




irfu

# Study of the Pygmy Dipole Resonance using neutron inelastic scattering at GANIL-SPIRAL2/NFS

Colloque GANIL 2023

Marine Vandebrouck (CEA Irfu/DPhN)


Iolanda Matea (IJCLab), Périne Miriot-Jaubert (CEA Irfu/DPhN)




# What is the nature of a nuclear excitation ?

In other words :  
How protons and neutrons contribute to  
the excitation strength ?

**Tool**  
scattering reaction



**Observables**  
Excitation energy,  $E_\gamma$  and cross section



D. Savran *et al.* Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018)



**Interpretation**  
Comparison to microscopic calculations


$$M_{p(n)} = \int \rho_{fi}^{p(n)}(r) r^{L+2} dr$$

$M$   
Multipole moment
 $L$   
Multipolarity of the transition

$\rho$   
Transition density

# Complementarity of the scattering experiments

During a scattering experiment, a linear combination of  $M_n$  and  $M_p$  is probed :  $M = b_n M_n + b_p M_p$   
 $b_{n,p}$  are the interaction strengths between the external field and  $n,p$  of the nucleus



A. Bernstein *et al.* Phys. Lett. B 103, 255 (1981)  
 E. Khan, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014306 (2022)

# Pygmy Dipole Resonance (PDR)

## PDR (Pygmy Dipole Resonance)

- oscillation of a neutron skin against a symmetric proton/neutron core
- additional E1 strength at lower energy





Figure extracted from A. Bracco *et al.* Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 106 (2019)

- Nuclear structure: study of the nature of dipole strength
- Astrophysical interest: PDR plays important role
  - as a constraint of the Equation of State
  - for the nucleosynthesis r process

# Microscopic structure of the PDR



$^{140}\text{Ce}$



Isoscalar probes → 4-6 MeV

Proton probe → selected states

Electromagnetic probe → 4-8 MeV

If several models are able to reproduce E1 strength at lower energy than the GDR, they do not agree on the fine structure

**New probes are necessary to resolve the complexity of the isospin character of the PDR**

→ study PDR in  $^{140}\text{Ce}$  using  $(n, n')$

D. Savran *et al.* Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018)




# Goal of the PDR study using (n,n') reaction

# Goal of the PDR study using (n,n')

WHY is it interesting ? (n,n') is an elementary probe:

- which does not require Coulomb correction
- complementary to (p,p') and to other reactions




A. Bernstein *et al.* Phys. Lett. B 103, 255 (1981)  
E. Khan, Phys. Rev. C 105, 014306 (2022)

# Goal of the PDR study using (n,n')

HOW do we proceed ? Experiment  $^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n')^{140}\text{Ce}^*(\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce} \leftrightarrow ^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n'\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce}$

1. Detect  $n'$  and  $\gamma$  in coincidence in order to select direct  $\gamma$  decays



**BUT :**

- $E_x = E^*(^{140}\text{Ce})$  reconstructed using the  $n'$  TOF. Few MeV energy resolution
- PARIS scintillators instead Ge detector. 2-3% energy resolution in the PDR energy region


**More difficult !**



# Goal of the PDR study using (n,n')


HOW do we proceed ? Experiment  $^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n')^{140}\text{Ce}^*(\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce} \leftrightarrow ^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n'\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce}$

1. Detect  $n'$  and  $\gamma$  in coincidence in order to select direct  $\gamma$  decays



D. Savran *et al.* Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018)

2. Measure the  $n'$  and  $\gamma$  angular distributions of a given excitation energy range to assess the  $1^-$  strength.



**BUT :**

- $E_x = E^*(^{140}\text{Ce})$  reconstructed using the  $n'$  TOF. Few MeV energy resolution
- PARIS scintillators instead Ge detector. 2-3% energy resolution in the PDR energy region


**More difficult !**



# Goal of the PDR study using (n,n')


HOW do we proceed ? Experiment  $^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n')^{140}\text{Ce}^*(\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce} \leftrightarrow ^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n'\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce}$

1. Detect n' and  $\gamma$  in coincidence in order to select direct  $\gamma$  decays




D. Savran *et al.* Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018)

2. Measure the n' and  $\gamma$  angular distributions of a given excitation energy range to assess the  $1^-$  strength.



3. For each  $1^-$  excited state/energy range: extract the (n,n') cross section



D. Savran *et al.* Phys. Lett. B 786 (2018)

BUT :

- $E_x = E^*(^{140}\text{Ce})$  reconstructed using the n' TOF. Few MeV energy resolution
- PARIS scintillators instead Ge detector. 2-3% energy resolution in the PDR energy region

**More difficult !**

# Goal of the PDR study using (n,n')

HOW do we proceed ? Experiment  $^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n')^{140}\text{Ce}^*(\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce} \leftrightarrow ^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n'\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce}$

## 4. Interpretation

- Compare the measured (n,n') to theoretical cross sections
- Compare the (p,p') data of the literature to the calculations

The comparison exp. vs theory for (n,n') and for (p,p') will **pin down the role of protons and neutrons in the PDR**

# Goal of the PDR study using (n,n')



HOW do we proceed ? Experiment  $^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n')^{140}\text{Ce}^*(\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce} \leftrightarrow ^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n'\gamma)^{140}\text{Ce}$

## 4. Interpretation

- Compare the measured (n,n') to theoretical cross sections
- Compare the (p,p') data of the literature to the calculations

The comparison exp. vs theory for (n,n') and for (p,p') will **pin down the role of protons and neutrons in the PDR**

Example of calculations: QRPA transition densities (Gogny D1M interaction) + DWBA calculations using a microscopic density-dependent potential model approach




QRPA (S. Péru) + DWBA-JLM (M. Dupuis)  
 QRPA S. Péru *et al.*, CEA DAM EPJA 55:232 (2009)  
 DWBA with JLM M. Dupuis *et al.*, PRC100, 044607 (2019)





# The experimental setup at GANIL-SPIRAL2/NFS

# The Neutrons For Science (NFS) facility




X. Ledoux et al, Eur. Phys.J. A (2021) 57:257

# The Neutrons For Science (NFS) facility



# The Neutrons For Science (NFS) facility



X. Ledoux et al, Eur. Phys.J. A (2021) 57:






# The Neutrons For Science (NFS) facility

Quasi-mono-energetic / continuous neutron spectra

Courtesy P. Roussel-Chomaz


p + **thin target** ( ${}^7\text{Li}$  or  ${}^9\text{Be}$ )  
 $E_n = \text{up to } 31 \text{ MeV}$



E MeV	Flux at 5 m
5	$1,7 \cdot 10^4 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{MeV/s}$
10	$5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{MeV/s}$
20	$2,3 \cdot 10^4 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{MeV/s}$
30	$1,2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{MeV/s}$

Example :  
 p + Li at  $20 \mu\text{A}$   
 Neutron yield in the mono-energetic peak  $1,2 \cdot 10^9 \text{ n/sr}/\mu\text{C}$


deuteron + **thick converter** (1cm)  
 $E_{\text{max}} = 40 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $\langle E \rangle = 14 \text{ MeV}$



E MeV	Flux at 5 m
0-40	$6 \cdot 10^7 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{s}$
5	$2 \cdot 10^6 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{MeV/s}$
14	$5 \cdot 10^6 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{MeV/s}$
30	$6 \cdot 10^5 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{MeV/s}$

Example :  
 40 MeV d + Be at  $50 \mu\text{A}$   
 Neutron yield in  $4\pi$   $1,8 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ n/s}$


# Experimental setup




# Experimental setup





48 MONSTER modules  
at 3m from the Ce target



8 PARIS clusters  
at 23cm from the Ce target



Natural Ce target  
(<sup>140</sup>Ce – 89%)  
at 5 m from the converter



1.5 mm-thick Li converter  
<sup>7</sup>Li(p,n)<sup>7</sup>Be






# Online and first preliminary results


# Online and first preliminary results

## 1) Elastic scattering reaction channel

$^{12}\text{C}(n,n)^{12}\text{C}$



$^{140}\text{Ce}(n,n)^{140}\text{Ce}$




● Experimental points

Extracted differential cross-section without any normalization, assuming 8% intrinsic efficiency at 30.7 MeV for each MONSTER detector

# Online and first preliminary results


## 2) Inelastic scattering reaction channel : study of the first $2^+$ of $^{12}\text{C}$ ( $E(2^+) = 4.439 \text{ MeV}$ )



➔ Selection of direct  $\gamma$  decay


# Online and first preliminary results

## 2) Inelastic scattering reaction channel : study of the first $2^+$ of $^{12}\text{C}$ ( $E(2^+) = 4.439 \text{ MeV}$ )



➔ Selection of direct  $\gamma$  decay



$^{12}\text{C}(n,n')^{12}\text{C}^*(\gamma)^{12}\text{C}$   
 $\gamma$  angular distribution in PARIS



➔ Minimum at  $90^\circ$ ,  $2^+$  state ?

# Online and first preliminary results

## 3) Inelastic scattering reaction channel : toward PDR in $^{140}\text{Ce}$




- Events in the PDR energy region not observed in other reaction channels (n,xn)
- next step : study of the  $\gamma$  and n angular distributions



# Conclusion and outlook

- Experiment dedicated to the study of the **PDR using  $(n,n')$**  reaction performed in September 2022
  - the experiment went well
  - ongoing analysis
- **First nuclear structure experiment at NFS** (and so at SPIRAL2 !)
- **NFS is unique in term of flux** for fast neutrons
- If this study is successful, it can **open a original program** dedicated to the study of nuclear structure using fast neutrons

In a few days at NFS  
Study of the  $^{56}\text{Ni}$  structure using  $^{58}\text{Ni}(n,3n)$  with EXOGAM  
(spokesperson E. Clément)





# Collaboration

M. Vandebrouck<sup>1</sup>, I. Matea<sup>2</sup>,

Y. Blumenfeld<sup>2</sup>, A. Bogenschutz<sup>1</sup>, D. Doré<sup>1</sup>, M. Dupuis<sup>3</sup>, A.M. Frelin<sup>4</sup>, V. Lapoux<sup>1</sup>, X. Ledoux<sup>4</sup>, T. Martinez<sup>6</sup>, P. Miriot-Jaubert<sup>1</sup>, S. Peru<sup>3</sup>, D. Ramos<sup>4</sup>, E. Rey-Herme<sup>1</sup>, D. Thisse<sup>1</sup>, N.L. Achouri<sup>5</sup>, L. Al Ayoubi<sup>2</sup>, D. Beaumel<sup>2</sup>, E. Berthoumieux<sup>1</sup>, S. Calinescu<sup>11</sup>, D. Cano Ott<sup>6</sup>, M. Ciemala<sup>7</sup>, A. Corsi<sup>1</sup>, F. Crespi<sup>12</sup>, Y. Demane<sup>10</sup>, W. Dong<sup>2</sup>, O. Dorvaux<sup>8</sup>, J. Dudouet<sup>10</sup>, D. Etasse<sup>5</sup>, J. Gibelin<sup>5</sup>, F. Gunsing<sup>1</sup>, M. Harakeh<sup>9,4</sup>, M. Kmicik<sup>7</sup>, M. Lebois<sup>2</sup>, M. Lewitowicz<sup>4</sup>, M. Mac Cormick<sup>2</sup>, A. Maj<sup>7</sup>, D. Ramos<sup>4</sup>, Ch. Schmitt<sup>8</sup>, M. Stanoui<sup>11</sup>, O. Stezowski<sup>10</sup>, Ch. Theisen<sup>1</sup>, L. Thulliez<sup>1</sup>, G. Tocabens<sup>2</sup>,  
PARIS and MONSTER Collaborations



1. CEA Saclay DRF/Irfu/DPhN (France)
2. IJCLab (France)
3. CEA Bruyères le Chatel DAM/DIF (France)
4. GANIL (France)
5. LPC Caen (France)
6. CIEMAT (Spain)
7. Institut of Nuclear Physics PAN Krakow (Poland)
8. Université de Strasbourg, Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien
9. KVI-CART (The Netherlands)
10. IP2I Lyon (France)
11. IFIN-HH, Bucharest (Romania)
12. Milano University and INFN (Italy)



Thank you for your attention !

