Tilted precession in triaxial nuclei calculated with the quasiparticle-rotor model



E.A. Lawrie, iThemba LABS, Cape Town, South Africa O. Shirinda, Sol Plaatje University, Kimberley 8301, South Africa C.M. Petrache, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France





### Tilted precession and wobbling?



#### Precession – only rotations

#### Wobbling – phonon excitation







### Rotation of even-even triaxial nucleus: tilted precession

Precession of I around the

axis with largest MoI

### spinning top

 $R_{||}$ 





 $I^2 = I_1^2 + I_2^2 + I_3^2$  $E = A_1 I_1^2 + A_2 I_2^2 + A_3 I_3^2$ 









 $R_{\perp}$ 

### Wobbling phonon excitations

Coupling of rotation and vibration phonon excitation

$$
H_V = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_1} I^2 + \hbar \omega \ (\mathbf{n}+1/2)
$$

where n is the number of the phonons,  $\omega$  is the vibrational frequency



 $J \rightarrow$  wobbling phonon







Looking for the relationship between the two descriptions based on rotational and vibrational excitations…

Bohr and Mottelson, Nuclear Structure

文 190

#### ROTATIONAL SPECTRA  $Ch.4$

4-5e States with Large  $I$ 

Simple and illuminating solutions for the asymmetric rotor can be obtained for the high angular momentum states in the yrast region. In the classical theory of the asymmetric rotor, the motion reduces to a simple rotation without precession of the axes, if the angular momentum is along the axis corresponding to the largest or smallest moment of inertia. Correspondingly, in the quantal theory, the states of smallest (or largest) energy for given I acquire a simple structure in the limit of large  $I$  (Golden and Bragg, 1949).

#### at **high spin** these two descriptions are **similar**!!!





### What is "high spins"?

Bohr and Mottelson, Nuclear Structure

If the condition is satisfied

$$
f(n, I) = (2n + 1) \frac{(A_2 + A_3 - 2A_1)}{2I\sqrt{(A_2 - A_1)(A_3 - A_1)}} \ll 1
$$
  
\n
$$
A_1 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\Im_1}
$$
  
\n
$$
f(n, I) < 0.15 \text{ for } (n = 1, I > 20)
$$
  
\n
$$
(n = 2, I > 34)
$$







What does it mean that if the approximation condition is valid the two descriptions are **similar** ?



Rotational bands have **similar** features to harmonic wobbling phonon excitation



 $H_V = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\Omega}$ 

 $2\widetilde{S}_1$ 

$$
H_R = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{I}_1} R_1^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{I}_2} R_2^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{I}_3} R_3^2
$$
\n
$$
\neq \qquad H_V = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{I}_1} I^2 + \hbar \omega \ (\mathbf{n} + 1/2)
$$

Rotational phonon excitation

### Precession is **similar to harmonic** phonon excitation Precession is **equivalent to anharmonic** phonon excitation



Advancing knowledge. Transforming lives. Inspiring a nation.

≠



What at low spins where the approximation condition is not valid?



Rotational bands **differ** substantially from harmonic wobbling phonon excitation



$$
H_R = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_1} R_1^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_2} R_2^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_3} R_3^2
$$
\n
$$
\neq \qquad H_V = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_1} I^2 + \hbar \omega \ (\mathbf{n} + 1/2)
$$

### ≠

$$
H_V = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_1} I^2 + \hbar \omega \ (\mathbf{n} + 1/2)
$$

Rotational phonon excitation

#### The rotational and the vibrational descriptions are two **different** (competing) interpretations





### Rotation of even-even triaxial nucleus: tilted precession Empirical evidence for MoI

$$
H_R = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_1} R_1^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_2} R_2^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{S}_3} R_3^2
$$

With hydrodynamical-type MoI for  $\gamma = 30^\circ$  there is a symmetry in H because  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  (short) =  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  (long) = ¼  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ 

$$
H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_1} R_1^2 + \frac{4\hbar^2}{2\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_1} (R_2^2 + R_3^2) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_1} \{ R_1^2 + 4[I(I+1) - R_1^2] \} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_1} \{ 4I(I+1) - 3R_1^2 \}
$$

- $R_1$  projection of I on the intermediate axis,
- $\blacksquare$   $R_1$  is good q.n.
- $R_1 = 1, 1 1, 1 2...$
- each  $R_1 \rightarrow a$  rotational band



from measured energies and electric quadrupole matrix elements follow **hydrodynamical MoI dependence of** 



J.M. Allmond, J.L.Wood, Physics Letters B 767 (2017) 226–231

a a nation.





### Rotation of even-even triaxial nucleus: tilted precession

$$
H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{I}_1} \{ 4I(I+1) - 3R_1{}^2 \}
$$

 $R_1 = I \rightarrow$  g.s. band  $R_1 = I - I$   $\rightarrow$   $\gamma$  band, odd spins  $R_1 = 1 - 2 \implies \gamma$  band, even spins





 $14^*$ 

 $12^*$ 

 $10+$ 

 $R_1 = 1, 1 - 1, 1 - 2, \ldots = 1 - m$ , where  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 

$$
E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{I}_1} \{ I(I+4) + 3m(2I-m) \}
$$

Quadratic dependence on *I* Quadratic dependence on *m*

### rotational nature









Advancing knowledg

### Wobbling due to phonon excitation

#### Precession in the  $\gamma$  band approximated at high spins with wobbling phonon  $H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2R}$  $2\widetilde{S}_1$  $I^2 + \hbar \omega (\mathbf{n} + 1/2)$

the quantization characteristics of phonon excitations:

- quantization in energy,  $E(I, n) = n E(I, 1)$ , i.e.  $E(I, n=2) = 2 E(I, n=1)$
- quantization in B(E2)<sub>out</sub>,  $B(E2; n \rightarrow n-1) = n B(E2; 1 \rightarrow 0)$ ,  $e^{g} B(E2; n=2 \rightarrow n=1) = 2 B(E2; n=1 \rightarrow n=0),$
- decays between the even-spin members of the  $\gamma$  band and the g.s. band are forbidden (simultaneous destruction of two phonons)

## Tilted precession due to rotation

Precession in the  $\gamma$  band at low spins (for  $\gamma = 30^{\circ}$ )

$$
E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\Im} \{ I(I+4) + 3m(2I-m) \}
$$

- The energy  $E(I, n)$  depends on m in quadrature, the quadratic term is small if  $m \ll 2I$
- no quantization required in  $B(E2)_{\text{out}}$ ,

 $eg B(E2; n=2 \rightarrow n=1) \neq 2 B(E2; n=1 \rightarrow n=0)$ 

decays between the even-spin members of the  $\gamma$  band the g.s. band are allowed





g.s. band 0-phonon 1-phonon wobbling odd spins of  $\gamma$  band 2-phonon wobbling even spins of  $\gamma$  band

> band in triaxial-rotor model is understood as precession

It looks like anharmonic wobbling at high spins





#### Excited bands: energy for TRM and for phonon excitations







### B(E2) transition probabilities: TRM and phonon excitations



#### **Considerable differences in the B(E2) probabilities for tilted precession and wobbling phonons**





### Rotation of triaxial nucleus: tilted precession



Since its introduction in the 1950s wobbling has been searched for in even-even nuclei for many decades. Many  $\gamma$  bands were discovered at low spins, some of them have been interpreted using the triaxial-rotor model, but they were never considered as wobbling…

### Precession in odd-mass nuclei



I

 $R_{s/l}$ 

 $R_i$ 



Longitudinal coupling:

 $\rightarrow$  phonon approximation is applicable at high spins; anharmonic phonons

Transverse coupling:

 $\rightarrow$  phonon approximation is not valid at any spin





Themba Laboratory for Accelerator



#### How to identify wobbling phonons?

- dominant E2 nature of linking transitions signifying collective nature of the excitation
- there are other cases with dominant E2 nature, eg tilted precession, decay out of  $K = 2 \gamma$  vibrational band (small  $\gamma$  vibrations around an average axially symmetric shape), and others….
- To identify wobbling phonon excitation we should check for phonon quantization, eg quantization in excitation energy, in  $B(E2)s$ , in  $B(M1)s...$







### The precession of triaxial nuclei with configurations of **frozen**  $h_{11/2}$  **and**  $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes v h_{11/2}$ **<sup>-1</sup> type**







The precession of triaxial nuclei with configurations based on frozen  $\mathbf{h}_{11/2}$  and  $\pi \mathbf{h}_{11/2} \otimes \mathbf{v} \mathbf{h}_{11/2}^{-1}$  type





Advancing knowledge. Transforming lives. Inspiring a nation.



Frozen  $\pi$   $\mathrm{h}_{11/2}$ , hydrodynamic MoI,  $\gamma = 30^{\rm o}$ 



Frozen  $\pi$   $\mathrm{h}_{11/2}$   $\otimes$  v  $\mathrm{h}_{11/2}$ <sup>-1</sup>

hydrodynamic MoI,  $\gamma = 30^{\rm o}$ 





Projection of the single-particle angular momentum on the direction of the total angular momentum  $< I . j > / |I|$ 



Frozen  $\pi$   $\mathrm{h}_{11/2}$ , hydrodynamic MoI,  $\gamma = 30^{\rm o}$ 

0 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 30000 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 Energy (keV) Spin I  $\rightarrow b1$ b2  $\rightarrow$  b3

I R s I  $R_s^{\prime}$ j R i R i  $\frac{1}{j}$ R i j I I I

s s s i

Frozen  $\pi$   $h_{11/2}$   $\otimes$  v  $h_{11/2}$ <sup>-1</sup> hydrodynamic MoI,  $\gamma = 30^{\rm o}$ 



j l I j s R i j l j<br>I s R i I j s R i I  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  i  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  i  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  $\mathsf J$  1 i i j $\sim$  in the set of  $i$ I I

 $s \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$ 



### Summary

#### Triaxial rotor model  $\rightarrow$  precession with rotational nature similar to the precession of a rotating top



When approximation condition is not valid there are considerable differences between the calculated rotational and wobbling bands, eg in excitation energy, and the B(E2) probabilities, and these two descriptions should be considered as different interpretations.

Wobbling excitation should have the characteristic features of all phonon excitations – quantization in Eexc,  $B(E2)s$ ,  $B(M1)s$ 

The similarities in the behaviour of the calculated  $\pi h_{11/2}$  and  $\pi h_{11/2} \otimes v h_{11/2}$ <sup>-1</sup> bands, including in excitation energies, rotational and total angular momenta are attributed to the same behaviour of the total angular momentum, which moves away from the short axis (shortlong plane) for the former (latter) configuration.



R<sup>⊥</sup>

 $R_{||}$ 



# Thank you for your attention

*This work is based on the research supported in part by the National Research Foundation of South Africa* 



