right) \qquad \tau \sim 10 \ ms$$

 $\tau \propto \rho$ High Resistivity limits Rate Capability

Ionization regimes

Depending on the applied Voltage different ionization regimes are possible.

- Avalanche
- Multi avalanche
- Streamer



$$dn = n\frac{dx}{\lambda} = n\alpha dx$$
$$N = n_0 e^{\alpha x} = n_0 e^{\alpha v_d t}$$

- electron mean free path
- α first Townsend coefficient
- v_d drift velocity

Saturated Avalanche





Saturated avalanche

Prompt Charge (pC):	0.1 ÷1
Pulse Width (ns):	<i>1</i> ÷2
Pulse Height (mV):	0.5÷2.5
Spot dimension	$\sim mm^2$





Gas Mixture



Gas Mixture



Gas Mixture

 $C_2 H_2 F_4 / C_4 H_{10} \quad 95\% / 5\%$



RPC Performances

Spatial resolution

Time resolution

Time resolution (H8 test-beam 2003)











ρ Bulk resistivity







too high current - Bad Gap

High current is a signal of discharge inside the gap.



High current is a signal of discharge inside the gap.

Ageing of RPC

- The ATLAS experiment will run for more than 10 years
- Detectors must guarantee to work properly at high rate
- For the RPC (at nominal luminosity):

Ageing described in terms of integrated charge:

- The ageing of any gas detector is a very subtle process
- Deep studies on ATLAS RPC ageing have been done at CERN X5/GIF (6 ATLAS equivalent years)
- Two main effects were observed related to the ageing process

Ageing of RPC

- The ATLAS experiment will run for more than 10 years
- Detectors must guarantee to work properly at high rate
- For the RPC (at nominal luminosity):

Expected counting rate: 10 Hz/cm²

 $< Q > \approx 30 \text{ pC/count}$ 10⁸ s in 10 years Safety factor = 10

Ageing described in terms of integrated charge:

- The ageing of any gas detector is a very subtle process
- Deep studies on ATLAS RPC ageing have been done at CERN X5/GIF (6 ATLAS equivalent years)
- Two main effects were observed related to the ageing process

Ageing of RPC

- The ATLAS experiment will run for more than 10 years
- Detectors must guarantee to work properly at high rate
- For the RPC (at nominal luminosity):

Expected counting rate: 10 Hz/cm²

 $< Q > \approx 30 \text{ pC/count}$ 10⁸ s in 10 years Safety factor = 10



- The ageing of any gas detector is a very subtle process
- Deep studies on ATLAS RPC ageing have been done at CERN X5/GIF (6 ATLAS equivalent years)
- Two main effects were observed related to the ageing process

RPC Ageing Effect: Increasing of resistivity:

- Progressive reduction of internal humidity of bakelite (bulk phenomena)
- Introduction of humidity in the gas mixture comparable to the environmental value
- Keep external humidity under control



RPC Ageing Effect: Increasing of resistivity:

- Progressive reduction of internal humidity of bakelite (bulk phenomena)
- Introduction of humidity in the gas mixture comparable to the environmental value
- Keep external humidity under control



RPC Ageing Effect: Increasing of dark current (detector noise):

- Degradation of plate surface
- Formation of F- radicals and HF (very aggressive)
- Strong dependence on working temperature
- Reduction of fluoride compounds (increase isob. percentage)
- Increase of gas flow in order to quickly remove HF molecules
- Keep temperature below 22-23 C





Muon Barrel Trigger

-----[Implementation (CM and roads)

Timing as Physics selector technique

Result

Basic Principle

• Physics:

Selection of events with muons having a large transverse momentum (p_T)

• Trigger:

Identification of candidate muon tracks coming from the interaction vertex within a p_T range.

• Algorithm:

Demand a coincidence of hits in different RPC chambers within geometrical roads.



Basic Principle

Level-1 algorithm performed in both η and ϕ projections. Two p_T regimes:

+ Low-p_T (μ > 6 GeV/c) with <u>RPC1 \oplus RPC2</u> **+** High-p_T (μ > 10 GeV/c) with RPC3 \oplus Low-p_T

- Low p_T and High p_T are separate but not independent
- Low p_T trigger result is needed for the High p_T decision
- The timing between Low p_T and High p_T has to be adjusted depending on the physics (cosmics or beam)



The algorithm

Associate to each pivot strip a COINCIDENCE WINDOW in the Low- p_T and in the High p_T system

The width of the COINCIDENCE WINDOW depends on:

- The p_T threshold
- η coordinate
- Muon Spectrometer layout



The algorithm



The algorithm

Associate to each pivot strip a **COINCIDENCE WINDOW** in the Low- p_T and in the High p_T system The width of the COINCIDENCE WINDOW depends on:

- The p_T threshold
- $-\eta$ coordinate
- Muon Spectrometer layout


The behavior depends on the geometry chosen (so called roads), the numbers of layers seen in coincidence (so called majority), the width of the coincidence window

Trigger Logic

time coincidence between its inputs.

The roads select the μ according to a defined p_T threshold.



At each BC the trigger logic (called Coincidence Matrix) checks for geometrical and

ATLAS Level-1Muon Barrel Trigger - D. della Volpe

Trigger Logic

At each BC the trigger logic (called Coincidence Matrix) checks for geometrical and time coincidence between its inputs.

The behavior depends on the geometry chosen (so called roads), the numbers of layers seen in coincidence (so called majority), the width of the coincidence window



Level-1 Barrel expected efficiency vs p_T



Level-1 Barrel expected efficiency vs p_T



Why trigger efficiency lower than 90 %??

Trigger Coverage



Trigger Coverage



Trigger Coverage



CPMM - uo march 2010 - marsenie

ATEAS LEVELTIVIUOIT DAITEL IIIGYELT D. UEIIA VOIPE

Level-1 Trigger Rates @
$$\mathcal{L} = 10^{31} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$$

Inclusive μ cross-section @ LHC (prompt μ and π /K decay)



	<i>p</i> _T > 6 <i>GeV</i>	<i>p</i> _T >20 <i>GeV</i>
π/Κ	7100	680
b	1400	500
С	800	210
W	3	26
t	~0	~ 0

Low-p_T Trigger rates ~ 10 kHz High-p_T Trigger rates ~ 1.5 kHz

Level-1 Trigger Rates @
$$\mathcal{L} = 10^{31} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$$

Inclusive μ cross-section @ LHC (prompt μ and π /K decay)



		<i>p_T</i> > 6 <i>GeV</i>	<i>p_T</i> >20 <i>GeV</i>
π/Κ		7100	680
b		1400	500
С		800	210
W	-	3	26
t		~0	~ 0

Low-p_T Trigger rates ~ 10 kHz High-p_T Trigger rates ~ 1.5 kHz

Level-1 Trigger Rates @
$$\mathcal{L} = 10^{31} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$$

Inclusive μ cross-section @ LHC (prompt μ and π /K decay)





Low-p_T Trigger rates ~ 10 kHz High-p_T Trigger rates ~ 1.5 kHz

Event Filter rate @ $\mathcal{L} = 10^{31} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$



The Timing

a look inside



ATLAS LVL1 Trigger

► The ATLAS trigger is synchronous with LHC bunch crossing (BC) at 40.08 MHz

- The triggers coming from the different trigger detectors/systems are collected into the Central Trigger Processor (CTP) that issues a L1 Accept (L1A) on the first "valid" trigger received
- So between the issue of a trigger and its confirmation there is a delay that depends on many sources (signal propagation, processing time, etc..)
- Data are stored in pipelines waiting for the L1A and then it is essential to correctly tag the event and the corresponding data
- This is achieved labeling all the data with the id on the BC (BCID) and with the L1A.



Timing

✓ Timing selects physics: muons from beam not the same cosmics

- ✓ Timing is NOT "absolute"
- ✓ The trigger for the same particle can be seen at different time by each trigger element (part of the trigger system)



A correct timing-in means that we will trigger the μ , with the desired p_T , emerging from the IP at given BC and we will stamp it with the correct BC ID.

The timing-in of the trigger requires to correct for:

- **the delay** due to the propagation along cables, fibers and to the processing latencies of the different elements.
- <u>the Time of Flight</u>, i.e. the physics to select, needs to know the physical "interesting" configurations

Just cosmic can be used

- are flat in time and not confined within 25 ns
- spatial distribution nor isotropic nor IP pointing.
- Correlation between trigger elements but not wrt the IP
- Wrong ToF in the top part of the detector.

A correct timing-in means that we will trigger the μ , with the desired p_T , emerging from the IP at given BC and we will stamp it with the correct BC ID.

The timing-in of the trigger requires to correct for:

- **the delay** due to the propagation along cables, fibers and to the processing latencies of the different elements.
- <u>the Time of Flight</u>, i.e. the physics to select, needs to know the physical "interesting" configurations

Just cosmic can be used

- are flat in time and not confined within 25 ns
- spatial distribution nor isotropic nor IP pointing.
- Correlation between trigger elements but not wrt the IP
- Wrong ToF in the top part of the detector.

Selecting IP pointing cosmic muons: beam trajectory (wrong ToF) easy to understand

from cosmic to beam only ToF difference

Timing - Global Alignment

- Using RPC trigger to align itself it is too difficult: the trigger misalignment has an impact on the track reconstruction
- The ATLAS Inner Transition Radiation Tube detector set-up a trigger for self calibration (TRT FastOR).

TRT FastOR

- good coverage for pointing tracks
- small ToF contribution
- timed in at ~ ns



Muon barrel trigger has ~ 400 trigger sources (called towers)

Achievement with cosmics

Timing - September 2008



Timing Now with Cosmics



CPMM - 08 March 2010 - Marseille

ATLAS Level-1Muon Barrel Trigger - D. della Volpe



Beam Configuration

- To from cosmics to beam just ToF correction (~BC) was applied
- With beam:
 - absolute reference (Beam Pickup BPTX)
 - absolute BCID
 - absolute correction

Beam Configuration

- To from cosmics to beam just ToF correction (~BC) was applied
- With beam:
 - absolute reference (Beam Pickup BPTX)
 - absolute BCID
 - absolute correction



Beam Configuration

- To from cosmics to beam just ToF correction (~BC) was applied
- With beam:
 - absolute reference (Beam Pickup BPTX)
 - absolute BCID
 - absolute correction







Toroid	Muon tracks	RPC Triggers	eff.
OFF	8	7	88 %
ON	28	19	68 %













Level1 muon trigger at 7 TeV

• One week at $\mathcal{L} = 10^{31} \ cm^{-2} s^{-1}$

$$\varepsilon \simeq 50\%$$
 $\int \mathcal{L} = 1 - 2 \ pb^{-1}$

- O(100k) muons with low p_T from heavy quark decays
- A few 10 k muons from W, few 100 from Z
- Important sample of inclusive muons (tag and probe is very statistics limited)
- With 10 pb⁻¹ large statistics will allow:
 - Precise determination of parameters: L1 trigger roads
 - Precise determination of performance: p_T resolution, trigger efficiency with tag and probe
















The Muon Spectrometer





The choice



 $\Delta\beta/\beta \approx 20\%$

 $\beta \cong 1.08 \ rad/p(GeV)$ $\beta \cong 0.68 \ rad/p(GeV)$

Level-1 Trigger



- Hardware based
- It has a maximum latency of 2.5 µs
- It has 3 main components: Calorimeter Trigger, Muon Trigger and Central Trigger
- The trigger deals with detector information from coarse region in the etaphi plane (Region of interest (Rol))

Total charge vs HV



Neutrons & γ s sensitivity



- The bakelite plates are previously coated with a graphite to apply HV
- A PET foil is sticked over to insulated it
- A gas gap is assembled using 2 mm thick bakelite plates.
- The separation is ensured by a spacer glued at 10 cm x 10 cm distance
- The readout strips are just laying over



$$\rho_{bak} = (1 \div 4) \cdot 10^{10} \Omega \cdot cm$$

- The bakelite plates are previously coated with a graphite to apply HV
- A PET foil is sticked over to insulated it
- A gas gap is assembled using 2 mm thick bakelite plates.
- The separation is ensured by a spacer glued at 10 cm x 10 cm distance
- The readout strips are just laying over



$$\rho_{bak} = (1 \div 4) \cdot 10^{10} \Omega \cdot cm$$

- The bakelite plates are previously coated with a graphite to apply HV
- A PET foil is sticked over to insulated it
- A gas gap is assembled using 2 mm thick bakelite plates.
- The separation is ensured by a spacer glued at 10 cm x 10 cm distance
- The readout strips are just laying over



$$\rho_{bak} = (1 \div 4) \cdot 10^{10} \Omega \cdot cm$$

- The bakelite plates are previously coated with a graphite to apply HV
- A PET foil is sticked over to insulated it
- A gas gap is assembled using 2 mm thick bakelite plates.
- The separation is ensured by a spacer glued at 10 cm x 10 cm distance
- The readout strips are just laying over



$$\rho_{bak} = (1 \div 4) \cdot 10^{10} \Omega \cdot cm$$

- The bakelite plates are previously coated with a graphite to apply HV
- A PET foil is sticked over to insulated it
- A gas gap is assembled using 2 mm thick bakelite plates.
- The separation is ensured by a spacer glued at 10 cm x 10 cm distance
- The readout strips are just laying over

$$\rho_{bak} = (1 \div 4) \cdot 10^{10} \Omega \cdot cm$$

The RPCs of ATLAS



Efficiency homogeneity



Neutrons & γ s sensitivity















The cable delay (T0) and the Time of Flight (ToF) are the two main components of misalignment.

Local alignment (layers, views, trigger towers)

- ToF is negligible.
- Needs only RPC data

Global alignment (trigger sector)

- ToF and delays are entangled
- needs reconstructed tracks and geometry (global event data)
- easiest with an "external" reference

I will focus on the Global alignment which is the real challenge

Timing - Global Alignment with TRT FastOr

Using TRT FastOR & RPC data

- can be cleanly seen a tower shift
- in principle a tower by tower check can be done



Timing - Global Alignment with TRT FastOr

• Using TRT FastOR & RPC data

- can be cleanly seen a tower shift
- in principle a tower by tower check can be done



•

*

*

Using TRT offline track time

compare different towers

check consistency with TRT FastOr

- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)



- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)
- Views (η ϕ)





- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)
- Views (η ϕ)
- Pivot to Low-pT



- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)
- Views (η ϕ)
- Pivot to Low-pT
- Low-p_T to High-p_T



- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)
- Views (η ϕ)
- Pivot to Low-pT
- Low-pt to High-pt



- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)
- Views $(\eta \varphi)$
- Pivot to Low-pT
- Low-pt to High-pt



- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)
- Views $(\eta \varphi)$
- Pivot to Low-pT
- Low-pt to High-pt

All towers within a sector



- Layer (η_0 - η_1 , $\varphi_0 \varphi_1$)
- Views $(\eta \varphi)$
- Pivot to Low-pT
- Low-pt to High-pt

All towers within a sector Sector by sector



Cosmics

- The LVL1 Muon Barrel trigger has a resolution of 1/8 BC (3.125 ns).
- The trigger decision must be in the middle of the BC to avoid jitters.
- This will be true with collision but.....not with cosmic
 - They are flat in time over the whole BC window, with a non negligible probability of "border" effect on BC ID assignment.
 - Moreover the cosmics have a distribution in space that is far from being isotropical (shaft) nor pointing to IP.
- It's then clear that with cosmics only a coarse time (~BC) alignment is possible

Cosmics alignment



Cosmics alignment



We start from this - September 2008



Coverage 70%
Road Map for final commissioning

Timing

- The method shown can be easily used with collision
- coarse alignment (~ BC) need 100 muon per tower
- fine alignment (~ 5 ns) needs 1000 muons per tower

Validation of the pT threshold (trigger roads)

- Defined to maximize trigger efficiency using single muon monte carlo
- Depends on modeling of magnetic-field, geometry, materials
- Depends on statistics, detector areas with less acceptance have larger coincidence roads
- Trigger roads must be validated with data using reconstructed muon
- Use MU0 as the maximum acceptance threshold
- Use calibration stream as well: no rejection by L2, no prescales
- Trigger roads: sensible validation can be started with ~2000 muons/ Rol (L1_MU10). Note: need to consider separately for mu+/mu-