2023/3/29

Black-hole mass distributions of the most distant low-luminosity quasars

<u>Ayumi Takahashi</u>, Yoshiki Matsuoka¹, Michael Strauss², Masafusa Onoue³, Nobunari Kashikawa⁴, Yoshiki Toba⁵, Kazushi Iwasawa⁶, Masa Imanishi⁵, Masayuki Akiyama⁷, Toshihiro Kawaguchi⁸, Akatoki Noboriguchi⁹, Chien-Hsiu Lee¹⁰ and the SHELLQs collaboration

1. Ehime 2. Prinston 3. KIAA(IPMU) 4. Tokyo 5. NAOJ 6. Barcelona 7. Tohoku 8. Onomichi 9. Shinshu 10. Keck

International Conference on the Physics of the Two Infinities at Kyoto





Quasar Rest-frame Wavelength (Å)

Typical quasar spectrum

Supermassive Black holes (SMBHs)







Super Massive Black Holes (SMBHs) in the early universe





Super Massive Black Holes (SMBHs) in the early universe

- Distribution of M_{BH} of $z \sim 6 - 7.6$ quasars

(Yang et al. 2021)



-Black hole grow track of $z \ge 7$ quasars with $\lambda_{Edd} = 1$, $\eta = 0.1$



- Previous results support the rapid growth of Black Hole

Super Massive Black Holes (SMBHs) in the early universe



The number of quasars $M_{BH} < 10^8 [M_{\odot}]$ was very limited!

Focus on a deeper sample that is a "typical" species in the quasar population in the early cosmic epoch.



(PI: Yoshiki Matsuoka)



• <u>75 type-1 objects</u> (published in Matsuoka+16, 18ab, 19ab)



$$\log\left(\frac{M_{BH}}{M_{\odot}}\right) = A + B\log\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)$$

Sloan Digital Sky Survey Quasar Catalog (release14)



Substitute BH mass tracers in the low-z sample for each SHELLQs quasar.





SDSS (2.5m)

• Survey field : A quarter of the sky

In this work, we selected SDSS quasars at $2.5 \le z \le 5.0$; 101489 objects whose spectra cover the rest-UV portions around Lya emission lines.



A part of the counterpart's spectra (9/93)

SHELLQs

counterparts

- Successfully got spectrally matched counterparts with this method!
- Their spectral shapes much resembled each other, even in the absorbed cases.





Random selected

reduced - $\chi 2$

Low-z quasars with the 10 smallest χ^2 values for 1 SHELLQs quasar (ex.1)



There are only 2 SHELLQs quasars with more than 1 counterparts meeting $\chi^2 < \chi^2_{min} + 1$.





Our measurements vs. Literatures



Our predicted BH masses :



It is possible to predict BH masses of high-z quasars with high accuracy without their actual spectra by just doing spectral matching with low-z quasars.

8



We revealed the low-mass end of the *M*_{BH} distribution at high redshift!



 M_{BH} range of our sample of $M_{BH} \sim 10^{7.0} - 10^{9.8} [M_{\odot}]$ (without Type-2 candidates) The majority of our sample accrete at sub-Eddington rates Our BH masses are lower by 1-1.5 orders of magnitude than the previous sample.





Do active low-mass SMBHs have higher EW?



⁻¹⁷erg/s/cm²/Å]

fluxÀ [10



e	

Williamuse	
Wennerstrang	
a second and as	

Estimated growth history of SHELLQs quasars

 $L_{EDD}/L_{BOL} = 1$



Most of seed are in the Pop-III remnants

$\eta = 0.1$ (i.e., Shakura & Sunyaev 1976)

10



Estimated growth history of SHELLQs quasars

L_{EDD}/L_{BOL} = each observed values



High-z & typical quasars are divided into different activity phases

- 1. In the less active phase. These should have a growth path with higher Eddington ratios in the past from z = 30 to $z \sim 6$.
- 2. In the young, active phase. Some of these should have a growth path with lower Eddington ratios from z = 30 to $z \sim 6$

 $\eta = 0.1$ (i.e., Shakura & Sunyaev 1976)

both with higher Eddington ratios in the past from z=30 to

11



What is the spectral properties of typical quasars at high-z?

Comparison of high-z QSOs and low-z QSOs

z = 7.1 quasar z ~ 2 composite



z ~ 6 quasars Low-z luminosity-matched quasars

No redshift evolution



C: Fan-san's slide (Fan+10)



Many of high-z quasar studies show results that there is no redshift evolution of quasars in the rest-UV spectra.





Comparison of high-z QSOs and low-z QSOs/Emission profiles

- Create composite spectra of three samples (SHELLQs, counterparts, control)



- Create luminosity-matched low-z QSOs (control) sample with SHELLQs sample



No difference!

They are consistent within 1σ uncertainty with each other.

This means there is no significant difference between high-z and low-z quasars, which is consistent with the previous studies.



14







Comparison of high-z QSOs and low-z QSOs/Emission profiles

- Create composite spectra of three samples (SHELLQs, counterparts, control)



- Create luminosity-matched low-z QSOs (control) sample with SHELLQs sample

They are consistent within 1σ uncertainty

This means there is no significant difference which is consistent with the previous studies.



FUTURE PROSPECTS



- Confirm the predicted properties of our sample with the actual NIR-spec (especially the lowest candidate!)
- Investigate host properties of quasars at the low-mass side of the MBH distribution (e.g., the distribution of gas, outflow, and halo masses)



Additional reports

-Study of BAL quasars-

Quasar Outflow gas have arisen Broad Absorption Lines in quasar spectra



<u>Why do we care about BAL features?</u>

◆There are the possible that BALs are related to very high velocity gas outflow ◆Outflow likely effect the accretion process onto SMBH, as well as galaxy evolution ◆BAL quasars could represent an early stage in the lifetime of the quasar.

$FWHM > 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$



Proposal:

BAL study in SHELLQs sample (pilot study)

c-3801-55509-0562 fit

-The temporal BAL fraction is less than 20 % in visual inspect with Lya and Nv

- Red QSOs (Kato et al. 2020), radiation boost efficiency (Costa +18)



<u>Aims</u>

- ✦ Measurement BAL fraction of SHELLQs sample
- Investigation whether the SMBHs of our sample influence their host galaxies through energy exchange or not
- ✦ Investigation what's the difference in BAL properties btw low-z and high-z

with Lya We submitted BAL proposal in this semester! (107) with Lya We submitted BAL proposal in this semester! Subaru Telescope National Astronomical Observatory of Japan Application Form for Telescope Time (Normal+Intensive Programs)

1. Title of Proposal The BAL fraction of high-z and low-luminosity quasars

2. Principal Investigator						
Name:	Takahashi	Ayumi				
Institute:	Ehime Univ	ime Univ.				
Mailing Address: 2-5, Bunkyo-cho, Matsuyama, Ehime						
E-mail Address:		kahashi@cosmos.phys.sci.ehime-u.ac.jp	Phone:	+81 - 89 - 927 - 8430		
3. Scientific	Category	AGN and QSO Act	ivity			

4. Abstract (approximately 200 words)

Galaxies are a significant constituent of the structure of the universe. Most galaxies host supermassive black holes (SMBHs) at the center and show tight correlations between the bulge stellar mass and BH mass, which have been observed even at high redshift ($z \sim 6$). How they formed and grew in such a short time from the Big Bang is still an open question. Quasar-driven massive outflows extending on galactic scales were detected in significant fractions of quasars. Recently, a large CIV BAL fraction of 40-47 % was reported for 30 high-z luminous quasars, which is ~ 2.4 times higher than measured in the low-z universe. Here, we propose near-infrared spectroscopy of seven HSC-based, high-z low-luminosity (SHELLQs) quasars using Subaru/MOIRCS. Our immediate goals are to measure the CIV BAL fraction and investigate their BAL properties (i.e., outflow velocities, kinetic energy, and spectral properties compared with low-z BAL quasars). The proposed systematic BAL observations will provide a significant insight to whether BH outflows contribute to putative ACN feedback in the early universe and also to the evolutionary sequence of the galaxies.





- Investigated the properties of high-z and low-luminosity quasars statistically
- ◆High-z quasar BH masses can be predicted by low-z quasars spectra
- ◆<u>There is the tight correlation of FWHM between Lya and CIV.</u>
- We find the lowest SMBH candidate with high Eddington accretion
- ◆Black holes can grow up to SMBH from the Pop-III star remnants in the assumption of constant Eddington accretion
- •We propose NIR-observation to find lowest-mass SMBHs and to confirm our method.
- ◆We started a statistical investigation SHELLQs × BAL

SUMMARY