



#### Extending the CMS physics program with the Precision Proton Spectrometer (PPS)

#### A. Bellora

Centre de Physique des Particules de Marseille Marseille, 24<sup>th</sup> October 2022









### **Structure of the presentation**

- Introduction to PPS
- Proton reconstruction
- Physics analyses with proton tagging
- PPS in LHC Run 3 and beyond









#### **Introduction to PPS**







# PPS physics case

- Study central exclusive production (CEP) at the LHC
  - Protons remain intact
- Proton tagging provides:
  - Full reconstruction of the final state
  - Strong background rejection
- Exploit LHC as a photon-photon collider:
  - Test QED processes (favoured at high mass)
  - Search for BSM physics:
    - Enhancements over high-mass tails
    - New resonances
    - High sensitivity to anomalous couplings









### **Precision Proton Spectrometer (PPS)**



- LHC magnetic field bends protons that survived the interaction in CMS:
- Tracking and timing detectors installed in Roman Pots (RPs), to measure:
  - Track displacement from beam center  $\rightarrow$  Fraction of momentum lost by the proton ( $\xi$ )
  - Time of arrival on both sides
- er  $\rightarrow$  Fraction of momentum lost by the proton ( $\xi$  $\rightarrow$  Longitudinal coordinate of the vertex (z)



CMS



### **Precision Proton Spectrometer (PPS)**





otons that survived the interaction in CMS: s installed in Roman Pots (RPs), to modelling n center  $\rightarrow$  Fraction of momentum lo → Longitudinal coordinate

Beam pipe insertions that approach the LHC beam down to  $\sim$ 1.5 mm

h 2



CMS

A. Bellora - Extending the CMS physics program with PPS - 6



INFN



# PPS radiation environment









# PPS throughout Run 2



- 2016  $\rightarrow$  210-far + 220-near stations:
  - Legacy TOTEM Si-strips (5+5 planes, 66  $\mu m$  pitch, 300  $\mu m$  thick)
- 2017  $\rightarrow$  210-far + 220-far stations for tracking + 1 cylindrical RP for timing:
  - Tracking: Legacy TOTEM Si-strips + 3D Si-pixels
  - Timing: 3 planes of single-layer scCVD diamonds + 1 UFSD (LGAD) plane
- 2018  $\rightarrow$  same as 2017:
  - Tracking: only 3D Si-pixels
  - Timing: 2 planes of single-layer scCVD diamonds + 2 planes of double-layer

Diamonds







# **3D pixels for PPS Run 2**

- Silicon 3D pixel sensors:
  - Optimal choice for high radiation hardness
  - Decouple thickness from drift path length
  - Low depletion voltage (<10 V)
  - Slim/active edge
- Sensors for PPS Run 2:
  - Produced at CNM with double-sided process
  - 230 µm-thick sensors
  - 200 μm-deep, 10 μm-diameter columns
  - $150 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$  pixel size
  - 2×2 or 3×2 matrix of 52×80 pixels













# Run 2 data-taking

- PPS collected more than 110 fb<sup>-1</sup> during Run 2:
  - Almost 100 fb<sup>-1</sup> with pixels
  - $\sim$ 84% of the CMS total luminosity
  - Very stable running in 2017-2018











#### **Proton reconstruction**









### **Detector alignment**



Multi-step procedure: base measurement in dedicated fill, then corrected fill-by-fill

- Alignment fill: determine the beam position and the relative detector positions
  - Low intensity (2-3 bunches), detectors closer to the beam, vertical RPs inserted
  - Data collected for each LHC setting that will be used during future data-taking
  - Elastic scattering kinematic properties used to find the beam center
- Corrections: match dedicated observables to their alignment fill counterpart



CMS

CMS-PAS-PRO-21-001 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-001





# Proton transport

- Reconstruct the proton kinematics at the IP (*d*\*) from the measurements at the RP positions (*d*)
- Propagation modelled via the transport matrix T, containing the optical functions:  $d = T \cdot d^*$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \theta_x \\ y \\ \theta_y \\ \theta_y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_x & L_x & 0 & 0 & D_x \\ v'_x & L'_x & 0 & 0 & D'_x \\ 0 & 0 & v_y & L_y & D_y \\ 0 & 0 & v'_y & L'_y & D'_y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^* \\ \theta^*_x \\ y^* \\ \theta^*_y \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}$$

• Simplified version with leading terms:

CMS-PAS-PRO-21-001

CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-001

$$x = (v_x(\xi)) \cdot x^* + (L_x(\xi)) \cdot \theta_x^* + (D_x(\xi)) \cdot \xi$$
  

$$y = (v_y(\xi)) \cdot y^* + (L_y(\xi)) \cdot \theta_y^* + (D_y(\xi)) \cdot \xi$$
  
Magnifications Effective lenths Dispersions







### Optics calibration

- Precise knowledge of the LHC beam optics is needed for proper reconstruction
  - Nominal optics calculated with MAD-X (accelerator simulation based on LHC parameters)
- Further calibration with data:
  - $L_y$  calibrated using elastic events in the alignment run
  - $D_x$  derived with two methods:
    - Determination of the 'pinch' point ( $L_y = 0$ ) in min-bias events
    - Validation with the  $\mu\mu$  sample
- Optical functions vary with crossing angle
  - This means variable acceptance during data-taking!









## **Validation with exclusive** $\mu\mu$ events

- Select two oppositely charged  $\mu$ :
  - $p_T > 50 \text{ GeV}$
  - $m(\mu^+\mu^-) > 110 \text{ GeV}$
  - Low acoplanarity
    - $1 |\Delta \phi(\mu^+ \mu^-)|/\pi < 0.009$
  - No close tracks to the  $\mu\mu$  vertex
    - Closer than 0.5 mm
- Compute the  $\xi$  from  $\mu\mu$  with:  $\xi_{\pm}(\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}(p_{T}(\mu^{+})e^{\pm\eta(\mu^{+})} + p_{T}(\mu^{-})e^{\pm\eta(\mu^{-})})$
- Compare with the proton  $\xi$ 
  - Use results to further improve the proton  $\xi$  reconstruction



CMS-PAS-PRO-21-001 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-001







# PPS mass/rapidity acceptance









0.2

#### **Reconstruction strategies**

• Single-RP protons:

CMS

- Use data from only one  $RP \rightarrow partial reconstruction$ 
  - $\xi = \frac{x}{D_x}$  and  $\theta_y^* = \frac{y}{L_y(\xi)}$
- Lower  $\xi$  resolution, less sensitive to systematics
- Multi-RP protons:
  - Combine measurements in 2 RPs (same sector)
  - Minimize  $\chi^2 = \sum_{i:RPs} \sum_{q:x,y} \left[ \frac{d_q^i (T^i d^*)_q}{\sigma_q^i} \right]^2$
  - Better resolution, higher systematics (at high  $\xi$ )





effect on  $\xi$ 







#### Physics analyses with proton tagging





### **Probing AQGC with exclusive** $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow VV$

- Search for anomalous WW/ZZ (VV) exclusive production at high mass:
  - Exploring the hadronic decay channel (each V decaying into a boosted and merged jet)
  - Require intact protons on both sides
  - Look for non-resonant enhancements over high-mass tails (AQGC/EFT)
- Why not aiming for SM production?
  - ZZ not allowed at tree level
  - WW exclusive production concentrated in the low mass region:
    - Higher QCD background
    - Out of reach with the Run 2 trigger thresholds on jets
    - Dedicated trigger will be used in Run 3





CMS





#### **Selection on central variables**

#### Trigger:

CMS

- Combination of triggers based on the highest jet  $p_T$  and sum of the  $p_T$  of all jets
- >99% efficiency for  $m(j_1j_2) > 1126$  GeV

#### Selection:

- $\geq$  2 V-tagged ( $\tau_{21}^{DDT}$  < 0.75) AK8 (large radius) jets
- $|\eta(j_1, j_2)| < 2.5$
- $p_T(j_1, j_2) > 200 \text{ GeV}$
- 60 GeV <  $m_{pruned}(j_1, j_2)$  < 107 GeV
- $|\eta(j_1) \eta(j_2)| < 1.3$
- $p_T(j_1)/p_T(j_2) < 1.3$
- $a = |1 \Delta \phi(j_1 j_2)/\pi| < 0.01$
- 1126 GeV <  $m(j_1j_2)$  < 2500 GeV
- $\geq$  1 proton per side of PPS (in acceptance)
- Backgrounds:
  - Main: QCD di-jet production (simulated with Pythia8) + pileup protons
  - Others:  $t\bar{t}$ , W/Z + jets (Madgraph/Powheg) + pileup protons



CMS-PAS-SMP-21-014 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-004







- WW/ZZ separation based on [sub]leading jet pruned mass  $m(j_1)[m(j_2)]$ 
  - No dependence observed on anomalous coupling value









- *pp* vs. *VV* matching with:
  - Mass match ratio: 1 - m(VV)/m(pp),
    - $m(pp) = \sqrt{s\xi_1\xi_2}$
  - Rapidity difference: y(pp) - y(VV),  $y(pp) = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)$
- Two signal regions:
  - $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ : both protons from the interaction
  - *o*: one proton mistakenly chosen from pileup



CMS-PAS-SMP-21-014 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-004







## Background estimation



Region A	Region B
a < 0.01	a > 0.01
&	&
Signal region	Signal region
Region C	Region D
a < 0.01	a > 0.01
&	&
( 1 - m(VV)/m(pp)  > 1.0	( 1 - m(VV)/m(pp)  > 1.0
or	or
w(mp) = w(VV)  > 0.5)	w(mp) = w(VV)  > 0.5)

- Fully data-driven background estimation: sidebands method
  - $N_A = N_C \times N_B / N_D$
  - Other sidebands considered for systematics





### Results



- Results show no excess over the BG-only expectation in both WW and  $Z\bar{Z}$
- Main systematic uncertainties:
  - Signal and BG: proton  $\xi$  and jet energy scale
  - BG-only: mainly affected by low statistics in the sidebands



CMS-PAS-SMP-21-014 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-004





100.0 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

erved 95% CL limi

Expected 95% CL limit  $\pm$  10

Expected 95% CL limit ± 20

pected 95% CL limit

**CMS-TOTEM** 

Preliminary

2000

1500

0

0 1000

NO -500

 $\mathbf{X}$ 500

<

N

#### CMS **Results**

- Limits on fiducial anomalous production cross sections:  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow pWWp)_{0.04 < \xi < 0.2, m(WW) > 1 \text{ TeV}} < 67(53^{+34}_{-19}) \text{ fb}$  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow pZZp)_{0.04 < \xi < 0.2, m(WW) > 1 \text{ TeV}} < 43(62^{+33}_{-20}) \text{ fb}$
- Limits set on dim-6 AQGC parameters: with/without unitarization 2500 2000 2000 1500
  - Unitarization  $\rightarrow$  EFT cross section diverges at high mass
  - Prevented by 'clipping' the distribution (cannot be done on ZZ channel)
  - Also converted to 2D limits





INFN

- Limits also converted to dim-8 AQGC parameters  $(f_{M,0...7}/\Lambda^4)$ 
  - Under the assumption all couplings are zero except one

Coupling	Observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit	Observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit
	No clipping	Clipping at 1.4 TeV
$ f_{M,0}/\Lambda^4 $	$66.0 (60.0) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$	79.8 (78.2) $\text{TeV}^{-4}$
$ f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4 $	245.5 (214.8) $\text{TeV}^{-4}$	$306.8 (306.8) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$
$ f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4 $	9.8 (9.0) $\text{TeV}^{-4}$	$11.9 (11.8) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$
$ f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4 $	$73.0 \ (64.6) \ \mathrm{TeV^{-4}}$	91.3 (92.3) $\text{TeV}^{-4}$
$ f_{M,4}/\Lambda^4 $	$36.0 (32.9) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$	$43.5 (42.9) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$
$ f_{M,5}/\Lambda^4 $	67.0 (58.9) $\mathrm{TeV}^{-4}$	$83.7 (84.1) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$
$ f_{M,7}/\Lambda^4 $	$490.9 (429.6) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$	$613.7 (613.7) \text{ TeV}^{-4}$

• Comparison with other analyses  $\rightarrow$  not very straightforward

- Dim-6 limits: 15-20x tighter than CMS Run 1 exclusive WW analysis with unitarization
- Dim-8 limits: limits on some parameters are close to CMS results in same-sign WW or WZ channels, after unitarization







# **Exclusive** $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

- Search for LbyL scattering with proton tagging
- Full Run 2 dataset, 102.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Extending Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 011801
- Matching requirement in the mass and rapidity between  $\gamma\gamma$  and protons:

$$m_{\gamma\gamma} = \sqrt{s\xi_1\xi_2} \quad y_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2}\right)$$

- Main background: inclusive  $\gamma\gamma$  production + pileup
- One candidate observed:
  - BG prediction of 1.1 events with  $2\sigma$  matching



#### **Event selection:**

- $\geq$  2 isolated  $\gamma$  (*H*/*E* < 0.10)
- $|\eta(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)| < 2.5$
- $p_T(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) > 75 \text{ GeV}$ • 100 GeV for 2017/8
- $m(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$
- $1 |\Delta \phi(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) / \pi| < 0.0025$
- 1 proton per side of PPS within acceptance







# **Exclusive** $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



within acceptance







# **Exclusive** $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

- Search for LbyL scattering with proton tagging
- Full Run 2 dataset, 102.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Extending Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 011801
- Matching requirement in the mass and rapid Limits also set for ALP production  $(\gamma \gamma \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ as a function of  $m_{ALP}$  and its coupling  $f^{-1}$ : strongest limits in the 500-2000 GeV range
- Main background: inclusive γγ production -
- One candidate observed:
  - BG prediction of 1.1 events with  $2\sigma$  matching



within acceptance



- Search for missing mass produced in association with a Z boson or photon in proton-tagged events
- Exploit the high-precision proton momentum measurement from PPS
- Search for weakly interacting BSM massive particles
  - QED interactions are favoured over QCD processes
  - Broad invariant mass spectrum explored (600-1600 GeV)

#### Searching for missing mass with $ZI\gamma$

- A novel technique to search for new particles at the LHC:
  - Use the so-called missing mass:
    - $m_{miss}^{2} = \left[ \left( p_{p_{2}}^{in} + p_{p_{2}}^{in} \right) \left( p_{V} + p_{p_{1}}^{out} + p_{p_{2}}^{out} \right) \right]^{2}$





CMS

**CMS-PAS-EXO-19-009** OTEM-NOTE-2022-003



### Searching for missing mass with $ZI\gamma$





- 2017 data, 37.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> integrated luminosity
- Signal modelled with a simplified dedicated MC generator
- Main background: non-exclusive  $Z/\gamma$  production + protons from pileup
  - Data-driven estimation by mixing uncorrelated protons with MC



CMS

CMS-PAS-EXO-19-009 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-003



#### Searching for missing mass with $ZI\gamma$



- Bump search over missing mass spectrum
  - No major local excess/deficit observed
  - Larger dataset will be analysed



CMS

CMS-PAS-EXO-19-009 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-003 • Setting 95% CL on fiducial cross section as a function of  $m_X$ 



INFŃ

### **CEP of top quark pairs**

- First search for top quark-antiquark pair production with intact protons
- Low cross section  $\mathcal{O}(0.3 \text{ fb})$  in the PPS acceptance
  - Signal concentrated at low  $t\bar{t}$  mass, where BG is dominant
- 2017 dataset: 29.4 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Two  $t\bar{t}$  decay channels studied:  $\ell\ell$  and  $\ell$ +jets
- Proton matching criteria used as BDT inputs or kinematic fitting constraints







CMS

0.2 0.4 (m<sup>(reco)</sup> - m<sup>(gen)</sup>)/n

**CMS-TOTEM** 

Simulation Preliminary

ℓ +jets channel

-0.4

-0.2

0

0.07

0.06

0.05

0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01





### **CEP of top quark pairs**

- MVA approach used to tag exclusive  $t\bar{t}$  events
- Cross section upper limits extracted from multivariate discriminant distributions:

CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-002

• Observed combined 95% CL limit: 0.59 pb  $(1.14^{+1.2}_{-0.6} \text{ expected})$ 











#### PPS in LHC Run 3 and beyond







### New detectors for PPS Run 3

- New tracker with 3D pixel silicon sensors:
  - Different technology wrt. Run 2
  - Internal movement system to improve radiation tolerance
- New timing detectors:
  - Only double-diamond detector planes
  - Improved electronics to optimize performance
  - Add two detector stations per side of CMS













## PPS in HL-LHC

- New proposal for HL-LHC:
  - Extending the mass acceptance range in two stages (350 GeV 2 TeV in Run 2+3)
    - 1. 133 GeV 2.7 TeV with the first 3 stations
    - 2. 43 GeV 2.7 TeV adding the fourth
- Extend the current SM and BSM physics program
- Final decision on detector technologies to be taken







#### arXiv:2103.02752







- The CMS PPS tracker and proton reconstruction performance in Run 2 was studied and specialized techniques were developed for proton reconstructions
- Protons are now being used for SM and BSM physics analyses, opening up new strategies for the CMS physics program:
  - Top quark pair production
  - Anomalous vector boson pair exclusive production
  - High-mass diphoton exclusive production
  - Missing mass in association with *Z* boson or photon
- PPS has prepared new detectors for Run 3 and is willing to take part to HL-LHC







#### **Thanks for your attention**









# BACKUP







# **Diffractive** *pp* interactions



t-channel processes, common denominator: colourless neutral particle exchange
 It happens either via QED (γ) or QCD (IP) processes













- 2 sectors (45 and 56)
- In each sector: 2 tracking stations + 1(2) timing stations in Run 2(3)
- In each tracking station: 2 vertical RPs (only for special runs) + 1 horizontal



# Strips efficiency components



**Multi-tracking efficiency** 

#### **Strips detection efficiency**









#### **Multi-RP proton efficiency**













CMS



# Fill-by-fill alignment









# **Optics calibration**



• Horizontal dispersion calibrated for different crossing angles:

• Interpolated for values in between







# Vertical effective length









#### **Proton** *t* **reconstruction**

Four-momentum transfer squared  $t = (p'-p)^2$ :  $t = t_0(\xi) - 4p_{nom}^2(1-\xi)\sin^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\theta_x^{*2} + \theta_y^{*2}}}{2}\right),$ 

$$t_0(\xi) = 2\left(m^2 + p_{nom}^2(1-\xi) - \sqrt{(m^2 + p_{nom}^2)(m^2 + p_{nom}^2(1-\xi)^2)}\right)$$





CMS







#### • Data: full Run 2 dataset, with two inserted RPs per sector

Year	Integrated Luminosity [fb <sup>-1</sup> ]	Fraction of CMS total
2016	9.9	28%
2017	37.2	90%
2018	52.9	89%
Total	100.0	73%

#### • Signal MC:

- Exclusive WW/ZZ produced via Forward Physics MC (FPMC), dim-6 AQGC model
- Multiple coupling points to scan sensitivity

#### • Background MC:

- Main: QCD di-jet production (simulated with Pythia8) + pileup protons
- Others:  $t\bar{t}$ , W/Z + jets (Madgraph/Powheg) + pileup protons



CMS-PAS-SMP-21-014 CERN-TOTEM-NOTE-2022-004





# **Conversion to dim-8 limits**

• Conversion done following the approach of Eboli et al., Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 9, 093013  $a_{0}^{W} = -\frac{M_{W}^{2}}{\pi \alpha_{em}} \left[ s_{w}^{2} \frac{f_{M,0}}{\Lambda^{2}} + 2c_{w}^{2} \frac{f_{M,2}}{\Lambda^{2}} + s_{w}c_{w} \frac{f_{M,4}}{\Lambda^{2}} \right]$   $a_{C}^{W} = -\frac{M_{W}^{2}}{\pi \alpha_{em}} \left[ -s_{w}^{2} \frac{f_{M,1}}{\Lambda^{2}} - c_{w}^{2} \frac{f_{M,3}}{\Lambda^{2}} + 2s_{w}c_{w} \frac{f_{M,5}}{\Lambda^{2}} + \frac{s_{w}^{2}}{2} \frac{f_{M,7}}{\Lambda^{2}} \right]$   $a_{0}^{Z} = -\frac{M_{W}^{2}c_{w}^{2}}{\pi \alpha_{em}} \left[ \frac{s_{w}^{2}}{2c_{w}^{2}} \frac{f_{M,0}}{\Lambda^{2}} + \frac{f_{M,2}}{\Lambda^{2}} - \frac{s_{w}}{2} \frac{f_{M,4}}{\Lambda^{2}} \right]$   $a_{C}^{Z} = -\frac{M_{W}^{2}c_{w}^{2}}{\pi \alpha_{em}} \left[ -\frac{s_{w}^{2}}{2c_{w}^{2}} \frac{f_{M,0}}{\Lambda^{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f_{M,3}}{\Lambda^{2}} - \frac{s_{w}}{c_{w}} \frac{f_{M,5}}{\Lambda^{2}} + \frac{s_{w}^{2}}{4c_{w}^{2}} \frac{f_{M,7}}{\Lambda^{2}} \right]$ 

• Assume all couplings to be 0 but one







# **Conversion to dim-8 limits**

• Further imposing:  $f_{M,0} = 2 \cdot f_{M,2} \rightarrow \text{vanishing } WWZ\gamma$  coupling

Coupling	Observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit	Observed (expected) 95% CL upper limit
	No clipping	Clipping at 1.4 TeV
$ a_0^W/\Lambda^2 $	$4.3 (3.9) \times 10^{-6}  \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	$5.2 (5.1)  imes 10^{-6}  \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$
$\left a_{C}^{W}/\Lambda^{2}\right $	$1.6~(1.4)  imes 10^{-5}  { m GeV^{-2}}$	$2.0(2.0) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$
$\left a_{0}^{Z}/\Lambda^{2}\right $	$0.9~(1.0) \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{GeV^{-2}}$	_
$ a_C^{\tilde{Z}}/\Lambda^2 $	$4.0~(4.5) \times 10^{-5}~{ m GeV^{-2}}$	-







# Dim-6 limits: 1D









## Dim-6 limits: 2D









# Track reconstruction algorithm

- Only events with  $\geq$ 3 planes with clusters are considered:
  - No reconstruction if  $\geq$ 60 hits/station or  $\geq$ 20 hits/plane
- Tracks are fitted with a straight line:
  - $\chi^2/NdF < 5$  is required
  - The combinatory procedure starts from 6-planes tracks and proceeds to fewer-plane ones
  - If number of tracks  $\geq$  10 the event is discarded









# Plane efficiency measurements

- Efficiency characterisation → mandatory task!
- Two-step procedure:
- 1. Evaluation of the efficiency map of each detector plane
  - Use data collected during physics run
  - Frequent measurement (every ~fb<sup>-1</sup>)
  - Efficiency evaluation as:

$$\varepsilon_{k} = \frac{N_{4,5,6}(k)}{N_{3}(\bar{k}) + N_{4,5,6}(k \vee \bar{k})}$$







### 'Radiation' efficiency measurement



#### 2. Evaluate the detection efficiency of the entire DP:

- Reference sample taken at the beginning of data-taking used to model the track distribution
- Compute the detection efficiency as the probability of having at least 3 efficient planes, assuming the plane efficiency computed in step 1
- DP efficiency  $\rightarrow$  average efficiency over all reference tracks binned in x, y



CMS

#### Efficiency during data-taking

CMS







#### **A new tracker for PPS: sensors**

• Wafer bow  $< 200 \,\mu m$ 

• I(25 V)/I(20 V) < 2

- Sensors for PPS Run 3:
  - Produced at FBK with single-sided process
  - 150 µm-thick active bulk
  - 80 µm handle wafer (after thinning)
  - 5 µm-diameter columns
  - $150 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$  pixel size (same as Run 2)
  - 2×2 matrix of 52×80 pixels (same as Run 2)
- Requirements:
  - $V_{depl} < 10 V$
  - $V_{bd} > 50 V$
- Production statistics:
  - 468 sensors produced
  - 238 (50.9%) passed the requirements
  - All in Class A  $[I(V_{depl} + 20 V) < 16 \mu A]$







CMS





#### A new tracker for PPS: mechanics

- New detector package mechanics developed in Genova Key elements:
  - Slots for 2 additional planes (currently not in use)
  - Sliding rails to allow 'vertical' movement (~5.7 mm range)
  - Support for stepping motor + position sensor







CMS



# The PPS pixel movement system





• Pixel movement system fully designed and installed in the LHC tunnel

- Remote movements in ~500  $\mu m$  steps over a ~5.7 mm range will distribute the irradiation and extend the detector lifetime
- Highlights:
  - Monitoring and control performed via Raspberry Pi micro-computers
  - Network connectivity provided via 4G network
  - Safe software implementation, with web GUI and DB logging





