Dark Matter phenomenology at direct detection experiments

Riccardo Catena

Chalmers University of Technology

November 14, 2022



Swedish Research Council



Overview

The main purpose of this seminar is to review a selection of "theoretical frameworks" that are currently used in the analysis of DM direct detection data

- Each framework has an associated DM particle phenomenology which I will briefly review
- I will place the empahsis on the interdisciplinary character of the field, where astro-, particle, nuclear and solid state physics play an important role.

Outline

- Evidence for DM, and motivation for DM direct detection
- Basic principles of DM direct detection

- WIMP-induced nuclear recoils
- Sub-GeV DM-induced electron transitions
- Summary and conclusion

Evidence for DM / Overview

 How do we know that DM actually exist? The evidence for DM is based on the gravitational pull it exerts on stars, galaxies and light from luminous sources



Evidence for DM / Large scale structures

Wayne T. Hu PhD thesis, "Wandering in the Background: A CMB Explorer"; astro-ph/9508126



Evidence for DM in the Milky Way



F. locco, M. Pato and G. Bertone, "Evidence for dark matter in the inner Milky Way," Nature Phys. 11, 245 (2015)

Basic principles of DM direct detection

Face-on view of our galaxy:



 The sun's orbital motion induces a flux of DM particles through our planet

- DM direct detection experiments search for signals induced by this flux of DM particles in terrestrial detectors
- Expected rate of DM "signal events"



Two examples of DM signals

WIMP-induced nuclear recoils

- WIMP: DM candidate with mass in the GeV - 100 TeV range and with interactions "at the week scale"
- WIMPs with typical speeds around $v \sim 10^{-3}$ are expected to induce nuclear recoils of about few keV or so
- The search for WIMP-induced nuclear recoils is currently led by xenon and argon detectors, e.g. LZ, XENON1T, PandaX, Dark-Side

Two examples of DM signals

WIMP-induced nuclear recoils

- WIMP: DM candidate with mass in the GeV - 100 TeV range and with interactions "at the week scale"
- WIMPs with typical speeds around $v \sim 10^{-3}$ are expected to induce nuclear recoils of about few keV or so
- The search for WIMP-induced nuclear recoils is currently led by xenon and argon detectors, e.g. LZ, XENON1T, PandaX, Dark-Side

Sub-GeV DM-induced electron transitions

- Sub-GeV DM: paradigm where DM has mass in the MeV - GeV range, and primarily interacts with electrons
- The energy deposited in sub-GeV DM-induced electron transitions is expected to be of the order of 10 eV
- The search for Sub-GeV DMinduced electron transitions is led by Si and Ge detectors in the 0.5
 10 MeV mass range, and by argon and xenon detectors above 10 MeV
- E.g.: DAMIC, SENSEI, EDEL-WEISS, CDMS, XENON, Dark-Side

WIMP-induced nuclear recoils

General considerations

- In any theoretical framework for DM-nucleus scattering, the amplitude for non-relativistic DM scattering by free nucleons, $\mathcal{M}_{\chi N}$, plays an important role
- It is often assumed to be a function of the momentum transfer only, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_{\chi N}=\mathcal{M}_{\chi N}(q)$
- \blacksquare From $\mathcal{M}_{\chi N}$, one calculates the cross section for DM-target nucleus scattering

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_T}{\mathrm{d}E_R} = \frac{m_T}{2\pi v^2} \frac{1}{2J_T + 1} \sum_{\mathrm{spins}} |T_{fi}|^2 \,,$$

where $E_R = |\mathbf{q}|^2 / (2m_T)$, $T_{fi} = -\sum_{j=1}^A \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^A \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k}_\ell}{(2\pi)^3} \right) \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}_j^* (\mathbf{k}_1^j, \dots, \mathbf{k}_A^j) M_{\chi N_j} \boldsymbol{\psi}_i (\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_A)}{\sqrt{16 E_{\mathbf{p}} E_{\mathbf{k}_j} E_{\mathbf{p}'} E_{\mathbf{k}'_j}}}$ and $\mathbf{k}^j = \mathbf{k}_{ij} + \sigma_i^{\chi j}$

and $\mathbf{k}_{i}^{j} = \mathbf{k}_{i} + q \delta_{i}^{j}$ Nuclear wave functions

Spin-independent and -dependent interactions

Experimental results presented in terms of,

$$\sigma_{\rm N}^{SI} = \frac{\mu_{\chi N}^2}{\pi} (c_1^N)^2$$

For spin-dependent interactions, $\mathcal{M}_{\chi N} = c_4^N \langle \mathbf{S}_N \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\chi} \rangle$

Experimental results presented in terms of,



J. Aalbers *et al.*, "First Dark Matter Search Results from the LUX-ZEPLIN (LZ) Experiment," arXiv:2207.03764

SUSY WIMPs

- SUSY neutralinos are the most extensively investigated DM candidate
- WIMP-quark interactions are given by

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\rm SI} &= f_q \bar{\chi} \chi \bar{q} q \\ &+ g_q \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \partial^\nu \chi (\bar{q} \gamma_\mu \partial_\nu q - \partial_\nu \bar{q} \gamma_\mu q) \end{split}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm SD} = f_q \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \chi \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} (c_q + d_q \gamma_5) q$$

 Effective couplings non-trivially depend on SUSY parameters: enhancements and cancellations are possible



M. Chakraborti, L. Roszkowski, S. Trojanowski, "GUT-constrained supersymmetry and dark matter in light of the new $(g - 2)_{\mu}$ determination," JHEP **05** (2021), 252

Non-relativistic effective theories

 In the non-relativistic limit, *M*_{χN} is constrained by Galilean invariance and momentum conservation. Consequently it can at most depend on 2 3D momenta:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\chi N} = \mathcal{M}_{\chi N}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}^{\perp})$$

where $v^{\perp} = v + q/(2\mu_{\chi N})$

Furthermore, in the non-relativistic limit $|{\pmb q}|/m_N\ll 1$ and $|{\pmb v}|\ll 1,$ which implies

$$\mathcal{M}_{\chi N} = \sum_{i < \infty} c_i^N \left< \mathcal{O}_i \right>$$

where \mathcal{O}_i are quantum mechanical operators: $\mathcal{O}_4 = \mathbf{S}_{\chi} \cdot \mathbf{S}_N$, $\mathcal{O}_7 = \mathbf{S}_{\chi} \cdot v^{\perp}$, etc...

J. Fan, M. Reece and L. T. Wang, "Non-relativistic effective theory of dark matter direct detection," JCAP 11 (2010), 042

A. L. Fitzpatrick, W. Haxton, E. Katz, N. Lubbers and Y. Xu, "The Effective Field Theory of Dark Matter Direct Detection," JCAP 02 (2013), 004

Non-relativistic effective theories



E. Aprile et al., "Effective field theory search for highenergy nuclear recoils using the XENON100 dark matter detector," Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.4, 042004



J. Xia *et al.*, "PandaX-II Constraints on Spin-Dependent WIMP-Nucleon Effective Interactions," Phys. Lett. B **792** (2019), 193-198



G. Angloher et al., "Limits on Dark Matter Effective Field Theory Parameters with CRESST-II," Eur. Phys. J. C $\bf 79$ (2019) no.1, 43

Including mesons: Chiral Effective Field Theory

 Non-relativistic effective theories do not account for meson exchange effects in DM-nucleus scattering:



 Meson exchange effects induce a *q*-dependence in the c_i^N coupling constants,

$$c_i^N = c_i^N(\boldsymbol{q})$$

 This q-dependence can be computed in Chiral Effective Field Theory

F. Bishara, J. Brod, B. Grinstein and J. Zupan, "Chiral Effective Theory of Dark Matter Direct Detection," JCAP **02** (2017), 009 Experimental search for WIMPpion couplings



E. Aprile *et al.*, "First results on the scalar WIMPpion coupling, using the XENON1T experiment," Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** (2019) no.7, 071301

Light WIMPs: Migdal effect

- DM-nucleus scattering can ionise isolated atoms
- For light WIMPs, the energy of the ejected electron can be larger than the associated nuclear recoil energy
- To model this effect, one has to focus on the overall DM-atom scattering, rather than on DM-nucleus scattering.
- DM-atom scattering is described by the initial and final state atomic wave functions

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{E_i}(\boldsymbol{x}_N, \{\boldsymbol{x}\}) &\simeq \Phi_{E_{ec}}(\{\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_N\}) \\ \Psi_{E_f}(\boldsymbol{x}_N, \{\boldsymbol{x}\}) &\simeq e^{i p_N \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_N} e^{i m_e \sum_i v \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_i} \\ &\times \Phi_{E'_{ec}}(\{\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_N\}) \end{split}$$

 The rate of atomic ionisations induced by this effect depends on the overlap of electron cloud wave functions



E. Aprile *et al.*, 'Phys. Rev. Lett. **123** (2019) no.24, 241803

Sub-GeV DM-induced electron transitions

General considerations

- In any theoretical framework for Sub-GeV DM-electron scattering, the amplitude for non-relativistic DM scattering by free electrons, $\mathcal{M}_{\chi e}$, plays a key role
- It is often assumed to be a function of the momentum transfer only, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_{\chi e} = \mathcal{M}_{\chi e}(q)$
- From $\mathcal{M}_{\chi e^{*}}$ one calculates the rate of DM-induced electron transitions in detectors

$$\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R} = \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v} \, |\boldsymbol{v}| f(\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{v}_{\oplus}) \, \mathrm{d}\sigma_{1 \rightarrow 2}$$

where

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{1\to 2} = \frac{1}{16m_{\chi}^2 m_e^2 |v|} \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{(2\pi)^3} |\int \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{(2\pi)^3} \psi_2^*(k+q) \mathcal{M}_{\chi e}(q) \psi_1(k)|^2 \,\delta(E_2 - E_1)$$

The dark photon model

- It extends the Standard Model by an additional U(1) and a DM candidate
- The "dark sector" Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_D &= \bar{\chi} (i \gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_\chi) \chi + \frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ m_{A'}^2 A'_\mu A'^\mu + \varepsilon F_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

The covariant derivative D_µ is defined as

$$D_{\mu}\chi = \partial_{\mu}\chi - ig_D A'_{\mu}\chi$$

 Recent compilation of direct detection constraints



R. Catena, D. Cole, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. Spaldin, W. Tarantino and E. Urdshals, "Dark matter - electron interactions in materials beyond the dark photon model," arXiv:2210.07305

The dark photon model



A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. "Constraints on Light Dark Matter Particles Interacting with Electrons from DAMIC at SNOLAB," Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) no.18, 181802

The dielectric function formalism

 If a perturbation of strength F couples DM to the electron density n

$$\mathcal{V}(t) = -\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r} \, n(\boldsymbol{r}) F(\boldsymbol{r}, t)$$

 then linear response theory predicts the DM-induced charged density

$$n_{\rm ind}(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) = \Pi(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) F(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)$$

Density-density correlation function

Π(q, ω) is related to the dielectric function

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon_r(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)} = 1 + \frac{4\pi\alpha}{q^2} \Pi(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)$$

In addition, DM-induced electron transition rate $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{R}$ and ϵ_r are related by

$$d\mathscr{R} = \frac{|F(q)|^2}{8m_e^2 m_\chi^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}q}{(2\pi)^3} \\ \times \int \mathrm{d}\omega \, \frac{q^2}{4\pi\alpha} \Im\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon_r(q,\omega)}\right)$$

 Data-driven calibration of transition rates:



S. Knapen, J. Kozaczuk and T. Lin, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) no.1, 015031

In-medium effects: screening

Density-density correlation function $\Pi(q,\omega)$ and $\epsilon_r(q,\omega)$ can be computed perturbatively:

$$\Pi(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) = \frac{\Pi^0(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)}{1 - U(\boldsymbol{q})\,\Pi^0(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)}$$

- For $|U(q)\Pi^0(q,\omega)| \gg 1$, the transition rate $d\mathscr{R}$ is suppressed by screening effects
- Sensitivity projections with and without screening effects for selected materials:



S. Knapen, J. Kozaczuk and T. Lin, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) no.1, 015031

In-medium effects: collective excitations

Density-density correlation function $\Pi(q,\omega)$ and $\epsilon_r(q,\omega)$ can be computed perturbatively:

$$\Pi(\boldsymbol{q},\omega) = \frac{\Pi^0(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)}{1 - U(\boldsymbol{q})\Pi^0(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)}$$

- For $U(q)\Re(\Pi^0(q,\omega)) \simeq 1$, the transition rate $d\mathscr{R}$ is enhanced by collective excitations
- Regions where collective excitations enhance $\Im(-1/\epsilon_r)$ for selected materials:



Y. Hochberg, Y. Kahn, N. Kurinsky, B. V. Lehmann, T. C. Yu and K. K. Berggren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127 (2021) no.15, 151802

Kramer-Kronig relations

From the basic requirement of $\Pi(q, t)$ being causal, i.e. $\Pi(q, t) = 0$ for t < 0, one finds

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\omega} \, \Im\left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon_r(\boldsymbol{q},\omega)}\right) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \, \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_r(\boldsymbol{q},0)}\right)$$

which implies a theoretical upper bound on the DM-induced electron transition rate dR:



R. Lasenby and A. Prabhu, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) no.9, 095009

Electromagnetic moments

- When $\mathcal{M}_{\chi e}(q) \to \mathcal{M}_{\chi e}(q, k)$, $\mathcal{M}_{\chi e}$ cannot be factored out in the $\mathrm{d}k$ integral in $\mathrm{d}\sigma_{1 \to 2}$
- This occurs when, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{\text{anapole}} &= \frac{g}{2\Lambda^2} \, \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5 \chi \, \partial^{\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \\ \mathscr{L}_{\text{magnetic}} &= \frac{g}{\Lambda} \, \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi \, F_{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

and in a variety of "light mediator models":

R. Catena, et al. "Dark matter - electron interactions in materials beyond the dark photon model" arXiv:2210.07305

 In this case, standard ionisation and crystal form factors do not provide an accurate description

Non-relativistic effective theories

In the non-relativistic limit, i.e. $|q|/m_e \ll 1$ and $|v| \ll 1$, $\mathcal{M}_{\chi e}$ admits an effective theory expansion (analogous to the one in the case of nuclear recoils)

$$\mathcal{M}_{\chi e} = \sum_{i < \infty} c_i \left< \mathcal{O}_i \right>$$

where \mathcal{O}_i are quantum mechanical operators: $\mathcal{O}_4 = \mathbf{S}_{\chi} \cdot \mathbf{S}_N$, $\mathcal{O}_7 = \mathbf{S}_{\chi} \cdot v^{\perp}$, etc...

 The rate of DM-induced electron transitions in materials can now be written as)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathscr{R}}{\mathrm{d}\ln\Delta E} = \frac{n_{\chi}}{128\pi m_{\chi}^2 m_e^2} \int \mathrm{d}q \, q \, \hat{\eta} \, (q, \Delta E) \sum_{l=1}^r \Re \left[\mathscr{R}_l^*(q, v) \overline{\mathscr{W}_l}(q, \Delta E) \right]$$
Particle physics input Response functions from solid state physics

R. Catena, T. Emken, N. A. Spaldin and W. Tarantino, "Atomic responses to general dark matter-electron interactions," Phys. Rev. Res. 2 (2020) no.3, 033195
R. Catena, T. Emken, M. Matas, N. A. Spaldin and E. Urdshals, "Crystal responses to general dark matterelectron interactions," Phys. Rev. Res. 3 (2021) no.3, 033149

Response function formalism

 \blacksquare The response functions $\mathcal{W}_l(q,\Delta E)$ admit the following compact representation

$$\mathcal{W}_{l}(\boldsymbol{q},\Delta E) = \frac{2}{\pi} \Delta E \sum_{\{1\},\{2\}} \mathcal{B}_{l} \,\delta(\Delta E - E_{2} + E_{1})$$

where the \mathcal{B}_i 's are (up to 5) material-specific electron wave function overlap integrals



R. Catena, et al. "Dark matter - electron interactions in materials beyond the dark photon model" arXiv:2210.07305

Response function formalism

Selected response functions for argon

R. Catena, T. Emken, N. A. Spaldin and W. Tarantino, "Atomic responses to general dark matter-electron interactions," Phys. Rev. Res. 2 (2020) no.3, 033195



 Recent XENON1T analysis based on our response function formalism

E. Aprile *et al.* (XENON Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022) no.2, 022001



Summary and conclusions

- I reviewed a selection of theoretical frameworks used in the analysis of DM direct detection data
- Focusing on WIMP-induced nuclear recoils, I highlighted some of the features of frameworks based on non-relativistic effective theories, and on chiral EFT
- Focusing on Sub-GeV DM-induced electron transitions, I placed the emphasis on the dielectric function formalism, and on non-relativistic effective theories
- The highly interdisciplinary character of the DM direct detection field calls for an increased collaboration between particle, nuclear and solid state physicists