







New b-tagging using exclusive b-hadron decays at FCC-ee

Beauty 2023 - Clermont-Ferrand

Lars Röhrig^{1,2}, Kevin Kröninger¹, Romain Madar², Stéphane Monteil²

07/04/2023

¹Department of Physics – TU Dortmund University ²Laboratoire de Physique de Clermont – Université Clermont-Auvergne







franco-allemande ch-Französische

• Knowledge about Z-boson from LEP measurements: m_Z , Γ_Z , σ_{had} , ...

	Measurement	Pull	Pull -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3
m _z [GeV]	91.1871 ± 0.0021	.08	
Γ_{z} [GeV]	2.4944 ± 0.0024	56	-
σ_{hadr}^{0} [nb]	41.544 ± 0.037	1.75	
R _e	20.768 ± 0.024	1.16	
A ^{0,e}	0.01701 ± 0.00095	.80	-
A _e	0.1483 ± 0.0051	.21	
A _τ	0.1425 ± 0.0044	-1.07	_
$sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{lept}$	0.2321 ± 0.0010	.60	-
m _w [GeV]	80.350 ± 0.056	62	-
R _b	0.21642 ± 0.00073	.81	-
R _c	0.1674 ± 0.0038	-1.27	
A ^{0,b}	0.0988 ± 0.0020	-2.20	
A ^{0,c}	0.0692 ± 0.0037	-1.23	_
A _b	0.911 ± 0.025	95	_
A _c	0.630 ± 0.026	-1.46	_
$sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{lept}$	0.23099 ± 0.00026	-1.95	
sin²θ _w	0.2255 ± 0.0021	1.13	
m _w [GeV]	80.448 ± 0.062	1.02	_
m _t [GeV]	174.3 ± 5.1	.22	•
$\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(m_Z)$	0.02804 ± 0.00065	05	
			-3-2-10123

- Knowledge about Z-boson from LEP measurements: m_Z , Γ_Z , σ_{had} , ...
- Most precise determination of (heavy-quark) electroweak observables
 - \hookrightarrow Raised tension with the SM predictions

	Measurement	Pull	Pull -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3
m _z [GeV]	91.1871 ± 0.0021	.08	1
Γ _z [GeV]	2.4944 ± 0.0024	56	-
σ ⁰ _{hadr} [nb]	41.544 ± 0.037	1.75	
R _e	20.768 ± 0.024	1.16	_
A ^{0,e}	0.01701 ± 0.00095	.80	-
Ae	0.1483 ± 0.0051	.21	•
A _r	0.1425 ± 0.0044	-1.07	_
$sin^2 \theta_{eff}^{lept}$	0.2321 ± 0.0010	.60	-
m _w [GeV]	80.350 ± 0.056	62	-
R _b	0.21642 ± 0.00073	.81	-
R _c	0.1674 ± 0.0038	-1.27	
A ^{0,b}	0.0988 ± 0.0020	-2.20	
A ^{0,c}	0.0692 ± 0.0037	-1.23	_
A _b	0.911 ± 0.025	95	-
A	0.630 ± 0.026	-1.46	
sin ² 0 ^{lept}	0.23099 ± 0.00026	-1.95	
sin ² 0 _w	0.2255 ± 0.0021	1.13	_
m _w [GeV]	80.448 ± 0.062	1.02	-
m,[GeV]	174.3 ± 5.1	.22	•
$\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(m_z)$	0.02804 ± 0.00065	05	
			-3-2-10123

- Knowledge about Z-boson from LEP measurements: m_Z , Γ_Z , σ_{had} , ...
- Most precise determination of (heavy-quark) electroweak observables
 - \hookrightarrow Raised tension with the SM predictions
- Largest tension on A^b_{FB}: Requires exquisite knowledge about b-identification

	Measurement	Pull	Pull -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3
m _z [GeV]	91.1871 ± 0.0021	.08	1
Γ _z [GeV]	2.4944 ± 0.0024	56	-
σ_{hadr}^0 [nb]	41.544 ± 0.037	1.75	
R _e	20.768 ± 0.024	1.16	_
A ^{0,e}	0.01701 ± 0.00095	.80	-
A _e	0.1483 ± 0.0051	.21	•
A _r	0.1425 ± 0.0044	-1.07	_
sin ² 0 ^{lept}	0.2321 ± 0.0010	.60	-
m _w [GeV]	80.350 ± 0.056	62	-
R _b	0.21642 ± 0.00073	.81	-
R _c	0.1674 ± 0.0038	-1.27	
A ^{0,b}	0.0988 ± 0.0020	-2.20	
A ^{0,c}	0.0692 ± 0.0037	-1.23	
A _b	0.911 ± 0.025	95	_
A _c	0.630 ± 0.026	-1.46	
sin ² 0 ^{lept}	0.23099 ± 0.00026	-1.95	
sin ² 0 _w	0.2255 ± 0.0021	1.13	_
m _w [GeV]	80.448 ± 0.062	1.02	
m _t [GeV]	174.3 ± 5.1	.22	•
$\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(m_Z)$	0.02804 ± 0.00065	05	
			-3-2-10123

- Knowledge about Z-boson from LEP measurements: m_Z , Γ_Z , σ_{had} , ...
- Most precise determination of (heavy-quark) electroweak observables
 - \hookrightarrow Raised tension with the SM predictions
- Largest tension on A^b_{FB}: Requires exquisite knowledge about b-identification
- Other observable that needs pure *b*-identification: $R_b = \frac{\Gamma_{Z \to b\bar{b}}}{\Gamma_{Z \to had}}$

 \hookrightarrow Motivates testing new *b*-tagging proposals

	Measurement	Pull	Pull
			-3-2-10123
m _z [GeV]	91.1871 ± 0.0021	.08	
Γ _z [GeV]	2.4944 ± 0.0024	56	-
σ ⁰ _{hadr} [nb]	41.544 ± 0.037	1.75	
Re	20.768 ± 0.024	1.16	
A ^{0,e}	0.01701 ± 0.00095	.80	-
A _e	0.1483 ± 0.0051	.21	•
A _r	0.1425 ± 0.0044	-1.07	_
sin ² θ ^{lept}	0.2321 ± 0.0010	.60	-
m _w [GeV]	80.350 ± 0.056	62	-
R _b	0.21642 ± 0.00073	.81	-
R _c	0.1674 ± 0.0038	-1.27	
A ^{0,b}	0.0988 ± 0.0020	-2.20	
A ^{0,c}	0.0692 ± 0.0037	-1.23	-
A _b	0.911 ± 0.025	95	_
A _c	0.630 ± 0.026	-1.46	
sin ² θ ^{lept}	0.23099 ± 0.00026	-1.95	
sin ² 0 _w	0.2255 ± 0.0021	1.13	_
m _w [GeV]	80.448 ± 0.062	1.02	-
m _t [GeV]	174.3 ± 5.1	.22	•
$\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(m_Z)$	0.02804 ± 0.00065	05	1
			0 0 1 0 1 0 0

Next *b*-tagger for the FCC-ee

- Where to improve the knowledge on the Z (+ possibly clear tensions?): \hookrightarrow @Tera-Z programme at FCC-ee with $6 \cdot 10^{12}$ Z-decays!
- FCC-ee as proposed successor of HL-LHC starting operation in 2045
- Statistical precision on A^b_{FB} and R_b unrivalled
 But: Systematic uncertainties have to keep track
- Main systematic uncertainty from udsc-physics

Proposal: b-hemisphere tagger

Identify (the charge of) the hemispheres by exclusively reconstruct *b*-hadrons. Targets:

- Potential purity: 100 % thanks to the boost, $\overline{\beta\gamma} \approx 6.5$
- Efficiency: 1%





Next *b*-tagger for the FCC-ee

- Where to improve the knowledge on the Z (+ possibly clear tensions?): \hookrightarrow @Tera-Z programme at FCC-ee with $6 \cdot 10^{12}$ Z-decays!
- FCC-ee as proposed successor of HL-LHC starting operation in 2045
- Statistical precision on A^b_{FB} and R_b unrivalled
 But: Systematic uncertainties have to keep track
- Main systematic uncertainty from udsc-physics

Proposal: b-hemisphere tagger

Identify (the charge of) the hemispheres by exclusively reconstruct *b*-hadrons. Targets:

- $\scriptstyle \bullet$ Potential purity: 100 % thanks to the boost, $\overline{\beta\gamma}\approx 6.5$
- Efficiency: 1%



 \hookrightarrow Removing background introduces an updated systematic uncertainty budget

 R_b : hemisphere efficiency correlation, A_{FB}^b : QCD corrections

Results

Purity & Efficiency

- 200+ *b*-hadron decay modes sum up to 1.1% tagging efficiency ✓
- Simulate FCC-ee Z-pole operation and reconstruct B⁺-meson (chose 6 out of 200+) ✓



Results

Purity & Efficiency

- 200+ *b*-hadron decay modes sum up to 1.1% tagging efficiency ✓
- Simulate FCC-ee Z-pole operation and reconstruct B⁺-meson (chose 6 out of 200+) ✓



 $[\]blacksquare$ Purity for the six modes $> 99.6\,\%$

Results

Purity & Efficiency

- 200+ *b*-hadron decay modes sum up to 1.1% tagging efficiency ✓
- Simulate FCC-ee Z-pole operation and reconstruct B⁺-meson (chose 6 out of 200+) ✓



 $[\]scriptstyle \bullet$ Purity for the six modes $> 99.6\,\%$

Hemisphere correlation (Preliminary)

• Correlation *C*^b between hemisphere tagging efficiencies

 $C_b = \frac{\text{tag both hemispheres}}{\text{tag individual hemispheres}}$



■ B^{\pm} reconstruction on Full Simulation: $\hookrightarrow C_b = 0.965 \pm 0.009 (\text{stat.})$

Conclusions and Outlook

- Exclusive *b*-hadron reconstruction as tagger for hemisphere charge
 - **1** Application on R_b and A_{FB}^b : Z-pole run at FCC-ee unlocks statistical power
 - 2 Elimination of major sources of systematic uncertainty
- Remaining systematic uncertainties under investigation:
- See also poster for additional information



Appendix: Primary vertex resolution

Primary vertex resolution extracted from the CLD Full Simulation sample



Appendix: D^0 vertex and momentum resolution

Vertex and momentum resolution for the Full Simulation sample with the CLD detector



Appendix: B^+ vertex and momentum resolution



Vertex and momentum resolution for the Full Simulation sample with the CLD detector

Appendix: Further increasing the efficiency

- Efficiency of the tagger can be further improved by accepting also partially reconstructed candidates
- No degradation of the purity



Fast Simulation: Decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow [K^+\pi^-]_{\bar{D}^0}\pi^+$



Fast Simulation: Decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow [K^+\pi^-\pi^0]_{\bar{D}^0}\pi^+$



Fast Simulation: Decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow [K^+\pi^- 2\pi^0]_{\bar{D}^0}\pi^+$



Fast Simulation: Decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow [K^+ 2\pi^- \pi^+]_{\bar{D}^0} \pi^+$



Fast Simulation: Decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow [\ell^+ \ell^-]_{J/\psi} K^+$



Fast Simulation: Decay mode $B^+ \rightarrow [K^+ K^- \pi^+]_{D^+_s} [K^+ \pi^-]_{\bar{D}^0}$

