Fundamental Physics with Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Ray

- (Very short) reminder on Cosmic Ray experimental situation and current understanding
- Interpretations of Correlation with Large Scale Structure
- Implications for source candidates
- Secondary gamma rays and neutrinos
- Tests of new physics: Lorentz symmetry violations and cross sections

Günter Sigl II. Institut theoretische Physik, Universität Hamburg http://www2.iap.fr/users/sigl/homepage.html



All Particle Spectrum and chemical Composition

Heavy elements start to dominate above knee Rigidity (E/Z) effect: combination of deconfinement and maximum energy

Hoerandel, astro-ph/0702370



Atmospheric Showers and their Detection



Haverah Park (mixed) ŗ Yakutsk T-500 Yakutsk T-1000 Yakutsk T-1000 🕸t Ε HiRes-II Mono 10 HiRes-I Mono Flux*E³/10²⁴ (eV² AGASA Auger 3 2 Lowering AGASA energy scale by about 20% brings 1 0.9 it in accordance with HiRes 0.8 0.7 up to the GZK cut-off, but 0.6 maybe not beyond? 0.5 0.4 Bergmann, Belz, J.Phys.G34 (2007) R359 0.3 19.8 20 20.2 20.4 19.2 19.4 19.6 20.6 19 log₁₀(E) (eV)

May need an experiment combining ground array with fluorescence such as the Auger project to resolve this issue.



Auger and HiRes Spectra



The Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Ray Mystery consists of (at least) Three Interrelated Challenges

1.) electromagnetically or strongly interacting particles above 10²⁰ eV loose energy within less than about 50 Mpc.

2.) in most conventional scenarios exceptionally powerful acceleration sources within that distance are needed.

3.) The observed distribution does not yet reveal unambigously the sources, although there is some correlation with local large scale structure



The Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) effect

Nucleons can produce pions on the cosmic microwave background



A possible acceleration site associated with shocks in hot spots of active galaxies

Core of Galaxy NGC 4261

Hubble Space Telescope

Wide Field / Planetary Camera

Ground-Based Optical/Radio Image

HST Image of a Gas and Dust Disk



380 Arc Seconds 88,000 LIGHT-YEARS



1.7 Arc Seconds 400 LIGHT-YEARS Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Ray Sources and Composition

New results from the Pierre Auger Observatory presented at the International Cosmic Ray Conference in Krakow, Poland



The case for anisotropy does not seem to have strengthened with more data

Auger sees Correlations with AGNs !

Red crosses = 472 AGNs from the Veron Cetty catalogue for z < 0.018 $_{13}$ circles = 27 highest enery events above 57 EeV. 20 events correlated within 3.1°, 7 uncorrelated of which most in galactic plane

Pierre Auger Collaboration, Science 318 (2007) 938

Points = galaxies with z < 0.015 Black circles = Auger events above 60 EeV. Black lines = equal exposure contours red line= supergalactic plane

Lipari, arXiv:0808.0417

But HiRes sees no Correlations !



Black dots = 457 AGNs + 14 QSOs from the Veron Cetty catalogue for z < 0.018 red circles = 2 correlated events above 56 EeV within 3.1°, ¹⁵ blue squares = 11 uncorrelated events

HiRes Collaboration, arXiv:0804.0382

But HiRes sees no Correlations !



Black dots = 389 AGNs + 14 QSOs from the Veron Cetty catalogue for z < 0.016 red circles = 36 correlated events above 15.8 EeV within 2.0°, blue squares = 162 uncorrelated events

HiRes Collaboration, arXiv:0804.0382

Correlation with supergalactic plane



Correlation with supergalactic plane within 10° (15°) is improved from 2.0^(2.4) sigma to 3.6 (3.2) sigma when definition relates to structure within 70 Mpc.

Stanev, arXiv:0805.1746

Some general estimates for sources

Accelerating particles of charge eZ to energy E_{max} requires induction $\epsilon > E_{max}/eZ$. With $Z_0 \sim 100\Omega$ the vacuum impedance, this requires dissipation of minimum bolometric power of

$$L_{\rm min} \approx \epsilon^2 / Z_0 \approx 10^{45} Z^{-2} \left(\frac{E_{\rm max}}{10^{20} \, {\rm eV}} \right)^2 {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}$$

This "Poynting" luminosity can also be obtained from $L_{min} \sim (BR)^2$ where BR is given by the "Hillas criterium":

$$BR > 3 \times 10^{17} \, \Gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{E_{\text{max}}}{10^{20} \, \text{eV}} \right) \text{Gauss cm}$$

Where Γ is a possible beaming factor.

If most of this goes into electromagnetic channel, only AGNs and maybels gamma-ray bursts could be consistent with this.

Centaurus A





Galactic Longitude (deg)

Centaurus A was recently seen by H.E.S.S.



Centaurus A as Multimessenger Source



There may be a significant heavy component at the highest energies:



Pierre Auher Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett., to appear, arXiv:1002.0699 E [eV]

Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Ray Propagation in a structured Universe







First, what about the Galactic deflection ?

Deflection in galactic magnetic field is rather model dependent, here for E/Z=4 10¹⁹ eV for Models of

Tinyakov, Tkachev (top)



Harrari, Mollerach, Roulet (middle)

Prouza, Smida (bottom)



24

Kachelriess, Serpico, Teshima Astropart. Phys. 26 (2006) 378



Back-tracking of iron at 6 10¹⁹ eV through Galactic field according to Prouza-Smida model gives highly anisotropic picture

Top: toward galactic centre

Bottom: toward galactic anti-centre

Giacinti, Kachelriess, Semikoz, Sigl

"Conundrum":

If deflection is small and correlated AGN are sources then

a) primaries should be protons to avoid too much deflection in galactic field

b) but air shower measurements by Pierre Auger (but not HiRes) indicate mixed or heavy composition

c) Theory of AGN acceleration seem to necessitate heavier nuclei to reach observed energy

Propagation in structured extragalactic magnetic fields



Smoothed rotation measure: Possible signatures of ~0.1µG level on super-cluster scales!

Theoretical motivations from the Weibel instability which tends to drive field to fraction of thermal energy density

But need much more data from radio astronomy, e.g. Lofar, SKA

2MASS galaxy column density

Xu et al., astro-ph/0509826



Observer immersed in fields of ~10⁻¹¹ Gauss: Cut thru local magnetic field strength

Filling factors of magnetic fields from the large scale structure simulation.

Note: MHD code of Dolag et al., JETP Lett. 79 (2004) 583 gives much smaller filling factors for strong fields.



Deflection in magnetized structures surrounding the sources lead to off-sets of arrival direction from source direction up to >10 degrees up to 10²⁰ eV in our simulations. This is contrast to Dolag et al., JETP Lett. 79 (2004) 583.

Particle astronomy not necessarily possible, especially for nuclei !

Cumulative deflection angle distributions for proton primaries

29

Conclusion:

A correleation with the local large scale structure is not necessarily destroyed by relatively large deflection, not even for iron, provided the field correlates with the large scale structure and deflection is mainly within that structure

It would mean that any correlation with specific sources does not identify particular sources, but only a source class that is distributed as the large scale structure

Instead of AGN it could be e.g. due to GRBs or magnetars

Neutral Secondaries of Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays Are sensitive to their Composition

accelerated nuclei interact:

 $A_{Z}^{A} + N, \gamma \rightarrow X + \frac{\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \text{neutrinos}}{\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma - \text{rays}}$

during propagation ("cosmogenic") or in sources (AGN, GRB, ...)

=> energy fluences in γ-rays and neutrinos are comparable due to isospin symmetry.

Neutrino spectrum is unmodified, γ -rays pile up below pair production threshold on CMB at a few 10¹⁴ eV.

Universe acts as a calorimeter for total injected electromagnetic energy above the pair threshold. => neutrino flux constraints.



Included processes:

- Electrons: inverse Compton; synchrotron rad (for fields from pG to 10 nG)
- Gammas: pair-production through IR, CMB, and radio backgrounds
- Protons: Bethe-Heitler pair production, pion photoproduction

Chemical Composition and Cosmogenic Neutrino Flux



Best fits to Auger spectrum for proton and iron injection with $E_{max} = (Z/26)^2 eV$ Anchordoqui, Hooper, Sarkar, Taylor, Astropart.Phys. 29 (2008) 1

Range of cosmogenic neutrino fluxes consistent with PAO spectrum and composition



Anchordoqui, Hooper, Sarkar, Taylor, Phys.Rev.D 76 (2007) 123008

Influence of Composition on Cosmogenic Neutrinos

The highest rates are 1 event/year in ICECUBE for protons

Rates comparable if $E_{max}/Z = const$, but 10-30 times lower for iron if E_{max} independent of Z.



D.Hooper, A.Taylor, S.Sarkar, Astropart.Phys.23 (2005) 11





Eric Armengaud, Tristan Beau, Günter Sigl, Francesco Miniati, Astropart.Phys.28 (2007) 463. http://apcauger.in2p3.fr/CRPropa/index.php 35 Now including: Jörg Kulbartz, Luca Maccione, Nils Nierstenhöfer, Karl-Heiz Kampert ...





Limits and future Sensitivities to UHE neutrino fluxes



P. Gorham et al, arXiv:1003.2961

A. Haungs, arXiv:0811.2361

37

Probes of Neutrino Interactions beyond the Standard Model

Note: For primary energies around 10²⁰ eV:

Center of mass energies for collisions with relic backgrounds ~100 MeV - 100 GeV —> physics well understood

Center of mass energies for collisions with nucleons in the atmosphere ~100 TeV - 1 PeV —> probes physics beyond reach of accelerators

Example: microscopic black hole production in scenarios with a TeV string scale:



Clues on the neutrino-nucleon cross section From different types of neutrino-induced showers



Earth-skimming T-neutrinos



Air-shower probability per τ -neutrino at 10²⁰ eV for 10¹⁸ eV (1) and 10¹⁹ eV (2) threshold energy for space-based detection.

Comparison of earth-skimming and horizontal shower rates allows to measure the neutrino-nucleon cross section in the 100 TeV range.

Kusenko, Weiler, PRL 88 (2002) 121104

Lorentz Symmetry Violation in the Photon Sector

For photons we assume the dispersion relation

$$\omega_{\pm}^{2} = k^{2} + \xi_{n}^{\pm} k^{2} \left(\frac{k}{M_{Pl}}\right)^{n}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

and for electrons

$$E_{e,\pm}^{2} = p_{e}^{2} + m_{e}^{2} + \eta_{n}^{e,\pm} p_{e}^{2} \left(\frac{p_{e}}{M_{\text{Pl}}}\right)^{n}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

with only one term present. Polarizations denoted with ±. For positrons, effective field theory implies $\eta_n^{p,\pm} = (-1)^n \eta_n^{e,\pm}$. Furthermore, $\xi_n^+ = (-1)^n \xi_n^-$, so that the problem depends on three parameters which in the following we denote by

$$\xi_n, \eta_n^+, \eta_n^-$$

for each n.

Consider pair production on a background photon of energy $k_{\rm b}$ and assume kinematics with ordinary energy-momentum conservation, with $p_e = (1-y)k$, $p_p = yk$. Using $x = 4y(1-y)k/k_{\rm LI}$ with the threshold in absence of Lorentz invariance (LI) violation, $k_{\rm LI} = m_e^2/w_{\rm b}$, the condition for pair production is then

$$\alpha_n x^{n+2} + x - 1 \ge 0$$

where

$$\alpha_{n} = \frac{\xi_{n} - (-1)^{n} \eta_{n}^{\mp} y^{n+1} - \eta_{n}^{\pm} (1-y)^{n+1}}{2^{2(n+2)} y^{n+1} (1-y)^{n+1}} \frac{m_{e}^{2(n+1)}}{k_{b}^{n+2} M_{\text{Pl}}^{n}}.$$

All combinations of ξ_n , η_n^+ , η_n^- can occur, depending on the partial wave of the pair, goverened by total angular momentum conservation. All partial waves are allowed away from the thresholds.

The condition for photon decay is

$$\alpha_n x^{n+2} - 1 \ge 0$$

42

There are at most two real solutions $0 \le x_n^l \le x_n^r$ for pair production (lower and upper thresholds):



Galaverni, Sigl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 021102.

For photon decay there is at most one positive real threshold.

Minimize/maximize these wrt. y

Current upper limits on the photon fraction are of order 2% above 10¹⁹ eV from latest results of the Pierre Auger experiments (ICRC) and order 30% above 10²⁰ eV.



44

Future data will allow to probe smaller photon fractions and the GZK photons



In absence of pair production for $10^{19} \text{ eV} < \omega < 10^{20} \text{ eV}$ the photon fraction would be ~20% and would thus violate experimental bounds:



A given combination ξ_n , η_n^+ , η_n^- is ruled out if, for $10^{19} \text{ eV} < \omega < 10^{20} \text{ eV}$, at least one photon polarization state is stable against decay and does not pair produce for any helicity configuration of the final pair.

In the absence of LIV in pairs for n=1, this yields:

 $\xi_1 \le 2.4 \mathrm{x} 10^{-15}$

and for n=2:

 $\xi_2 \ge -2.4 \text{x} 10^{-7}$

If a UHE photon were detected, any LIV parameter combination for which photon decay is allowed for at least one helicity configuration of the final pair, for both photon polarizations, would be ruled out.

47

For n = 1, all parameters of absolute value < 10^{-14} ruled out

For n = 2, if absolute value of both the photon and one of the electron parameters is < 10⁻⁶, the second electron parameter can be arbitrarily large even once a UHE photon is seen.

Such strong limits may indicate that Lorentz invariance violations are completely absent !

Possible Caveats

1.) Air shower physics of photon primaries not well understood?



2.) Our constraints do not apply to supersymmetric QED which implies LI $_{48}$ violating terms suppressed by the electron mass, $\xi_n m^2 (k/M_{_{Pl}})^n$.

Conclusions1

- The origin of very high energy cosmic rays is still one of the fundamental unsolved questions of astroparticle physics. This is especially true at the highest energies, but even the origin of Galactic cosmic rays is not resolved beyond doubt.
- 2.) Above 60 EeV, arrival directions correlate with the local cosmic large scale structure.
- 3.) It is currently not clear what the sources are within these structures. Potential sources closest to the arrival directions require heavier nuclei to attain observed energies. Air shower characteristics also seem to imply a mixed composition.
- 4.) This is surprising because larger deflections would be expected for nuclei already in the Galactic magnetic field.

Conclusions2

- 5.) The large Lorentz factors involved in cosmic radiation at energies above ~ 10¹⁹ eV provides a magnifier into possible Lorentz invariance violations (LIV).
- 6.) Once UHE photons are detected, all LIV parameters in the electromagnetic sector suppressed to first order in the Planck scale can be constrained to be ≤ 10⁻⁶. At second order, one of the parameters can be large.
- 7.) At energies above ~10¹⁸ eV, the center-of mass energies are above a TeV and thus beyond the reach of accelerator experiments. Especially in the neutrino sector, where Standard Model cross sections are small, this probes potentially new physics beyond the electroweak scale, including possible quantum gravity effects.
- 8.) Many new interesting ideas on a modest cost scale for ultra-high energy neutrino detection are currently under discussion.