# Machine Learning on FPGAs for Real-Time Processing for the ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter

Lauri Laatu on behalf of the ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter Group

20.01.2023





Institut Physique de Aix\*Marseille Université



### **Content**

- 1. [Background](#page-2-0)
- 2. [Network Architectures](#page-6-0)
- 3. [Network Performance](#page-9-0)
- 4. [Reconstruction for Full Detector](#page-13-0)
- 5. [Conclusion](#page-16-0)

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>The Phase-II Upgrade of the LHC

#### Upgrade of the ATLAS experiment



- **•** The High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) is an important milestone for particle physics
	- **•** To increase the luminosity to study rare processes
	- To increase the collision rate to up to 200 simultaneous p-p collisions (pileup) per bunch crossing
- *•* The detectors will be upgraded to cope with the high collision rate at the HL-LHC
	- *•* In particular the ATLAS calorimeter readout electronics will be completely replaced

### ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter

Energy reconstruction in the LAr calorimeter

- The Liquid Argon Calorimeter (LAr) mainly measures the energy deposited by electromagnetically interacting particles
	- *•* Consisting of *≈* 182 000 calorimeter cells
- *•* Passing particles ionize the material
	- *•* Bipolar pulse shape with total length of up to 750 ns (30 BCs)
	- *•* Pulse is sampled and digitized at 40MHz
- Energy reconstruction is done in real-time and used in triggering decision
	- *•* Using the digitized samples from the pulse



### Energy Reconstruction

Energy reconstruction in the LAr calorimeter

*•* Current energy reconstruction uses the Optimal Filtering Algorithm with maximum finder (OFMax)

$$
E(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i \cdot s_i
$$

- *• a<sup>i</sup>* Predefined coefficients to fit the pulse
- *• s<sup>i</sup>* Sampled signal
- Distorted pulses result in significantly decreased performance of OFMax



### LAr Electronics Upgrade

### Energy Reconstruction in Run-4

- *•* LAr Signal Processor (LASP) board
	- *•* For Phase-II one FPGA processes 384 channels and latency requirement of 125 ns
- *•* Phase-II electronics with high-end FPGAs
	- Increased computing capacity
	- *•* Improved online energy reconstruction using machine learning-based methods



### <span id="page-6-0"></span>Table of Contents

# 1. [Background](#page-2-0)

- 2. [Network Architectures](#page-6-0)
- 3. [Network Performance](#page-9-0)
- 4. [Reconstruction for Full Detector](#page-13-0)
- 5. [Conclusion](#page-16-0)

### RNN Architecture

Time series processing with Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)

- *•* Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) are designed to process time series data
- *•* RNNs consist of neural network layers that process by combining new time input with past processed state
- *•* Vanilla RNN is the smallest RNN structure
- *•* Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network for efficiently handling past information





### RNNs for Energy Reconstruction

Using many-to-one and many-to-many networks for energy reconstruction

- *•* Use digitized samples as inputs for the recurrent network
- *•* Sliding window
	- *•* Full sequence split into overlapping subsequences with a sliding window
	- *•* One energy prediction per subsequence
	- *•* Four samples in the peak, one in the past
	- *•* Possible for Vanilla RNN and LSTM
- *•* Single cell
	- *•* Use the LSTM cell to process all digitized samples in one continuous chain instead of a sliding window
	- *•* Full history of events available
	- *•* Possible only for LSTM



<span id="page-9-0"></span>

1. [Background](#page-2-0)

2. [Network Architectures](#page-6-0)

3. [Network Performance](#page-9-0)

4. [Reconstruction for Full Detector](#page-13-0)

5. [Conclusion](#page-16-0)

### NN Performance

Resolution and network size

- *•* Overall better energy resolution than OFMax
	- *•* Smaller tails and mean closer to zero
- *•* Best performance with LSTM
	- *•* Too large to fit on the FPGA
- *•* CNNs and Vanilla RNN perform well with fewer  $\mu$  parameters  $-\delta$ .8  $-0.8$   $-0.4$   $-0.2$  0  $\delta$ .2





### NN Performance

#### Resolution as a function of gap to previous energy deposit in BCs

- *•* Clear performance decrease with OFMax at low gap
- *•* All NNs perform better with overlapping events
	- *•* More past samples allows for better correction of overlapping events





### Quantization Aware Training

Optimizing NNs for firmware

- *•* Math operations in firmware are done using fixed-point arithmetic
- *•* Quantizing NNs after training known as post-training quantization (PTQ) with decreases the accuracy
- *•* It is possible to mitigate this effect with quantization aware training (QAT)
	- *•* Training using math operations as if they were quantized
- *•* Simulation results from High Level Synthesis (HLS) implementation of RNNs show that the required bitwidth can be halved by using QAT



### <span id="page-13-0"></span>Table of Contents

# 1. [Background](#page-2-0)

- 2. [Network Architectures](#page-6-0)
- 3. [Network Performance](#page-9-0)
- 4. [Reconstruction for Full Detector](#page-13-0)
- 5. [Conclusion](#page-16-0)

### Reconstruction for Full Detector

### Pulse Clustering

- *•* Pulse shape differs in the detector
	- *•* Reduced performance with differing pulse shapes
	- One NN training will not perform well for the full detector, nor is 182k NNs feasible
	- *•* Need to reduce the number of NNs trained while maintaining accuracy
- *•* Clustering method used to group detector regions
	- *•* t-SNE from calibration pulses to acquire clustering
	- DBSCAN to automatically classify cluster
	- *•* Separation correlates with *η* according to pulse shape differences



### Pulse Clustering

#### Reconstruction in different regions

### Evaluate inside same cluster

- *•* Train with one cell, test with another
- Same performance as with training and testing with the same cell
- Large performance drop when training with one cluster and testing with another
- Train with mixed data from all clusters, test with single cluster
	- Mixing data across clusters slightly restores performance



### <span id="page-16-0"></span>Table of Contents

# 1. [Background](#page-2-0)

- 2. [Network Architectures](#page-6-0)
- 3. [Network Performance](#page-9-0)
- 4. [Reconstruction for Full Detector](#page-13-0)
- 5. [Conclusion](#page-16-0)

### **Conclusion**

Energy reconstruction using recurrent neural networks

- *•* Energy reconstruction with RNNs overperforms legacy algorithms in Phase-II conditions
	- *•* Better energy resolution overall
	- *•* Better recovery of energy resolution with overlapping signals
- *•* Implemented and validated in firmware and the implementations mostly fulfill the LAr real-time processing requirements
	- *•* Testing on DevKits started and is showing good results
- Next step is to quantify the effect on object (electrons, photons) reconstruction and physics performance
- Paper published available [Here](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41781-021-00066-y)

