FFLO correlations in polarized ultracold Fermi gases



Pierbiagio Pieri

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Bologna & INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Italy





mpipks

Michele Pini^{1,2}, G. Calvanese Strinati^{1,3}

¹CNR-INO, Sede di Sesto Fiorentino, (FI), Italy ²Max Planck Institute for the physics of complex systems, Dresden, Germany

³ School of Science and Technology, University of Camerino, Italy

1336

Many-body correlations with Peter and his collaborators



- Polarized Fermi systems ←---→ asymmetric nuclear matter have been object of several beautiful works by Peter and his collaborators and friends (Roepke, Baldo, Lombardo, Grasso, Urban)
- Many inspiring discussions with Peter (and Michael) over the years

G.C. Strinati, P. Pieri, G. Roepke, P. Schuck, M. Urban, The BCS-BEC crossover: From ultra-cold Fermi gases to nuclear systems, Phys. Reports **738**, 1 (2018)



Polarized Fermi gases: search for FFLO phase

- Phase first proposed theoretically by Fulde & Ferrell and independently by Larkin & Ovchinnikov (1964)
- Search for FFLO phase has been one of the main motivations driving experiments with polarized Fermi gases
- Pairing between k and -k+Q to compensate mismatch of Fermi surfaces: pairs acquire a finite center of mass momentum Q



Search for FFLO fluctuating pairs in the normal phase

However:

- In fully isotropic systems, at finite T, thermal fluctuations destroy long-range FFLO ordering [Shimahara (1998), Ohashi (2002)] possibly turning it into algebraic order [Radzihovski (2011), Jakubczyk (2017,2021)]
- Phase diagram of polarized Fermi gas (mean field at T=0 & experiments at finite temperature in harmonic trap) is dominated by phase separation

Our idea:

- Search for FFLO fluctuating pairs in the normal phase
- Even if the system is the normal phase, non-condensed FFLO pairs might be present in certain regions of the phase diagram
- If this is the case, FFLO short-range correlations and fluctuations should reveal in corresponding pairing susceptibility

Finite temperature

M. Pini, P. Pieri, G. C. Strinati, Phys. Rev. Res. **3**, 043068 (2021)

Method used in calculations: self-consistent T-matrix approach

Modification of the original Nozieres-Schmitt-Rink approach for the BCS-BEC crossover in that: (i) Dyson's equation solved exactly; (ii) self-consistent G used everywhere instead of bare G₀; (iii) generalized to polarized systems with $\mu_{\uparrow} \neq \mu_{\downarrow}$

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{\sigma}(k) &= -\int \frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{(2\pi)^{3}} T \sum_{\nu} \Gamma(Q) G_{\overline{\sigma}}(k-Q) \\ k &= (\mathbf{k}, \omega_{n}) \\ \omega_{n} &= 2\pi T (n+1/2) \\ \Sigma_{\sigma}(k) &= \begin{pmatrix} Q-k, \overline{\sigma} \\ \varphi-k, \overline{\sigma} \\ \varphi-k, \overline{\sigma} \\ \chi, \sigma \end{pmatrix} \\ \sum_{k, \sigma} \langle \mathbf{k}, \omega_{n} \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 4\pi a \end{pmatrix} + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left(T \sum_{\nu} G_{\uparrow}(k) G_{\downarrow}(Q-k) - \frac{m}{k^{2}} \right) \right]^{-1} \\ \sum_{\sigma} \langle \mathbf{k}, \varphi \rangle \\ \mathcal{L}(k) \\ \mathcal{L$$

Within fully self-consistent t-matrix approach the pair susceptibility $\chi_{\rm pair}$ is given by:

$$\chi_{\mathrm{pair}}(\mathbf{Q}) = \Gamma(\mathbf{Q}, \Omega_{
u} = 0)$$

Polarized unitary Fermi gas: results for the pair susceptibility



Pronounced peak in the pair susceptibility at finite Q: FFLO pairing fluctuations.

Phase diagram of the polarized unitary Fermi gas



Quite extended FFLO fluctuation region in the phase diagram

How to observe (strong) FFLO fluctuations?

• Use rapid magnetic-field sweep to the BEC side to measure projected pairmomentum distribution through time-of-flight imaging of Feshbach molecules.



Zero temperature

M. Pini, P. Pieri, G. C. Strinati, Phys. Rev. B **107**, 054505 (2023)

Self-consistent T-matrix approach exactly at T=0

Finite TT = 0
$$\Sigma_{\sigma}(k) = -\int \frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{(2\pi)^3} T \sum_{\nu} \Gamma(\mathcal{Q}) G_{\sigma}(k-\mathcal{Q})$$
 $\Sigma_{\sigma}(k) = -\int \frac{d\mathbf{Q}}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d\Omega}{2\pi} \Gamma(\mathcal{Q}) G_{\sigma}(k-\mathcal{Q})$ $k = (\mathbf{k}, \omega_n)$ $\omega_n = 2\pi T(n+1/2)$ $k = (\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathbf{Q}, \Omega_{\nu})$ $\Omega_{\nu} = 2\pi T \nu$ $\mathcal{Q} = (\mathbf{Q}, \Omega)$ $G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = [G_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n)^{-1} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n)]^{-1}$ $G_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = [G_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)^{-1} - \Sigma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)]^{-1}$ $G_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega_n) = [i\omega_n - k^2/2m + \mu_{\sigma}]^{-1}$ $G_{0\sigma}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = [i\omega - k^2/2m + \mu_{\sigma}]^{-1}$ $\Gamma(\mathcal{Q}) = -\left[\frac{m}{4\pi a} + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(T \sum_{\nu} G_1(k) G_1(\mathcal{Q} - k) - \frac{m}{k^2}\right)\right]^{-1}$ $\Gamma(\mathcal{Q}) = -\left[\frac{m}{4\pi a} + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} G_1(k) G_1(\mathcal{Q} - k) - \frac{m}{k^2}\right)\right]^{-1}$

We continue to work with imaginary frequencies: $i\omega$ and $i\Omega$, continuous at zero T.

Phase diagram at T=0

We vary dimensionless coupling $(k_F a)^{-1}$ (with $k_F \equiv (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$, $n = n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}$) and determine critical polarization p_c [with $p = (n_{\uparrow} - n_{\downarrow})/(n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow})$].

Second order phase transition determined by:

 $\left[\Gamma(|\mathbf{Q}| = Q_0, i\Omega = 0)|_{p=p_c}\right]^{-1} = 0 \iff \text{diverging pairing susceptibility } \chi_{\text{pair}}(Q_0) \text{,}$

where Q_0 is the value of $|\mathbf{Q}|$ minimizing $\Gamma(|\mathbf{Q}|, i\Omega = 0)^{-1}$



At the Lifshitz point (L) the transition changes from N/FFLO to N/pBCS where pBCS is a polarized SF with standard BCS pairing $(Q_0 = 0)$.

12

Phase diagram at T=0: including phase separation



Quasi-particle residue and effective mass

Quasi-particle residue and effective mass at $|\mathbf{k}| = k_{F\sigma}$ can be calculated directly on the imaginary frequency axis:



NFL behavior of self-energy (along imaginary axis)



NFL behavior of self-energy (along real frequency axis) and dynamical critical exponent

Extending analytically small $i\omega$ behavior to small ζ in the upper complex plane:

$$\Sigma_{\sigma}(k_{\mathrm{F}\sigma},\zeta) = \Sigma_{\sigma}(k_{\mathrm{F}\sigma},0) + (B_{\sigma} - iC_{\sigma})\sqrt{-i\zeta}$$

yielding for $\zeta = \tilde{\omega} + i0^+$ the retarded self-energy:

$$\operatorname{Re}\Sigma_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{R}}(k_{F\sigma},\tilde{\omega}) = \Sigma_{\sigma}(k_{F\sigma},0) + [B_{\sigma} - C_{\sigma}\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{\omega})]\sqrt{|\tilde{\omega}|/2}$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\Sigma_{\sigma}^{\mathrm{R}}(k_{F\sigma},\tilde{\omega}) = -[C_{\sigma} + B_{\sigma}\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{\omega})]\sqrt{|\tilde{\omega}|/2}$$
manifestly NFL

Spectral-weight function at Fermi surface: $A_{\sigma}(k_{F\sigma}, \tilde{\omega}) = \frac{D_{\sigma\pm}}{|\tilde{\omega}|^{1/2}}$

According to general consideration (Senthil, 2008) at QCP where QP residue vanishes:

 $A_{\sigma}(k_{F\sigma}, \tilde{\omega}) \sim \frac{1}{|\tilde{\omega}|^{1/z}} \quad \text{where } z \text{ is the dynamical critical exponent}$ We found for the dynamical pairing susceptibility: $\chi_{\text{pair}}(\mathbf{Q}, i\Omega) \simeq \frac{(mk_{\text{F}})^{-1}}{\epsilon + b(|\mathbf{Q}| - Q_0)^2 - (d_1 + id_2)i\Omega}$

Yielding, on the real frequency axis, after setting $\xi = \sqrt{b/\epsilon}$, the scaling form:

$$\chi_{\text{pair}}(\mathbf{Q}, \tilde{\Omega}; \xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{mk_{\text{F}}b} \Phi_0[(|\mathbf{Q}| - Q_0)\xi, m_1 \tilde{\Omega}\xi^2] \bigstar z = 2$$

$$m_1 = (d_1 + id_2)/b$$
16

Spectral weight function at Fermi surface, from large polarizations to QCP for the unitary Fermi gas



Analytical continuation performed numerically with Padé approximants. Away from the QCP, delta-like peak over incoherent background. At QCP, power law behavior.



• At finite (low) temperature FFLO correlation in the unitary Fermi gas should be sufficiently strong to be observable in the pair momentum distribution.

• At T=0 region of stability for FFLO significantly larger than mean-field prediction. It includes a polarization range at unitarity

• At FFLO QCP: vanishing quasi-particle residue and diverging effective mass: breakdown of FL properties analogous to what is found in heavy-fermions at AFM QCP.

• Power law behaviors of retarded self-energy and spectral weight function at FFLO QCP are determined by dynamical critical exponent as argued by Senthil, 2008.

Thank you!