# Recent results in charm physics at LHCb

Dominik Mitzel TU Dortmund on behalf of the LHCb collaboration



BEAUTY 20



# Charm Physics at LHCb

#### **Mixing and CPV**

- Meson oscillations
- Time-integrated CPV
- Time-dependent CPV
- Locally-enhanced CPV

# Production and decay properties

- Doubly charmed baryons
- Charm production

. . .

• Excited charm baryons

**Rare decays** 

#### Flavour-changing neutral current processes

 Lepton-flavour, leptonnumber violation







\*only LHCb analyses discussed in this talk, for charm results at Belle II and BES III see talks by Takeo Higuchi and Wei Xu

# quarks, complementary sensitivity to BSM couplings wrt to K and $B_{(s)}$ decays

•  $m_c \sim 1.3 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  makes theoretical predictions hard, but allows for insights into QCD from a unique perspective

Only bound HF system made of up-type

#### Discovery tool:

Unique:

- All processes involving quantum-loops are highly suppressed in the SM
  - Charm meson oscillation probability very low
  - CP violating effects tiny (  $\leq O(10^{-3})$ )
  - Rare decays extremely rare (  $\leq O(10^{-9})$ )







Room for new physics to show up!

#### \*hope to see many of you in two weeks at CHARM in Siegen

#### Charming beauty detector LHCD



Large production crosssections of charm hadrons at LHCb

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow c\bar{c}X) \approx 2.4 \text{ mb}$  $\sim 20 \times \sigma(pp \to b\bar{b}X)$  $@\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

 Collected 9 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at 7, 8 and 13 TeV during Run1/2 enormous yields available

> Total of 95(!) papers about charm physics at LHCb!

# Mixing & CPV



More: Mod.Phys.Lett.A 37 (2022) 24, 2230012

# Charm mixing & CPV

- Observation of the Mass Difference between Neutral Charm-Meson Eigenstates • Measurements of mixing (x, y) and CPV  $(A_{CP}, |q/p|, \phi)$  parameters Received 8 June 2021; accepted 8 July 2021; published 7 September 2021) rement of mixing and CP violation in neutral charm mesons is performed using data in proton-proton collisions collected by the LHCb experiment from 2016 to 2018. A measurement of mixing and *CP* violation in neutral charm mesons is performed using data reconstructed in proton-proton collisions collected by the LHCb experiment from 2016 to  $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{K}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  decays corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb<sup>-1</sup>. A total of 30.6 million  $\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{K}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  decays ructed in proton-proton collisions collected by the LHCb experiment from 2016 to 2018, onding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb<sup>-7</sup>. A total of 30.6 million  $D^0 \rightarrow K_0^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays lyzed using a method ontimized for the measurement of the mass difference between particular energy. corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.4 fb<sup>-1</sup>. A total of 30.6 million  $D^0 \rightarrow K_5^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays are analyzed using a method optimized for the measurement of the mass difference between method and decay meson eigenstates. Allowing for CP violation in mixing and in the interference between mixing and decay  $D^0$ are analyzed using a method optimized for the measurement of the mass difference between neutral charm-meson eigenstates. Allowing for *CP* violation in mixing and in the interference between mixing and decay the mass and decay width differences are measured to be  $x \to -[3, C7 + 0.46(\text{erat}) \pm 0.20(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ son eigenstates. Allowing for *CP* violation in mixing and in the interference between mixing and decay, mass and decay-width differences are measured to be  $x_{CP} = [3.97 \pm 0.46(\text{stat}) \pm 0.29(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ .  $d_{YeP} = [4.59 \pm 1.20(\text{stat}) \pm 0.85(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ , respectively. The *CP*-violating parameters are measured to be  $x_{CP} = [4.59 \pm 1.20(\text{stat}) \pm 0.85(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ .  $x = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{\Gamma}$ and decay-width differences are measured to be  $x_{CF} = [3.97 \pm 0.46(\text{stat}) \pm 0.29(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$   $= [4.59 \pm 1.20(\text{stat}) \pm 0.85(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ , respectively. The CP-violating parameters are measured to be  $x_{CF} = [0.20 \pm 0.36(\text{stat}) \pm 0.13(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$   $\Delta x = [-0.27 \pm 0.18(\text{stat}) \pm 0.01((\text{syst})) \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\Delta v = [0.20 \pm 0.36(\text{stat}) \pm 0.13(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$  $|D_{1,2}\rangle = p |D^0\rangle \pm q |\overline{D^0}\rangle$  $59 \pm 1.20(\text{stat}) \pm 0.85(\text{syst}) | \times 10^{-3}$ , respectively. The *CP*-violating parameters are measured by  $1020 \pm 0.36(\text{stat}) \pm 0.13(\text{syst}) | \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $\Delta y = [0.20 \pm 0.36(\text{stat}) \pm 0.13(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $\Delta y = 0.20 \pm 0.36(\text{stat}) \pm 0.01(\text{syst}) | \times 10^{-3}$ , and  $\Delta y = 0.000 \text{ meson system}$ . With a significance of the provide the provided of the prov sured as  $\Delta x = [-0.27 \pm 0.18(\text{stat}) \pm 0.01(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\Delta y = [0.20 \pm 0.36(\text{stat}) \pm 0.13(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-3}$ . This is the first observation of a nonzero mass difference in the  $D^0$  meson system, with a significance exceeding seven standard deviations. The data are consistent with CP symmetry and improve existing This is the first observation of a nonzero mass difference in the  $D^0$  meson system, with a significance exceeding seven standard deviations. The data are consistent with *CP* symmetry and improve existing constraints on the associated narameters. \* only possible due to CLEO and BESIII inputs  $y = \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{2\Gamma}$ exceeding seven standard deviations. 1 in constraints on the associated parameters.  $D^0$ Observation of CP Violation in Charm Decays arXiv: 2208.06512 PRL 127 (2021) 111801 (Received 21 March 2019; revised manuscript received 2 May 2019; published 29 May 2019) A search for charge parity (CP) violation in  $D^0 \to K^-K^+$  and  $D^0 \to \pi^-\pi^+$  decays is reported, using PP allician data corresponding to an integrated huminocity of  $S \cap \Theta_{n-1}$  collected at a center of mass angles • CPV in the decay  $\left|\frac{A_f}{\bar{A}_{\bar{x}}}\right| \neq 1$ PRL 122 (2019) 211803 A search for charge-party (CP) violation in  $D^{\nu} \to K^- K^+$  and  $D^{\nu} \to \pi^- \pi^+$  decays is reported, using P collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV with the LHCh detector. The flavor of the charm meson is inferred from the charge of the nion collision data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of  $5.5 \text{ ID}^{-1}$  collected at a center-oi-mass energy of 13 TeV with the LHCb detector. The flavor of the charm meson is inferred from the charge of the sinter of the charge of the muon in  $\tilde{R} \rightarrow D^0 u^{-2} X$  decays. The difference of 15 lev with the LHCb detector. The flavor of the charm meson is interred from the charge of the flavor of the charge of the muon in  $\hat{B} \to D^0 \mu^- \tilde{\nu}_{\mu} X$  decays. The difference has the fp asymmetries in  $D^0 \to k^- k^+$  and  $D^0 \to \pi^- \pi^+$  decays is measured to be  $\Delta A_{max} = 0$ . In  $D^*(2010)^- \rightarrow D^*\pi^+$  decays or from the energy of the muon in  $B \rightarrow D^*\mu^-\nu_\mu A$  decays. The dimensional between the CP asymmetries in  $D^0 \rightarrow K^-K^+$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^+$  decays is measured to be  $\Delta A_{CP} = [-18.2 \pm 3.2/(e_{tot}) \pm 0.0/(e_{vot})] \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\pi^-$  decays is measured to be  $\Delta A_{CP} = [-0 \pm g/(e_{tot}) \pm g/(e_{vot})] \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu_\mu$ between the CP asymmetries in  $D^{\vee} \rightarrow K^-K^-$  and  $D^{\vee} \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^-$  decays is measured to be  $\Delta A_{CP} = [-18.2 \pm 3.2(\text{stat}) \pm 0.9(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\pi$ -tagged and  $\Delta A_{CP} = [-9 \pm 8(\text{stat}) \pm 5(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged and  $\Delta A_{CP} = [-9 \pm 8(\text{stat}) \pm 5(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged and  $\Delta A_{CP} = [-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4}$  for  $\mu$ -tagged tagged t  $\frac{[-18.2 \pm 5.2(\text{stat}) \pm 0.9(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4} \text{ for } \pi\text{-tagged and } \Delta A_{CP} = [-9 \pm 8(\text{stat}) \pm 5(\text{syst})] \times 10^{-4} \text{ for } \mu\text{-tagged } D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with previous LHCb results leads to } \Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^{-4} \text{ for } \mu\text{-tagged } D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of the tagged } D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of the tagged } D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of the tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of the tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of the tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combining these with etailerical and evertamatic contributions. The measured value difference of tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combined to tage difference of tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combined to tagged D^0 mesons. Combined tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combined to tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combined to tagged D^0 mesons. Combined tagged D^0 mesons. Combined tagged D^0 \text{ mesons. Combined tagged D^0 mesons. Combin$ Lagged D'' mesons. Combining these with previous LHCD results leads to  $\Delta A_{CP} = (-15.4 \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-5}$ , where the uncertainty includes both statistical and systematic contributions. The measured value differs from zone by more than S atondard deviations. This is the first observation of CP violation in the decay of where the uncertainty includes both statistical and systematic contributions. The measured value from zero by more than 5 standard deviations. This is the first observation of CP violation in the statistical bedges. • CPV in mixing  $\left|\frac{q}{r}\right| \neq 1$
- CPV in interference between mixing and decay

$$\phi_f = arg\left(\frac{q}{p}\frac{\bar{A}_f}{A_f}\right) \approx arg\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) \neq 0$$

We are in the post-mixing & post-CPV-observation phase, but...

Interpretation of observed size of CPV highly debated!

# Time-Integrated CP Asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$

ARXIV:2209.03179

• Measurement of decay-time integrated CP asymmetries

$$\begin{split} A_{CP}(f) &= \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \to f)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{D}^0 \to f)} \\ \text{How experimentally?} & \text{Detection} \\ \text{asymmetry of} \\ \text{tagging track} \\ A(D^0 \to f) &= A_{CP}(f) + A_{det} + A_{prod} \\ & & & \\ A(D^0 \to f) - N(\overline{D^0} \to f) \\ & & \\ = \frac{N(D^0 \to f) - N(\overline{D^0} \to f)}{N(D^0 \to f) + N(\overline{D^0} \to f)} & \text{The asymmetry} \\ \text{we want to} \\ \text{measure} \end{split}$$

• This is why we started with  $\Delta A_{CP} = A(D \to K^+K^-) - A(D \to \pi^+\pi^-)_{\text{PRL 122 (2019) 211803}}$ 

• Now: Two methods to cancel detector asymmetries using Cabibbo-favoured (no CPV)  $D^0, D^+, D_s^+$  decays to get individual CP asymmetries in  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$  decays

# Time-Integrated CP Asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$

#### ARXIV:2209.03179



• Run 2 (5.6fb<sup>-1</sup>) data ~70M  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$  candidates

Combination of correction methods results (Run2 only):  $A_{CP}(K^+K^-) = [6.8 \pm 5.4(\text{stat}) \pm 1.6(\text{sys}))] \times 10^{-4}$ 

• Combination with previous measurements

PRL 122 (2019) 211803 Run1+Run2 + combination with  $\Delta A_{CP}$   $a^d_{CP}(K^+K^-) = [7.7 \pm 5.7] \times 10^{-4}$   $a^d_{CP}(\pi^+\pi^-) = [23.2 \pm 6.1] \times 10^{-4}$  $\rho(a^d_{KK}, a^d_{\pi\pi}) = 88\%$ 

First evidence (3.8 $\sigma$ ) of CPV in  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ ! We need to understand better!

### Model-independent searches in multibody decays



 CP asymmetries generated via interference of at least two amplitudes A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub>

$$A_{CP} \sim \frac{|A_1|}{|A_2|} \sin(\Delta \delta) \sin(\Delta \phi)$$
  
Strong phase difference Weak phase difference

- In multibody decays strong phase  $\delta$  dynamically varies across the phase space
- Locally enhanced CPV effects possible (known from the b system arXiv:2206.07622!)
- New (model-independent) analyses at LHCb:
  - Search for CPV in  $D^+_{(s)} \to K^+ K^- K^+_{\text{ARXIV:2303.04062}}$
  - Search for CPV in  $D^0 
    ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0_{_{
    m ARXIV:2306.12746}}$

# Search for CPV in $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+$ <sup>9</sup>

ARXIV:2303.04062

- Run2 (5.6fb<sup>-1</sup>) data ~0.97M (1.27M)  $D_s^+(D^+)$  candidates
  - validation with  $D_s^+ \to K^- K^+ \pi^+$  and  $D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$

$$S_{CP}^{i} = \frac{N_{+}^{i} - \alpha N_{-}^{i}}{\sqrt{\alpha(\delta_{N_{+}^{i}}^{2} + \delta_{N_{-}^{i}}^{2})}} \qquad \alpha = \frac{\sum N_{+}^{i}}{\sum N_{-}^{i}}$$
  
Global asymmetry

• If no CPV the  $S^i_{CP}$  are gaussian distributed with zero mean and width of unity

 $\chi^2 = \sum (S_{CP}^i)^2$ 

exclude CP conservation if  $p < 3 \times 10^{-7}$  (n<sub>dof</sub>=n<sub>bins(21)</sub>-1)

• Measured p-value 13% (31.6%) for  $D_s^+(D^+)$  decays

No hint for CPV!



PRD 84 054015 (2011)



 Energy Test = hypothesis test comparing weighted distance between pairs in phase space



- $a_{ij} = \left( (-s_{12})_{ij} + (-s_{13})_{ij} + (-s_{23})_{ij} \right)$
- Null hypothesis from permutations of T-value with randomised tags
- Measured p-value 62%

Also here no hint for CPV! Still a lot to be understood in the future!



ARXIV:2306.12746

T-value



# Production & decay properties

# Observation new $\Omega_c^0$ states

#### ARXIV:2302.04733

- Studies of production and (decay) properties of charmed hadrons (ground states + excited states) with increasing importance
- Crucial for understanding of QCD, effective models and the nature of bound states in a peculiar energy regime
- Full Run1/2 (9fb<sup>-1</sup>) update studying the  $\Xi_c^+ K^$ invariant-mass spectrum
  - Seven excited states observed
  - Two new states

| Resonance                                       | $m~({ m MeV})$  | $\Gamma ~({ m MeV})$                               |
|---|---|--|
| $arOmega_{c}(3185)^{0} \ arOmega_{c}(3327)^{0}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3185.1 \pm 1.7 \ \substack{+7.4 \\ -0.9 \ \pm 0.2 \ } \\ 3327.1 \pm 1.2 \ \substack{+0.1 \\ -1.3 \ \pm 0.2 \ } \end{array}$ | $50 \pm 7 \ ^{+10}_{-20} \ 20 \pm 5 \ ^{+13}_{-1}$ |

More: Talk by Liming Zhang

#### QN yet to be determined!





Rare Charm decays



More: Mod.Phys.Lett.A 36 (2021) 04, 2130002

# Rare charm decays

- Mainly investigation of processes involving FCNC  $c \rightarrow u\ell\ell$  transitions More: Talk by Hector Gisbert
- Covering a very large variety of analyses
  - BF measurements
  - Angular+CP asymmetries PRL 128 (2022) 221801
  - Searches for forbidden/extremely rare modes



| LFV, LN | V, BN | v                |                   |                   | FCI               | NC                |                   |                  |                  | VMD              | :                | Radia            | tive             |
|---------|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0       | 1     | 0 <sup>-15</sup> | 10 <sup>-14</sup> | 10 <sup>-13</sup> | 10 <sup>-12</sup> | 10 <sup>-11</sup> | 10 <sup>-10</sup> | 10 <sup>-9</sup> | 10 <sup>-8</sup> | 10 <sup>-7</sup> | 10 <sup>-6</sup> | 10 <sup>-5</sup> | 10 <sup>-4</sup> |

Search for  $D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

#### ARXIV: 2212.11203

15



# Future prospects

- We are working on fully exploiting the total Run1/2 data set (9/fb)
  - More to come for mixing and CPV, production and decay properties & rare decays!
- Commissioning and Run3 data taking with the upgraded detector ongoing!



- Upgrade II is in preparation, eventually plan to collect up to 300fb<sup>-1</sup> by ~2038
- Projections for specific modes can be found in CERN-PUB-LHCC-2018-027

# Summary

- Post-mixing & post-CPV-observation phase has started!
  - First evidence for CPV in a single decay channel
  - CPV results highly debated! See talks by Maria Laura Piscopo, Tim Höhne
  - No hints for CPV in multibody  $D^0, D^+, D_s^+$  decays so far
- Studies of charmed hadrons integral part of our physics program
  - At LHCb > 40 new states with charm quark content, 2 shown today
  - Results receive a lot of attention
  - Much more: Amplitude analyses, searches for doubly charmed baryons,...
- Promising and rather unexplored field!
  - Limits on BF of rare decays significantly pushed down
  - More results are soon to come with full Run2 data set, including CPV in rare/radiative decays and angular distributions







# Thank you!



# Supplemental



# Time-Integrated CP Asymmetries in $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$

methods to cancel detector asymmetries

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}_{D+} &: \quad A_{CP}(D^0 \to K^- K^+) = +A(D^{*+} \to (D^0 \to K^- K^+) \, \pi_{soft}^+) - A(D^{*+} \to (D^0 \to K^- \pi^+) \, \pi_{soft}^+) \\ &\quad +A(D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \, \pi^+) - \left[A(D^+ \to \overline{K}^0 \, \pi^+) - A(\overline{K}^0)\right] \\ \mathbf{C}_{Ds+} &: \quad A_{CP}(D^0 \to K^- K^+) = +A(D^{*+} \to (D^0 \to K^- K^+) \, \pi_{soft}^+) - A(D^{*+} \to (D^0 \to K^- \pi^+) \, \pi_{soft}^+) \\ &\quad +A(D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+) - \left[A(D_s^+ \to \overline{K}^0 \, K^+) - A(\overline{K}^0)\right] \end{split}$$

• Computation Acp(IIII)  

$$A_{CP}(K^{-}K^{+}) = a_{KK}^{d} + \frac{\langle t \rangle_{KK}}{\tau_{D^{0}}} \Delta Y$$

$$\Delta A_{CP} = a_{KK}^{d} - a_{\pi\pi}^{d} + \frac{\langle t \rangle_{KK} - \langle t \rangle_{\pi\pi}}{\tau_{D^{0}}} \Delta Y$$

$$= \int_{-5}^{-5} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{-5}^{5} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{-5}^{-6} \int_{0}^{0} \int_{-5}^{5} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{-5}^{10} \int_{-5}^{10} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{-5}^{10} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{-5}^{10} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{-5}^{10}$$

ARXIV:2209.03179

# Search for CPV in $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^+ K^- K^+$ <sup>9</sup>



|                               | $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ K^+$ | $D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ K^+$ |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $\sum_{i} N^{i}(D^{+}_{(s)})$ | $(487.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^3$   | $(638.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^3$ |
| $\sum_{i} N^{i}(D_{(s)}^{-})$ | $(484.4 \pm 1.1) 	imes 10^3$    | $(631.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^3$ |
| $\alpha$                      | $1.007\pm0.003$                 | $1.012\pm0.002$               |

ARXIV:2303.04062

Search for CPV in  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 

ARXIV:2306.12746

9



# Search for $D^{0*}(2007) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

ARXIV: 2304.019821



- First limit  $B(D^{0*}(2007) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$  using 9/fb Run1/2
  - No chiral suppression, but same contributing operators in EFT
  - Complementary approach to constrain NP couplings

• First search for rare charm exploding production via b decay  $B^- \rightarrow D^{*0} (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) \pi^-$ 

 $B(D^{0*}(2007) \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 2.6 \times 10^{-8} @ 90 \% \text{ CL}$ (LHCb 9/fb Run1+2)

Most stringent limit of leptonic decay of  $D^{*0}$  meson!

### Prospects selected measurements (I)

#### CERN-PUB-LHCC-2018-027

Table 6.1: Extrapolated signal yields, and statistical precision on the mixing and CP-violation parameters, from the analysis of promptly produced WS  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0(\rightarrow K^+\pi^-)\pi^+$  decays. Signal yields of promptly produced RS  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0(\rightarrow K^-\pi^+)\pi^+$  decays are typically 250 times larger.

| Sample $(\mathcal{L})$          | Yield $(\times 10^6)$ | $\sigma(x_{K\pi}^{\prime 2})$ | $\sigma(y'_{K\pi})$ | $\sigma(A_D)$ | $\sigma( q/p )$ | $\sigma(\phi)$ |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Run 1–2 $(9  \text{fb}^{-1})$   | 1.8                   | $1.5	imes10^{-5}$             | $2.9	imes10^{-4}$   | 0.51%         | 0.12            | 10°            |
| Run 1–3 $(23{\rm fb}^{-1})$     | 10                    | $6.4 	imes 10^{-6}$           | $1.2 	imes 10^{-4}$ | 0.22%         | 0.05            | $4^{\circ}$    |
| Run 1–4 $(50  \text{fb}^{-1})$  | 25                    | $3.9	imes10^{-6}$             | $7.6	imes10^{-5}$   | 0.14%         | 0.03            | 3°             |
| Run 1–5 $(300  \text{fb}^{-1})$ | 170                   | $1.5 	imes 10^{-6}$           | $2.9 	imes 10^{-5}$ | 0.05%         | 0.01            | 1°             |

Table 6.3: Extrapolated signal yields, and statistical precision on the mixing and CP violation parameters, for the analysis of the decay  $D^0 \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ . Candidates tagged by semileptonic Bdecay (SL) and those from prompt charm meson production are shown separately.

| Sample (lumi $\mathcal{L}$ )                 | Tag           | Yield | $\sigma(x)$ | $\sigma(y)$ | $\sigma( q/p )$ | $\sigma(\phi)$ |
|--|---------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
| $P_{\rm up} = 1 - 2  (0  {\rm fb}^{-1})$     | $\mathbf{SL}$ | 10M   | 0.07%       | 0.05%       | 0.07            | 4.6°           |
| $\operatorname{Run} 1^{-2} (9 10^{-3})$      | Prompt        | 36M   | 0.05%       | 0.05%       | 0.04            | 1.8°           |
| $D_{1} = 1 + 2 (92 \text{ fb} - 1)$          | $\mathbf{SL}$ | 33M   | 0.036%      | 0.030%      | 0.036           | $2.5^{\circ}$  |
| 1-3(23  ID)                                  | Prompt        | 200M  | 0.020%      | 0.020%      | 0.017           | $0.77^{\circ}$ |
| Due 1 $4$ (50 fb-1)                          | $\mathbf{SL}$ | 78M   | 0.024%      | 0.019%      | 0.024           | $1.7^{\circ}$  |
| $\operatorname{Kull} 1^{-4} (50 \text{ ID})$ | Prompt        | 520M  | 0.012%      | 0.013%      | 0.011           | $0.48^{\circ}$ |
| Due 1 $F(200 \text{ fb}-1)$                  | $\mathbf{SL}$ | 490M  | 0.009%      | 0.008%      | 0.009           | 0.69°          |
| $f_{\rm min} = 1-3 (300 \text{ ID}^{-1})$    | Prompt        | 3500M | 0.005%      | 0.005%      | 0.004           | 0.18°          |

# Prospects selected measurements (II)

#### CERN-PUB-LHCC-2018-027

Table 6.5: Extrapolated signal yields and statistical precision on direct *CP* violation observables for the promptly produced samples.

| Sample $(\mathcal{L})$                      | Tag    | Yield                     | Yield                         | $\sigma(\Delta A_{CP})$ | $\sigma(A_{CP}(hh))$ |
|---|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|   |        | $D^0 \rightarrow K^- K^+$ | $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+$ | [%]                     | [%]                  |
| Run 1–2 (9 fb <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )  | Prompt | 52M                       | 17M                           | 0.03                    | 0.07                 |
| Run 1–3 (23 fb <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | Prompt | 280M                      | 94M                           | 0.013                   | 0.03                 |
| Run 1–4 (50 $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )              | Prompt | 1G                        | 305M                          | 0.007                   | 0.015                |
| Run 1–5 (300 $\text{fb}^{-1}$ )             | Prompt | 4.9G                      | 1.6G                          | 0.003                   | 0.007                |

Table 6.4: Extrapolated signal yields, and statistical precision on indirect CP violation from  $A_{\Gamma}$ .

| Sample $(\mathcal{L})$                      | Tag    | Yield $K^+K^-$ | $\sigma(A_{\Gamma})$ | Yield $\pi^+\pi^-$ | $\sigma(A_{\Gamma})$ |
|---|--------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Run 1–2 (9 fb <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )  | Prompt | 60M            | 0.013%               | 18M                | 0.024%               |
| Run 1–3 (23 fb <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | Prompt | 310M           | 0.0056%              | 92M                | 0.0104~%             |
| Run 1–4 (50 fb <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | Prompt | 793M           | 0.0035%              | 236M               | 0.0065~%             |
| Run 1–5 (300 fb <sup>-1</sup> )             | Prompt | 5.3G           | 0.0014%              | 1.6G               | 0.0025~%             |

## $D^0 \rightarrow h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays at LHCb

PRL 128 (2022) 221801

• rarest charm meson decays observed, dominated by resonant contributions

 $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 9.6 \times 10^{-7}$  $\mathcal{B}(D^0 \to K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 1.5 \times 10^{-7}$ 

PRL 119 (2017) 181805

- measurement selected angular and CP asymmetries with 5/fb consistent with SM PRL 121 (2018) 091801
- TODAY: First full angular analysis with 9/fb from 2011-2018 LHCb-PAPER-2021-035
  - select D<sup>0</sup> from flavour sepecific D\*+→ D<sup>0</sup>π<sup>+</sup> decays

 $N(D^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) \sim 3500$  $N(D^{0} \to K^{+}K^{-}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) \sim 300$ 



## Differential decay rate



• measure  $p^2$ ,  $\cos \theta_h$  integrated\* observables  $\langle I_i \rangle$  separate for  $D^0$  and  $\overline{D^0}$ 

$$\langle I_{2,3,6,9} \rangle (q^2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{4m_h}^{p_{max}^2} dp^2 \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta_h \ I_{2,3,6,9}$$
  
$$\langle I_{4,5,7,8} \rangle (q^2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_{4m_h}^{p_{max}^2} dp^2 \left[ \int_{-1}^{0} d\cos\theta_h - \int_{0}^{1} d\cos\theta_h \right] \ I_{4,5,7,8}$$

\*optimal for p-Wave in hadron system

# Measured observables and binning

PRL 128 (2022) 221801

- - updated measurement of  $A_{CP}$

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-) - \Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(D^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-) + \Gamma(\overline{D}{}^0 \to h^+ h^- \mu^+ \mu^-)}$$

17 obs./channel [12 SM null-tests] in m(μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>) regions
 ["resonance enhanced NP effects"]

|                                       | $m(\mu^+\mu^-)$ [MeV/ $c^2$ ] |                   |         |         |          |           |    |    |    |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----|----|----|
| Decay mode                            | low mass                      | $\eta  ho/\omega$ |         |         |          | high mass |    |    |    |
| $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ | < 525                         | NS                | > 565   |         | > 565    |           | N  | JA | NA |
| $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\mu^-$        | < 525                         | NS                | 565-780 | 780-950 | 950-1020 | 1020-1100 | NS |    |    |

$$[NA = not available NS = no signal]$$



# Experimental strategy



correct for acceptance effects across the 5D phase space

PRL 121 (2018) 091801

[use  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 (\rightarrow K^+ K^-) \pi^+$  decays]

correct  $A_{CP}$  for nuisance asymmetries

$$A_{CP}^{raw}(f) = \frac{N(D^{*+} \to D^0(\to f)\pi^+) - N(D^{*-} \to \overline{D^0}(\to f)\pi^-)}{N(D^{*+} \to D^0(\to f)\pi^+) + N(D^{*-} \to \overline{D^0}(\to f)\pi^-)} \approx A_{CP} + A_d(\pi^{\pm}) + A_p(D^{*\pm})$$

evaluate systematic uncertainties

typically 
$$\frac{\sigma_{sys}}{\sigma_{stat}} \sim (10 - 50)\%$$
 limited by statistics!

## Flavour-averaged observables $\langle S_i \rangle$



 all observables in backup, tabulated version & correlation matrices in LHCb-PAPER-2021-035

# CP asymmetries $\langle A_i \rangle$

