Calibration of the TPC of DarkSide-20k : simulations

Marie van Uffelen - 2nd year PhD student

20/10/2022 - GDR DUPhy

PhD supervisors: Fabrice Hubaut (CPPM), Emmanuel Nezri (LAM)

WIMPs & DarkSide-20k



- Strong discovery potential in the 10GeV-10TeV range
- Next Argon experiment: DarkSide-20k
  - 200 t x year exposure
  - Argon double phase TPC

1evt / (ton x year)

0.01 evt / (ton x year)

Will be the largest TPC ever built for dark matter search purpose

needs to be properly calibrated



Technology providing the best limits at high WIMP mass

The TPC calibration strategy

Diffuse sources and external sources: complementarity

Injected sources (electron recoil only)

ER

- **Natural internal source (^{39}Ar)**
  Uniformly distributed
  Limited number of sources
- **Injected gaseous sources (^{83m}Kr, ^{220}Rn)**
  Direct measurement
  Limited number of sources



Guide tube sources (electron + nuclear recoil)

- **External gamma sources (^{60}Co, ^{137}Cs, ^{133}Ba, …)**
  Precisely positioned, electron lifetime (bottom)
  O(10) cm TPC penetration

ER

- **External neutron sources (AmBe, AmC)**
  Precisely positioned
  Non monochromatic
- **DD gun**
  Monochromatic neutrons
  Recoil energy reconstruction

NR

The TPC calibration set up



- Goal: position precisely (≈ cm precision level) photons and neutrons sources around the TPC -> achievable precision will be checked thanks to the mockup
- Photons and neutrons sources will be of different energy to calibrate the DS20k TPC response

The TPC calibration set up inside g4ds

- Dec. 2021: **TDR froze the geometry of DS20k** -> final simulations of the calibration



Geometry of the detector as it is implemented in g4ds, a GEANT4-based software applied for the DarkSide20k experiment

Stakes coming with the calibration



Fig from TDR

1

Make the TPC calibration as efficient as possible

Play with the hypothesis to reach an affordable time for the calibration runs

Find the best way to calibrate





Gd-loaded PMMA :
-> Make difficult having a WIMP-like NR event (NR single scatter without γ accompanying)

2

Tubes dived inside the veto buffer

Impact (to minimize) on the light collection efficiency of the veto buffer



3

Tubes close to the TPC: background induced ?

How much background is induced because of the tubes ? Is it negligible ?

Expected signals in the TPC



ER signal

- Electronic recoil
- Comes from electrons and photons (residual background)
- Slow S1 / high yield of S2

LAr => very good separation between both

NR signal

- Nuclear recoil
- Comes from neutrons (residual background) and WIMPs (signal)
- Fast S1 / few S2

Simulation work

- Prepare at best the calibration thanks to simulations
- What needs to be calibrated ?
  - ER signal: mainly background
  - NR signal: can be residual background (from neutrons) or actual WIMP signal

} Both need to be carefully calibrated

Pure ER Single Scatters ((+) carrying the initial photons energy)

Pure NR Single Scatters

- Simulations made thanks to a GEANT4-based software applied to DS20k geometry: g4ds
- Geometry of the detector implemented inside -> it simulates the interaction between calibration particles and the detector
  - Estimation of the rates of events in the TPC following photons and neutrons exposure

Simulation of the response to photon sources exposure (ER)

- ER : expected to be mainly background (photons, electrons)
- g4ds : Use of five monochromatic sources of photons: ^{57}Co, ^{133}Ba, ^{22}Na, ^{137}Cs, ^{60}Co

  From 122 to 1173 keV
- Most important signal to reconstruct for the calibration: **pure ER single scatters**

WIMPs' signature

Smeared by DS20k resolution (taking into account all the physics of the detector)

Spectrum normalized to 10 000 pure ER SS events

All events | Pure ER SS

From these spectra: computation of the **rates of interesting events** inside the TPC per decay of the source located in the tubes



Simulation of the response to photon sources exposure











From these spectra: computation of the **rates of interesting events** inside the TPC per decay of the source located in the tubes

- Rates ∈ [1.2 e-5, 6.2 e-4] evts/ decay
- Asking for 1e3 pure ER SS in the photoelectric peak, it leads to ≈ 1 week of ER calibration

Interesting events	^{57}Co	^{133}Ba	^{22}Na	^{137}Cs	^{60}Co
Side	6.2 e-4	1.1 e-4	3.7 e-4	4.0 e-5	1.0 e-4
Bottom	8.4 e-5	2.6 e-5	1.6 e-4	1.2 e-5	5.2 e-5

Simulation of the response to neutron sources exposure (NR)

- NR : can be background (neutrons) or signal (WIMPs) **NR calibration = really at stake**
- g4ds : use of three radioactive sources of neutrons: AmBe, AmC, DD gun (monochromatic source of 2.45 MeV neutrons)
- Most important signal to calibrate = pure NR SS (signal that WIMP should deposit)

AmBe



AmC



DD



All events

Pure NR SS

Gold plated events	AmBe	AmC	DD
Side	1.1 e-3	6.4 e-4	6.5 e-4
Bottom	6.5 e-4	6.1 e-4	6.4 e-4

- Rates ≈ 1-6 e-4 evts/decay
- Asking for 1e4 pure NR SS, it leads to ≈ 1 month of NR calibration

Impact of the tubes on the detector

The preparation of the TPC calibration was the main goal of the simulation work. Yet, as the presence of the pipes can have a negative impact on the rest of the detector, simulations were performed in order to check how much impact the tubes have

Veto's Light Collection Efficiency (LCE)



- Tubes can absorb the light emitted by the argon when scintillating: this could lower the veto LCE
- Simulations were performed in order to test different optical boundaries so as to minimize the loss of LCE
- Best solution = **reflector-wrapped** titanium tubes : 4% LCE, 1% loss compared with the case without pipes

Veto and TPC background induced by titanium

^{238}U ^{235}U ^{232}Th ^{40}K ^{46}Sc

- **DS20k background budget = 0.1 events/10years**

NR

- Represents less than 0.01% of the budget : fully negligible

ER

- S1/S2 ratio + PSD: will be fully negligible

Ongoing tests at CPPM: the mock up of the calibration system

- Goal = check the feasibility of the calibration system: if sources don't get stuck in the pipes, test the motors system etc
- Mock up = one U-shaped tube inserted inside a tank
- Sept. 2022: the tank is thermally insulated and the mock up is complete -> tests at cold (LN_2, -196°C)
- Tests: the motors systems drive a fake source inside the U-shaped tube while being at cold in order to mimic the experimental conditions of DarkSide-20k
    - Measure: tension of the rope, position of the source + monitoring of the whole system
    - The tension increased after decreasing the temperature without blocking the source



Conclusions

- The calibration is possible even considering the constraints of the detector
- ER calibration : 1 week / NR calibration : 1 month
- The calibration system do not induce too much background in the detector nor impacts consequently the efficiency of the veto buffer (in which the tubes are dived)
- Current tests : mock up of the calibration system, at cold

Back-up

Signals in a double phase TPC



ER signal

- Electronic recoil
- Comes from electrons and photons (residual background)
- Slow S1 / high yield of S2

LAr => very good separation between both

NR signal

- Nuclear recoil
- Comes from neutrons (residual background) and WIMPs (signal)
- Fast S1 / few S2

S1 Pulse Shape Discrimination (R_{ER}>10^8)*



* PSD measurement by DEAP-3600 in PRD 100 (2019) 022004

Example with DarkSide 50