Signals of BFKL dynamics at LHC

Michael Fucilla

Università della Calabria & INFN - Cosenza

in collaboration with

F.G. Celiberto, D. Yu. Ivanov, M.M.A. Mohammed, A. Papa

based on **JHEP 2022**, 92 (2022)

Saturation at the EIC, 18 November 2022





Introduction and motivations BFKL resummation

NLO impact factors: Higgs case

LO Higgs impact factor NLO Higgs impact factor: Real corrections NLO Higgs impact factor: Virtual corrections Cancellation of divergences

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ 目 ・ の へ · 2/44

NLO BFKL Phenomenology at LHC Mueller-Navelet jets Higgs plus jet

Conclusions and outlook

Introduction and motivations BFKL resummation

NLO impact factors: Higgs case

LO Higgs impact factor NLO Higgs impact factor: Real corrections NLO Higgs impact factor: Virtual corrections Cancellation of divergences

《曰》 《聞》 《理》 《理》 三世

NLO BFKL Phenomenology at LHC

Mueller-Navelet jets Higgs plus jet

Conclusions and outlook

Motivation

- Record energies in the center-of-mass reachable by modern and future colliders allow us to study strong interactions in so far unexplored kinematic regions
- Semi-hard collision process \rightarrow stringent scale hierarchy

 $s \gg Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$, Q^2 a hard scale,

Regge kinematic region

 $\alpha_s(Q^2) \ln\left(\frac{s}{Q^2}\right) \sim 1 \implies$ all-order resummation needed

- The Balitskii-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (**BFKL**) approach is the general framework for this *high-energy* resummation
 - Leading-Logarithmic-Approximation (LLA): $(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$
 - Next-to-Leading-Logarithmic-Approximation (**NLLA**): $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$
- Progress on NNLLA

The Reggeized gluon

Scattering process $A + B \longrightarrow A' + B'$

- Gluon quantum numbers in the *t*-channel
- Regge limit: $s \simeq -u \rightarrow \infty$, t fixed (i.e not growing with s)
- All-order resummation: leading logarithmic approximation (LLA): $(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$ next-to-leading logarithmic approximation (NLA): $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$



<ロト < 母 ト < 臣 ト < 臣 ト 三 の へ で 4/44

- Diffusion $A + B \longrightarrow A' + B'$ in the Regge kinematic region
- Gluon Reggeization
- BFKL factorization for $\Im \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{A'B'}$: convolution of a Green function (process independent) with the Impact factors of the colliding particles (process dependent).

<ロト < @ ト < E ト < E ト E の Q で 5/44

• $G^{(R)}_{\omega}(\vec{q_1}, \vec{q_2}; \vec{q})$ -Mellin transform of the Green function for the Reggeon-Reggeon scattering

 $\omega G_{\omega}^{(R)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2; \vec{q}\,) = \vec{q}_1^{\ 2} (\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}\,)^2 \delta^{(D-2)}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2)$

$$+ \int \frac{d^{D-2}q'_{1}}{\vec{q}_{1}^{\,\,\prime\,2}(\vec{q}_{1}^{\,\prime}-\vec{q}^{\,\,\prime})^{2}} \mathcal{K}^{(R)}(\vec{q}_{1},\vec{q}_{1}^{\,\,\prime};\vec{q}^{\,\,\prime}) G^{(R)}_{\omega}(\vec{q}_{1}^{\,\,\prime},\vec{q}_{2};\vec{q}^{\,\,\prime})$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 三 ・ 三 ・ シー 三 ・ つへで 6/44

• $\Phi_{P'P}^{(R,\nu)}$ - LO impact factor in the *t*-channel color state (R,ν)

• BFKL factorization

$$\Im \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{AB} = \frac{s}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \int d^{D-2} q_1 d^{D-2} q_2$$
$$\times \frac{\Phi_{AA}^{(0)}(\vec{q}_1, s_0)}{\vec{q}_1^2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left[\left(\frac{s}{s_0} \right)^{\omega} G_{\omega}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) \right] \frac{\Phi_{BB}^{(0)}(-\vec{q}_2, s_0)}{\vec{q}_2^2}$$

• BFKL equation

$$\omega G_{\omega}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = \delta^{(D-2)}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2) + \int d^{D-2} q_r \, \mathcal{K}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_r) G(\vec{q}_r, \vec{q}_2)$$

[Ya.Ya. Balitskii, V.S. Fadin, E.A. Kuraev, L.N. Lipatov (1975)] • NLO definition of impact factors

$$\Phi_{AA}(\vec{q}_1; s_0) = \left(\frac{s_0}{\vec{q}_1^{\,2}}\right)^{\omega(-\vec{q}_1^{\,2})} \sum_{\{f\}} \int \theta(s_\Lambda - s_{AR}) \frac{ds_{AR}}{2\pi} \, d\rho_f \, \Gamma^c_{\{f\}A} \left(\Gamma^{c'}_{\{f\}A}\right)^* \langle cc' | \hat{\mathcal{P}}_0 | 0 \rangle$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int d^{D-2}q_2 \; \frac{\vec{q}_1^{\;2}}{\vec{q}_2^{\;2}} \, \Phi_{AA}(\vec{q}_2) \, \mathcal{K}_r(\vec{q}_2,\vec{q}_1) \; \ln\left(\frac{s_\Lambda^2}{s_0(\vec{q}_2-\vec{q}_1)^2}\right)$$

 $\omega(-\vec{q_1}^2) \to 1\text{-loop Regge trajectory}$ $s_{\Lambda} \rightarrow$ rapidity regulator <ロト < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > う へ で 7/44

Factorization scheme for hadronic impact factors

• Infrared safety of impact factor for colorless particle

[V. S. Fadin, A. D. Martin (1999)]

• Impact factors of colored particles afflicted by *infrared singularities*

$$p_{H} = z_{H} p_{1} + \frac{m_{H}^{2} + \vec{p}_{H}^{2}}{z_{Hs}} p_{2} + p_{H,\perp}$$
$$\frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{H}}{dx_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}} = \int_{x_{H}}^{1} \frac{dz_{H}}{z_{H}} f_{g}\left(\frac{x_{H}}{z_{H}}\right) \frac{d\Phi_{gg}^{H}}{dz_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}}$$

• Hybrid factorization(s)

Introduction and motivations BFKL resummation

NLO impact factors: Higgs case

LO Higgs impact factor NLO Higgs impact factor: Real corrections NLO Higgs impact factor: Virtual corrections Cancellation of divergences

《曰》 《聞》 《理》 《理》 三世

NLO BFKL Phenomenology at LHC

Mueller-Navelet jets Higgs plus jet

Conclusions and outlook

LO Higgs impact factor

- Gluon-Reggeon → Higgs (through the top quark loop)
- Off-shell *t*-channel gluon

• LO impact factor

 The study can be upgraded to Next-to-Leading Order (NLO), in the limit mt → ∞, by using the effective lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{ggH}} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{a}}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu,\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{H} \qquad \qquad g_{H} = \frac{\alpha_{s}}{3\pi v} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2})$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ヨ ・ ヨ ・ ワ へ で 9/44

NLO Higgs impact factor: Real corrections

• Quark initiated contribution

$$d\Phi_{qq}^{\{Hq\}} \sim \left[\frac{4(1-z_H)\left(\vec{r}\cdot\vec{q}\;\right)^2 + z_H^2\vec{q}\;^2\vec{r}^2}{z_H(\vec{r}^2)^2}\right]$$

Rapidity divergence absent $\implies s_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \infty$ Collinear divergence: $r \equiv (\vec{q} - \vec{p}_H) \rightarrow \vec{0}$

• Gluon initiated contribution

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Rapidity divergence} \implies s_{\Lambda} \mbox{ still present} & \mbox{Soft divergence: } z_{H} \rightarrow 1 \ , \ \vec{r} \rightarrow \vec{0} \\ \mbox{Collinear divergence: } \vec{r} \rightarrow \vec{0} & \mbox{} \vec{\Delta} = \vec{p}_{H} - z_{H} \vec{q} \end{array}$

• Agreement with calculation within Lipatov effective action framework [M. Hentschinski, K. Kutak, A. van Hameren (2021)]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ■ のへで 10/44

NLO Higgs impact factor: Virtual corrections

• General strategy: Comparison of a suitable amplitude (in the high-energy limit) with the expected *Regge form*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{gq \to Hq}^{(8,-)} &= \Gamma_{\{H\}g}^{ac} \frac{s}{t} \left[\left(\frac{s}{-t} \right)^{\omega(t)} + \left(\frac{-s}{-t} \right)^{\omega(t)} \right] \Gamma_{qq}^{c} \approx \Gamma_{\{H\}g}^{ac(0)} \frac{2s}{t} \Gamma_{qq}^{c(0)} \\ &+ \Gamma_{\{H\}g}^{ac(0)} \frac{s}{t} \omega^{(1)}(t) \left[\ln \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{-s}{-t} \right) \right] \Gamma_{qq}^{c(0)} + \Gamma_{\{H\}g}^{ac(0)} \frac{2s}{t} \Gamma_{qq}^{c(1)} + \Gamma_{\{H\}g}^{ac(1)} \frac{2s}{t} \Gamma_{qq}^{c(0)} \end{aligned}$$

• Virtual corrections to the impact factor

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_{gg}^{\{H\}(1)}}{dz_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} &= \frac{d\Phi_{gg}^{\{H\}(0)}}{dz_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{q}\,^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \left[-\frac{C_A}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{C_A}{\epsilon} \ln\left(\frac{\vec{q}\,^2}{s_0}\right) + \frac{\beta_0}{2\epsilon} \right. \\ &\left. + 11 - \frac{5n_f}{9} + C_A \left(2 \ \Re e \left(\operatorname{Li}_2 \left(1 + \frac{m_H^2}{\vec{q}\,^2} \right) \right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{67}{18} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

NLO Higgs impact factor: Virtual corrections

• Single gluon in the *t*-channel diagrams

Gribov's trick: $g^{\rho\nu} = g^{\rho\nu}_{\perp\perp} + 2 \frac{p_1^{\rho} p_2^{\nu} + p_1^{\nu} p_2^{\rho}}{s} \rightarrow 2s \frac{p_1^{\nu}}{s} \frac{p_2^{\rho}}{s}$

• Two gluons in the *t*-channel diagrams

Dimension-5 operator in $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{QCD} + \mathcal{L}_{ggH} \rightarrow$ Gribov's trick violation

$$g^{\rho\nu} = g^{\rho\nu}_{\perp\perp} + 2 \frac{p_1^{\rho} p_2^{\nu} + p_1^{\nu} p_2^{\rho}}{s} \to 2s \frac{p_1^{\nu}}{s} \frac{p_2^{\rho}}{s} + g^{\rho\nu}_{\perp\perp}$$

<ロ > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > の へ ? 12/44

Projection onto the eigenfunctions of the BFKL kernel

• BFKL cross section

$$\begin{split} d\sigma_{AB} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q_1}{\vec{q}_1^2} \int \frac{d^{D-2}q_2}{\vec{q}_2^2} \\ \times d\Phi_{AA} \left(\vec{q}_1; s_0 \right) \int_{\delta - i\infty}^{\delta + i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left[\left(\frac{s}{s_0} \right)^{\omega} G_{\omega}^{(0)} \left(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2 \right) \right] d\Phi_{BB} \left(-\vec{q}_2; s_0 \right) \end{split}$$

• Momentum representation

$$\begin{split} \hat{\vec{p}} \, |\vec{p}_i\rangle &= \vec{p}_i \, |\vec{p}_i\rangle \ , \quad \langle A|B\rangle = \langle A|\vec{q}_1\rangle \, \langle \vec{q}_1|B\rangle = \int d^{D-2}\vec{q}_1A \left(\vec{q}_1\right) B \left(\vec{q}_1\right) \, , \quad O(\vec{q}_1,\vec{q}_2) = \langle \vec{q}_1|\hat{O}|\vec{q}_2\rangle \, , \\ d\sigma_{AB} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\omega} \, \langle \frac{d\Phi_{AA}}{\vec{q}_1^{-2}} |\hat{G}_{\omega}| \frac{d\Phi_{BB}}{\vec{q}_2^{-2}} \rangle \end{split}$$

BFKL equation

$$\hat{1} = \left(\omega - \hat{K}\right) \hat{G}_{\omega} \implies \hat{G}_{\omega} = \left(\omega - \hat{K}\right)^{-1}.$$

• Perturbative expansion of the Kernel: $\hat{K} = \bar{\alpha}_s \hat{K}^0 + \bar{\alpha}_s^2 \hat{K}^1$

$$\hat{G}_{\omega} \simeq \left(\omega - \bar{\alpha}_s \hat{K}^0\right)^{-1} + \left(\omega - \bar{\alpha}_s \hat{K}^0\right)^{-1} \left(\bar{\alpha}_s^2 \hat{K}^1\right) \left(\omega - \bar{\alpha}_s \hat{K}^0\right)^{-1}$$

• Eigenfunctions of the LO kernel

$$\hat{K}^{0} |n, \nu\rangle = \chi(n, \nu) |n, \nu\rangle , \qquad \langle \vec{q} |n, \nu\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}} (\vec{q}^{\ 2})^{i\nu - \frac{3}{2}} e^{in\phi}$$

Alternative: NLO eigenfunctions

[G. A. Chirilli, Y. V. Kovchegov (2013)]

▲□▶ ▲罰▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ■ の�� 13/44

Showing cancellation of divergences

• BFKL cross-section

$$\begin{split} d\sigma_{AB} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \sum_{n,n'} \int d\nu \int d\nu' \int_{\delta-i\infty}^{\delta+i\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\omega} \\ &\times \langle \frac{d\Phi_{AA}}{\vec{q}_1^{-2}} | n, \nu \rangle \langle n, \nu | \hat{G}_{\omega} | n', \nu' \rangle \langle n'\nu' | \frac{d\Phi_{BB}}{\vec{q}_2^{-2}} \rangle \end{split}$$

• Projection onto the eigenfunction of the BFKL kernel

$$\langle \frac{d\Phi_{AA}}{\vec{q}\,^2} | n, \nu \rangle = \int \frac{d^{2-2\epsilon}q}{\pi\sqrt{2}} (\vec{q}\,^2)^{i\nu-\frac{3}{2}} e^{in\phi} d\Phi_{AA}^{(0)}(\vec{q}\,) \equiv d\Phi_{AA}^{(0)}(n,\nu)$$

• LO projected impact factor

$$\frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}(x_H,\vec{p}_H,n,\nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} = \frac{g_H^2}{8(1-\epsilon)\sqrt{N^2-1}} \frac{(\vec{p}_H^{-2})^{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}} e^{in\phi_H}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} f_g(x_H)$$

- Scheme for cancellation of divergences
 - i. Rapidity divergences \rightarrow removed by the BFKL counterterm
 - ii. UV divergences \rightarrow QCD coupling renormalization
 - iii. Soft divergences \rightarrow cancelled in the real plus virtual combination
 - *iiii*. Surviving initial-state IR divergences \rightarrow gPDF renormalization

Cancellation of divergences in the (n, ν) -space

- UV counterterm $d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}\Big|_{\alpha_s \ c.t.} = d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left[-\frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon}\right] + \text{finite}$
- gPDF counterterm

$$\begin{split} \left. d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}} \right|_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{qg}} \text{ c.t.}} &= \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}}{fg(x_H)} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{gq} \otimes \sum_{a=q\bar{q}} f_a \right] + \text{finite} \\ \left. d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}} \right|_{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{gg}} \text{ c.t.}} &= \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}}{fg(x_H)} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \bar{P}_{gg} \otimes f_g + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon} f_g(x_H) \right] + \text{finite} \end{split}$$

• Real quark contribution

$$\left. d\Phi_{PP}^{\{Hg\}} \right|_{\rm quark} = \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}}{f_g(x_H)} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left[-\frac{1}{\epsilon} P_{gq} \otimes \sum_{a=q\bar{q}} f_a \right] + {\rm finite}$$

• Real gluon contribution (BFKL counterterm subtracted)

$$d\Phi_{PP}^{\{Hq\}}\Big|_{\text{gluon}} = \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}}{f_g(x_H)} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \left[\left(\frac{C_A}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{CA}{\epsilon} \ln\left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{s_0}\right)\right) f_g(x_H) - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{P}_{gg} \otimes f_g \right] + \text{finite}$$

• Virtual corrections contribution

$$\left. d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}} \right|_{\text{virtual}} = \left. d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2} \right)^{-\epsilon} \left[-\frac{C_A}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{CA}{\epsilon} \ln\left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{s_0} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\beta_0}{2} \right] + \text{finite} \right.$$

<ロト < □ ト < 三 ト < 三 ト ミ の < で 15/44

Introduction and motivations BFKL resummation

NLO impact factors: Higgs case LO Higgs impact factor NLO Higgs impact factor: Beal c

NLO Higgs impact factor: Virtual corrections Cancellation of divergences

◆□> <@> < E> < E> < E</p>

NLO BFKL Phenomenology at LHC

Mueller-Navelet jets Higgs plus jet

Conclusions and outlook

Hybrid collinear/high-energy factorization

Mueller-Navelet jets

- Inclusive production of two rapidity-separated jets in proton-proton collision
- Large energy logarithms \rightarrow BFKL resummed partonic cross section
- Moderate values of parton $x \to \text{collinear PDFs}$

[A.H. Mueller, H. Navelet (1987)]

• Hybrid formalism: can be extended to several type of semi-hard reactions

Mueller-Navelet: Theory vs Experiment

[C. Marquet, C. Royon (2009)]

[B. Ducloué, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon (2013,2014)]

[F. Caporale, D.Yu. Ivanov, B. Murdaca, A. Papa (2014,2015)]

In this slide: [F.G. Celiberto (2021)]

17/44

Mueller-Navelet: Theory vs Experiment

• CMS @7Tev with symmetric p_T -ranges, only!

[CMS collaboration (2016)]

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 王 のへで 18/44

- LHC kinematic **domain** in between the sectors described by BFKL and DGLAP approaches
- Clearer manifestation of high-energy signatures expected at increasing energies

Mueller-Navelet: Theory vs Experiment

• CMS @7Tev with symmetric p_T -ranges, only!

[CMS collaboration (2016)]

- LHC kinematic **domain** in between the sectors described by BFKL and DGLAP approaches
- Clearer manifestation of high-energy signatures expected at increasing energies
- Strong manifestation of higher-order instabilities via scale variation

Impact factors for partially inclusive processes

NLO impact factors

• Jet impact factor and Mueller Navelet jets

[J. Bartels, D. Colferai, G.P. Vacca (2002, 2003)]

[F. Caporale, D.Yu. Ivanov, B. Murdaca, A. Papa, A. Perri (2011)]

• Light hadron IF

[D.Yu. Ivanov, A. Papa (2012)]

• Heavy hadrons and Quarkonium IFs in VFNS (high- p_T of the hadron)

 $[{\rm F.G.}$ Celiberto, M.F, D.Yu. Ivanov, A. Papa (2021)]

[F.G. Celiberto, M.F (2022)]

• Forward Higgs IF* $(m_t \to \infty)$

[M. Nefedov (2019)], [M. Hentschinski, K. Kutak, A. van Hameren (2021)]
 [F.G. Celiberto, M.F. D.Yu. Ivanov, M.M.A. Mohammed, A. Papa (2022)]

LO impact factors

• Drell-Yan di-lepton IF

[L. Motyka, M. Sadzikowski, T. Stebela (2015)]

• J/ψ hadroproduction IF in a massive scheme

[R. Boussarie, B. Ducloué, L. Szymanowski, S. Wallon (2018)]

• $Q\bar{Q}$ -pair photo/hadroproduction IF in a massive scheme

[I.F. Ginzburg, S.L. Panfil and V.G. Serbo (1987)] [A. Bolognino, F.G. Celiberto, M.F, D.Yu. Ivanov, A. Papa (2019)]

Higgs plus jet

- Inclusive Higgs plus jet production in proton-proton collision
 - i. Full NLL Green function + Partial NLO impact factors (full m_t-dep.)
 [F.G. Celiberto, D. Yu. Ivanov, M.M.A. Mohammed, A. Papa (2021)]
 - *ii.* LL BFKL in HEJ framework + LO impact factors (full m_t, m_b -dep.)

[J. R. Andersen et al. (2022)]

Hadronic cross section expanded in azimuthal coefficients

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm pp}}{dy_H dy_J d|\vec{p}_H|d|\vec{p}_J|d\phi_1 d\phi_2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{0}} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n\phi) \mathcal{C}_n \right]$$

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 言 のへで 20/44

Higgs p_T -distribution

$$\frac{d\sigma\left(|\vec{p}_{H}|,\Delta Y,s\right)}{d|\vec{p}_{H}|d\Delta Y} = \int_{p_{J}^{min}}^{p_{J}^{max}} d|\vec{p}_{J}| \int_{y_{H}^{min}}^{y_{H}^{max}} dy_{H} \int_{y_{J}^{min}}^{y_{J}^{max}} dy_{J}\delta\left(y_{H}-y_{J}-\Delta Y\right)C_{0}$$

• JETHAD vs POWHEG

[F.G. Celiberto, M.M.A. Mohammed, D.Yu. Ivanov, A. Papa (2021)]

<ロト < 団 ト < 茎 ト < 茎 ト 茎 のへで 21/44

Higgs plus jet: matching NLL to NLO

• Additive matching procedure

[Preliminary results presented by F.G. Celiberto at Higgs 2022]

・ロト ・ (部)・ ・ ミト ・ ミー シ へ ?? 22/44

Introduction and motivations BFKL resummation

NLO impact factors: Higgs case

LO Higgs impact factor NLO Higgs impact factor: Real corrections NLO Higgs impact factor: Virtual corrections Cancellation of divergences

NLO BFKL Phenomenology at LHC

Mueller-Navelet jets Higgs plus jet

Conclusions and outlook

Conclusions

- <u>NLO corrections</u> to the forward Higgs boson impact factor has been obtained both in q_T and (n, ν) -space in the $m_t \to \infty$ limit
- Stability of the BFKL series under higher-order corrections and scale variations has been observed, with partial NLLA, in the inclusive forward emissions of a Higgs in association with a backward jet
- *Gribov's philosophy* for high-energy computations proposed in QCD needs to be *revisited* for non-renormalizable interactions

Outlook and related topics

- Full NLL/NLO Higgs plus jet production
- Finite top-mass corrections
- The impact of the high-energy resummation in central inclusive Higgs production at FCC center-of-mass energies is expected to be large

[M. Bonvini, S. Marzani (2018)]

• Unified formalism to include different kinds of resummations

(BFKL+Sudakov) [B. Xiao, F. Yuan (2018)]

Thank you for the attention !

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Backup

Outlook: Extension to the central production at NLO

• LO vertex with full mass corrections

[R.S. Pasechnik, O.V. Teryaev, A. Szczurek (2006)]

- Computation of real corrections quite straightforward
- Need to extract the vertex at one-loop in the central region of rapidity
- • Necessity of a reference NLO two into three particle amplitude, e. g. $\mathcal{A}_{q+q \to q+H+q}$

- Necessary scalar integrals known: ${\cal I}_4^{2m}$ and ${\cal I}_5^{1m}$

[Z. Bern, L. Dixon, D. A. Kosower (1998)]

<ロト < 部 > < 書 > < 書 > 目 の へ で 26/44

$Stabilization \ effects$

- Stabilization effects in Higgs and heavy flavor production
- Λ -baryon FFs
 - heavy species $\longrightarrow \Lambda_c$ KKSS19 [B.A. Kniehl, G. Kramer, I. Schienbein, H. Spiesberger (2020)]
 - light species → Λ AKK08 [S.Albino, B.A. Kniehl, and G. Kramer (2008)]

[F.G. Celiberto, D.Yu. Ivanov, M.M.A. Mohammed, A. Papa (2020)]
[F.G. Celiberto, D.Yu. Ivanov, M. F., A. Papa (2021)]

<ロト < 団 ト < 茎 ト < 茎 ト 茎 のへで 27/44

What is the BFKL resummation?

- The Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) approach is the general framework for the resummation of energy-type logarithms
 - Leading-Logarithm-Approximation (LLA): $(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$
 - Next-to-Leading-Logarithm-Approximation (NLLA): $\alpha_s (\alpha_s \ln s)^n$

In which contexts can BFKL approach be applied?

• Semi-hard collision processes, featuring the scale hierarchy

 $s \gg Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$, Q^2 a hard scale, $\alpha_s(Q^2) \ln\left(\frac{s}{Q^2}\right) \sim 1 \implies$ all-order resummation needed

• UGD sector

The evolution of the Unintegrated gluon density,

$$\mathcal{F}(x,\vec{k}) \quad \text{t.c.} \quad f^g(x,Q^2) = \int \frac{d^2\vec{k}}{\pi\vec{k}^2} \mathcal{F}(x,\vec{k})\theta(Q^2 - \vec{k}^2)$$

as a function of $\ln(1/x) = \ln(s/Q^2)$, is governed by BFKL:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \ln(1/x)} = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{K}$$

Before QCD

• Assumptions on S-matrix $(S_{ab} = \langle b_{out} | a_{in} \rangle)$:

• Lorentz invariance:

It can be expressed as a function of Lorentz invariant scalar product, e.g (s,t) for $2\to 2$ particle scattering.

• Analiticity

Causality \rightarrow Analytic function with only those singularity required by unitarity.

• Unitarity

Cutkosky rules Optical theorem $= (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (\sum n \sum n) \sum A = A^{\dagger}$

$$2\Im \mathcal{A}_{ab} = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (\sum_a p_a - \sum_b p_b) \sum_c \mathcal{A}_{ac} \mathcal{A}_{cb}^{\dagger} \qquad 2\Im \mathcal{A}_{aa}(s,0) = F\sigma_{tot}$$

 Unitarity → relates the imaginary parts of amplitudes to sum of products of other amplitudes, dispersion relations → reconstruct the corresponding real parts

<ロ > < 団 > < 言 > < 言 > 三 の へ © 29/44

• More in general **subtract dispersion relation** → we must know the asymptotic behaviour of amplitudes → **Regge theory**

• Asymptotic behavior of amplitudes in the Regge region:

$$\mathcal{A}(s,t) \xrightarrow[s \gg |t|]{} \frac{\eta + e^{-i\pi\alpha(t)}}{2} \beta(t) s^{\alpha(t)}$$

• Definition of "Reggeization"

A particle of mass M and spin J is said to "Reggeize" if the amplitude, \mathcal{A} , for a process involving the exchange in the *t*-channel of the quantum numbers of that particle behaves asymptotically in s as

$$\mathcal{A} \propto s^{\alpha(t)}$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is the trajectory and $\alpha(M^2) = J$, so that the particle itself lies on the trajectory.

<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 言 のへで 30/44

The Reggeized gluon

Elastic scattering process $A + B \longrightarrow A' + B'$

- Gluon quantum numbers in the *t*-channel
- Regge limit: $s \simeq -u \rightarrow \infty$, t fixed (i.e not growing with s)
- All-order resummation: leading logarithmic approximation (LLA): $(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$ next-to-leading logarithmic approximation (NLA): $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$

The integration that appears in $\omega(t)$ is the residue of that over the phase space. The terms in the denominator come from the propagators.

▶ NLLA [V.S. Fadin, R. Fiore, M.G. Kozlov, A.V. Reznichenko (2006)]

▲口> ▲御> ▲注> ▲注>

≣ ∽९० 32/44

BFKL in LLA

Inelastic scattering process $A + B \longrightarrow \tilde{A} + \tilde{B} + n$ in the LLA

$$\Re \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}+n} = 2s\Gamma_{\tilde{A}A}^{c_1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_{c_i c_{i+1}}^{P_i}(q_i, q_{i+1}) \left(\frac{s_i}{s_0}\right)^{\omega(t_i)} \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \left(\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_0}\right)^{\omega(t_{n+1})} \Gamma_{\tilde{B}B}^{c_{n+1}}$$

- s₀-energy scale, arbitrary in LLA.
- Terms that contain fermions in intermediate states are suppressed in relation to those that involve the exchange of gluons
- "Vertical" gluons become Reggeized due to radiative corrections ("ladders within ladders")
 <□> < □> < □> < □> < □> < ≥> < ≥

Multi-Regge kinematics

• Sudakov decomposition for the produced particles: $k_i = z_i p_1 + \lambda_i p_2 + k_{i\perp}$

- Leading logarithms come from the integration over the longitudinal momenta of the produced particles
- In the LLA, where each added particle contributes only one $\ln s,$ only this kinematics counts

<ロト < @ ト < 言 ト < 言 ト ミ の へ で 34/44

BFKL in LLA

Amplitude $A+B \rightarrow A'+B'$ in the LLA via Cutkosky rules

$$\Im \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{A'B'} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{f} \int \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}+n} \left(\mathcal{A}_{A'B'}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}+n} \right)^* d\Phi_{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}+n}$$

 $\mathcal{A}_{AB}^{A'B'} = \sum_{\mathcal{R}} (\mathcal{A}_R)_{AB}^{A'B'} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{R} = 1 (\text{singlet}), 8 (\text{octect}), \dots$

<ロ> < 団 > < 置 > < 置 > < 置 > 差 の < で 35/44

Solution of the BFKL equation

• Let's solve the equation

$$\omega G_{\omega}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = \delta^{(D-2)}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_2) + \int d^{D-2} q_r \ \mathcal{K}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_r) G(\vec{q}_r, \vec{q}_2)$$
$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_r) = \mathcal{K}^{(R)}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_r) + 2\omega(\vec{q}_1^{-2})\delta^{(2)}(\vec{q}_1 - \vec{q}_r)$$

• We can see $\mathcal{K}(\vec{k},\vec{k}')$ as the integral kernel of an operator acting on a space of complex functions (defined on a bi-dimensional vector space)

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}\left[f(\vec{k})\right] = \int d^2\vec{k}' \mathcal{K}(\vec{k},\vec{k}') \ f(\vec{k}')$$

• We solve the eigenvalue problem for the Kernel

Eigenvalues $\longrightarrow \omega_n(\nu) = \bar{\alpha}_s \chi_n(\nu)$, $\bar{\alpha}_s = \frac{\alpha_s N}{\pi}$

Eigenfunctions $\longrightarrow \phi_{\nu}^{n}(\vec{q}\,) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{2}} \left(\vec{q}\,^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\nu} e^{in\theta}$

• Then we are able to reconstruct the $G_{\omega}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2)$

$$G_{\omega}(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \left(\frac{\vec{q}_1^2}{\vec{q}_2^2}\right)^{i\nu} \frac{e^{in(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}}{2\pi^2 q_1 q_2} \frac{1}{\omega - \bar{\alpha}_s \chi(n, \nu)} \longrightarrow G_s(\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2) \sim s^{\omega_0}$$
$$\omega_0 = 4\bar{\alpha}_s \ln 2 \simeq 0.40 \text{ for } \alpha_s = 0.15$$

BFKL at NLLA in a nutshell

- Resummation of subleading logarithms means **new kinematics**
 - 1. Multi-Regge kinematics (MRK)
 - 2. Quasi multi-Regge kinematics (QMRK)
- Production amplitudes keep the simple factorized form

$$\Re \mathcal{A}_{AB}^{\tilde{A}\tilde{B}+n} = 2s\Gamma_{\tilde{A}A}^{c_1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_{c_i c_{i+1}}^{P_i}(q_i, q_{i+1}) \left(\frac{s_i}{s_0}\right)^{\omega(t_i)} \frac{1}{t_i}\right) \frac{1}{t_{n+1}} \left(\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_0}\right)^{\omega(t_{n+1})} \Gamma_{\tilde{B}B}^{c_{n+1}}$$

• Multi-Regge kinematics \rightarrow previous quantity must be calculated at 1-loop (one α_s more)

•
$$\omega^{(1)} \longrightarrow \omega^{(2)}$$

BFKL at NLLA in a nutshell

 Quasi multi-Regge kinematics → A pair of particles, but only one!, may have longitudinal Sudakov variables of the same order (one logarithm less)

• 3 new contributions to the kernel

 $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{RRG}^{Born} + \mathcal{K}_{RRG}^{1-loop} + \mathcal{K}_{RRGG}^{Born} + \mathcal{K}_{RR\bar{Q}Q}^{Born}$

NLO Higgs impact factor: Real corrections

• NLO definition of the impact factor

$$\Phi_{AA}(\vec{q}_1;s_0) = \left(\frac{s_0}{\vec{q}_1^{\ 2}}\right)^{\omega(-\vec{q}_1^{\ 2})} \sum_{\{f\}} \int \theta(s_\Lambda - s_{AR}) \frac{ds_{AR}}{2\pi} \ d\rho_f \ \Gamma^c_{\{f\}A} \left(\Gamma^{c'}_{\{f\}A}\right)^* \langle cc' | \hat{\mathcal{P}}_0 | 0 \rangle$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\int d^{D-2}q_2 ~ \frac{\vec{q}_1^{~2}}{\vec{q}_2^{~2}} ~ \Phi^{(0)}_{AA}(\vec{q}_2) ~ \mathcal{K}^{(0)}_r(\vec{q}_2,\vec{q}_1) ~ \ln\left(\frac{s_\Lambda^2}{s_0(\vec{q}_2-\vec{q}_1)^2}\right)$$

 $s_\Lambda \to {\rm rapidity\ regulator}$ $\omega(-\vec{q_1}^2) \to 1{\rm -loop\ Regge\ trajectory}$

• Quark initiated contribution

$$\frac{d\Phi_{qq}^{\{Hq\}}(z_H,\vec{p}_H,\vec{q})}{dz_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} = \frac{g^2 g_H^2 \sqrt{N^2 - 1}}{16N(2\pi)^{D-1} z_H} \left[\frac{4(1 - z_H) \left[(\vec{q} - \vec{p}_H) \cdot \vec{q} \right]^2 + z_H^2 \vec{q}^2 (\vec{q} - \vec{p}_H)^2}{[(\vec{q} - \vec{p}_H)^2]^2} \right]$$

- Rapidity divergence absent $\implies s_{\Lambda} \to \infty$
- Collinear divergence: $(\vec{q} \vec{p}_H) \rightarrow \vec{0}$
- Gauge invariance: $d\Phi_{gg}^{\{gH\}}|_{\vec{q}} _{2=0} \longrightarrow 0$

∿९० 39/44

NLO Higgs impact factor: Real corrections

• Gluon initiated contribution

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\Phi_{g^{H}g^{H}}^{(Bg)}(z_{H},\vec{p}_{H},\vec{q};s_{0})}{dz_{H}d^{2}p_{H}} &= \frac{g^{2}g_{H}^{2}C_{A}}{8(2\pi)^{D-1}(1-\epsilon)\sqrt{N^{2}-1}} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{2}{z_{H}(1-z_{H})} \left[2z_{H}^{2} + \frac{(1-z_{H})z_{H}m_{H}^{2}(\vec{q};\vec{r})[z_{H}^{2}-2(1-z_{H})\epsilon] + 2z_{H}^{3}(\vec{p}_{H}\cdot\vec{r})(\vec{p}_{H}\cdot\vec{q})}{\vec{r}^{2}[(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2}+\vec{p}_{H}^{2}]} - \frac{(1-z_{H})z_{H}m_{H}^{2}(\vec{q};\vec{r})[z_{H}^{2}-2(1-z_{H})\epsilon] + 2z_{H}^{3}(\vec{\Delta}\cdot\vec{r})(\vec{\Delta}\cdot\vec{q})}{\vec{r}^{2}\left[(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2}+\vec{\Delta}^{2}\right]} - \frac{(1-z_{H})z_{H}m_{H}^{2}(\vec{q};\vec{r})[z_{H}^{2}-2(1-z_{H})\epsilon] + 2z_{H}^{3}(\vec{\Delta}\cdot\vec{r})(\vec{\Delta}\cdot\vec{q})}{\vec{r}^{2}\left[(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2}+\vec{\Delta}^{2}\right]} \\ + \frac{(1-\epsilon)z_{H}^{2}(1-z_{H})^{2}m_{H}^{4}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{[(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2}+\Delta^{2}]} + \frac{1}{[(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2}+\vec{p}_{H}^{2}]}\right)^{2} - \frac{2z_{H}^{2}(\vec{p}_{H}\cdot\vec{\Delta})^{2} - 2\epsilon(1-z_{H})^{2}z_{H}^{2}m_{H}^{4}}{[(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2}+\Delta^{2}]} \\ + \frac{2\vec{q}^{2}}{\vec{r}^{2}}\left[\frac{z_{H}}{1-z_{H}} + z_{H}(1-z_{H}) + 2(1-\epsilon)\frac{(1-z_{H})}{z_{H}}\frac{(\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r})^{2}}{\vec{q}^{2}\vec{r}^{2}}\right]\right\}\theta\left(s_{\Lambda} - \frac{(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2} + \vec{\Delta}^{2}}{z_{H}(1-z_{H})}\right) \end{split}$$

•
$$\vec{\Delta} = \vec{p}_H - z_H \vec{q}$$
 $\vec{r} = \vec{q} - \vec{p}_H$

- Rapidity divergence $\rightarrow s_{\Lambda}$ still present
- Soft and Collinear divergences
- Gauge invariance: $d\Phi_{gg}^{\{gH\}}|_{\vec{q}\ ^2=0} \longrightarrow 0$

40/44

Agreement with independent calculation in the Lipatov effective action framework

[M. Hentschinski, K. Kutak, A. van Hameren (2021)]

PDF and α_s counterterms in the (n, ν) -space

• 1-loop α_s running produces the UV-counterterm

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}(x_{H},\vec{p}_{H},n,\nu)}{dx_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}} \bigg|_{\text{coupling c.t.}} &= \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}(x_{H},\vec{p}_{H},n,\nu)}{dx_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{s}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_{H}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{-\epsilon} \\ &\times \underbrace{\left(\frac{11C_{A}}{3} - \frac{2n_{f}}{3}\right)}_{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu_{R}^{2}}{\vec{p}_{H}^{2}}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

PDF counter terms produced through DGLAP evolution equations

$$\frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \bigg|_{\mathrm{Pqg \ c.t.}} = -\frac{1}{f_g(x_H)} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \times \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\vec{p}_H^2}\right)\right) \int_{x_H}^1 \frac{dz_H}{z_H} \left[P_{gq}(z_H) \sum_{a=q\bar{q}} f_a\left(\frac{x_H}{z_H}, \mu_F\right)\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \Big|_{\text{Pgg c.t.}} &= -\frac{1}{f_g(x_H)} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}(0)(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \\ &\times \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\vec{p}_H^2}\right)\right) \int_{x_H}^1 \frac{dz_H}{z_H} \left[P_{gg}(z_H) f_g\left(\frac{x_H}{z_H}, \mu_F\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

 $P_{gq}(z) = C_F \frac{1 + (1-z)^2}{z} , \qquad P_{gg}(z) = 2C_A \left(\frac{z}{(1-z)_+} + \frac{(1-z)}{z} + z(1-z) \right) + \frac{11C_A - 2n_f}{6} \delta(1-z) - \frac{11C_A - 2n_f}{6} \delta(1-z) + \frac{11C_A - 2n_f}{6} \delta(1-z) - \frac{11C_A - 2n$

<ロ > < 団 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 王 の へ で 41/44

Real quark and virtual contribution in the (n, ν) -space

 BFKL counterterm + Rapidity divergent part in the real gluon NLO contribution in the (n, ν)-space

$$\frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\text{BFKL}}(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 p_H} = \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}(0)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \times \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{C_A}{\epsilon^2} + \frac{C_A}{\epsilon} \ln\left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{s_0}\right)}_{-\frac{1}{2}} - 2 \frac{C_A}{\epsilon} \ln(1 - x_H) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0) \right\}$$

• Projection of the virtual contribution

$$\frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(1)}(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu; s_0)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} = \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \left[-\frac{C_A}{\epsilon^2}\right]$$

$$+ \underbrace{\frac{11C_A - 2n_f}{\epsilon\epsilon}}_{-\frac{C_A}{\epsilon}} - \underbrace{\frac{C_A}{\epsilon} \ln\left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{s_0}\right) - \frac{5n_f}{9} + C_A \left(2 \operatorname{\Re} e\left(\operatorname{Li}_2\left(1 + \frac{m_H^2}{\vec{p}_H^2}\right)\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{67}{18}\right) + 11\right]$$

• Projection of the real quark contribution $\frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{Hq\}}(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} = \frac{1}{f_g(x_H)} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}(0)(x_H, \vec{p}_H, n, \nu)}{dx_H d^2 p_H} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon}$ $\times \int_{x_H}^1 \frac{dz_H}{z_H} \sum_{a=q\bar{q}} f_a\left(\frac{x_H}{z_H}, \mu_F\right) \left\{ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} C_F \underbrace{\left(\frac{1+(1-z_H)^2}{z_H}\right)}_{+} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0) \right\}$

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Real gluon contribution in the $(\overline{n,\nu})$ -space

• "Plus" term

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{Hg\}\operatorname{plus}\left(x_{H},\vec{p}_{H},n,\nu;s_{0}\right)}{dx_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}} &= -\frac{1}{f_{g}(x_{H})} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}\left(0\right)}(x_{H},\vec{p}_{H},n,\nu)}{dx_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{s}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_{H}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{-\epsilon} \\ &\times \int_{x_{H}}^{1} \frac{dz_{H}}{z_{H}} f_{g}\left(\frac{x_{H}}{z_{H}}\right) 2C_{A} \underbrace{\frac{z_{H}}{(1-z_{H})+}} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{0})\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & (1-x_H)\text{-term} \\ & \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{Hg\}(1-x_H)}(x_H,\vec{p}_H,n,\nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} = \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}(0)}(x_H,\vec{p}_H,n,\nu)}{dx_H d^2 \vec{p}_H} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_H^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon} \\ & \times \frac{2C_A \ln(1-x_H)}{2\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^0)\right] \end{split}$$

• Collinear part of the remaining term

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{Hg\}\text{coll}}(x_{H},\vec{p}_{H},n,\nu)}{dx_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}} &= \frac{1}{f_{g}(x_{H})} \frac{d\Phi_{PP}^{\{H\}}(0)(x_{H},\vec{p}_{H},n,\nu)}{dx_{H}d^{2}\vec{p}_{H}} \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{s}}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_{H}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{-\epsilon} \\ &\times \int_{x_{H}}^{1} \frac{dz_{H}}{z_{H}} f_{g}\left(\frac{x_{H}}{z_{H}}\right) \left\{ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} 2 C_{A} \underbrace{\left(z_{H}(1-z_{H}) + \frac{(1-z_{H})}{z_{H}}\right)}_{-\epsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{0}) \right\} \end{split}$$

• Complete cancellation of divergences $\rightarrow \epsilon = 0$

<ロ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Finite part of the result in the (n, ν) -space

• The complete finite result is obtained in terms of hypergeometric functions and integrals of hypergeometric functions (with some shrewdness!), i.e,

$$\begin{split} I_{2}(\gamma_{1},n,\nu) &= \int \frac{d^{2-2\epsilon}\vec{q}}{\pi\sqrt{2}} (\vec{q}^{\ 2})^{i\nu-\frac{3}{2}} e^{in\phi} (\vec{q}^{\ 2})^{-\gamma_{1}} \frac{1}{\left[(\vec{q}-\vec{p}_{H})^{2} \right] \left[(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2} + (\vec{p}_{H}-z_{H}\vec{q})^{2} \right]} \\ &= \frac{(\vec{p}_{H}^{\ 2})^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{in\phi}H}{z_{H}^{2}\sqrt{2\pi\epsilon}} \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}+\gamma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}-i\nu+\epsilon\right)\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\gamma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}+i\nu-\epsilon\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+n-\epsilon\right)} \right] \\ &\times \int_{0}^{1} d\Delta \left(\Delta + \frac{(1-\Delta)}{z_{H}} \right)^{n} \left[\left(\Delta + \frac{(1-\Delta)}{z_{H}^{2}} \right) \vec{p}_{H}^{\ 2} + \frac{(1-\Delta)(1-z_{H})m_{H}^{2}}{z_{H}^{2}} \right]^{-\frac{5}{2}-\gamma_{1}+i\nu-\frac{n}{2}-\epsilon} \\ &\times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\gamma_{1}+\frac{n}{2}+i\nu-\epsilon,\frac{5}{2}+\gamma_{1}-i\nu+\frac{n}{2}+\epsilon,1+n-\epsilon,\zeta\right) \ , \qquad \zeta \xrightarrow{\Delta \to 1} 1 \end{split}$$

• Extracting singular part

$$\begin{split} I_{2,\mathrm{as}}(\gamma_1, n, \nu) &= \frac{(\vec{p}_H^2)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \gamma_1 + i\nu - \epsilon} e^{in\phi_H} \Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{(1-z_H)\sqrt{2}\pi^{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{\left(m_H^2 + (1-z_H)\vec{p}_H^2\right)} \int_0^1 d\Delta (1-\Delta)^{-\epsilon-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{(\vec{p}_H^2)^{-\frac{3}{2} - \gamma_1 + i\nu - \epsilon} e^{in\phi_H} \Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{(1-z_H)\sqrt{2}\pi^{\epsilon}} \frac{1}{\left(m_H^2 + (1-z_H)\vec{p}_H^2\right)} \end{split}$$

• Replacement: $I_2 = I_{2,as} + (I_2 - I_{2,as}) \equiv I_{2,as} + I_{2,reg}$

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >