### GDR neutrino au LLR

April, 29<sup>th</sup>-30<sup>th</sup> 2010

LAGVA

# LAGUNA

Michela Marafini - APC -Paris

# FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS



## ASPERA

"We recommend that a new large European infrastructure is put forward, as a future international multi-purpose facility on the 105-106 ton scale for improved studies of:

- proton decay and of
- low-energy neutrinos from astrophysical origin.

The three detection techniques being studied for such large detectors in Europe,

- Water-Čerenkov,
- Liquid Scintillator and
- Liquid Argon,

should be evaluated in the context of a **common design** study which should also address the underground infrastructure and the possibility of an eventual detection of future accelerator neutrino beams."

> The Design Study program for the LAGUNA project has been approved as a whole by the European Commission (EC).

Support the part of the program which is **1.7 M€** to be mainly devoted more difficult to be funded on a national to the sites infrastructure studies (regional) basis.

# LAGUNA

### Large Apparatus for Grand Unification and Neutrino Astrophysics

Proton Decay:
$$p \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^0$$
• limit up to 1035 y $p \rightarrow K^+ + \bar{\nu}$ 

#### **Neutrino Physics:**

• supernovae neutrinos (SNB, DSN)

- atmospheric neutrinos
- solar neutrinos
- accelegeo-no

Draft version. European Strategy for Future Neutrino Physic

Detector

M. Marafini - APC -Paris

MANAGEMENT

http://laguna.ethz.ch



# WP3: DETECTORS

Large Apparatus for Grand Unification and Neutrino Astrophysics



... In a

# GLACIER

## Liquid Argon TPC





## LENA

## Liquid Scintillator

Liquid Scintillator 50 kt PXE / 43kt LAB contained in an Inner Nylon Vessel R = 13m, 150mm thick

Buffer Region without fluor, 2m thick

Steel Tank, 13500 PMs R = 15m, h = 100m

Water Cherenkov Veto 1500 PMs, at least 2m



### Low Energy Neutrino Astrophysics

L.Oberauer, F.von Feilitzsch and W.Potzel Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 138 (2005) 108



## MEMPHYS

# Water Čerenkov

arXiv:hep-ex/\$0607026\$

M. Marafini - APC - Paris



Water Čerenkov ("cheap and stable") **Baseline:** 

- 3 cylindric modules 60 x 65 m;
- Size limited by: attenuation length and pressure on the PMTs;
  - Readout: 12"-10" PMTs, 30% geom. coverage

### MEMPHYNO PROTOTYPE

- Full test of NEW "electronic and acquisition" chain;
- Trigger threshold study
- Self-trigger mode
- Track reconstruction performances;
- Gd doping: flexibility and performance.



TEST BENCH for photodetection and electronic solutions for LARGE detectors

# ... In a



## WP3: DETECTORS

### Complementarity between the techniques



MANAGEMENT

http://laguna.ethz.ch



## WP1: LOCATIONS

#### **7** proposed locations



#### FINLAND

Pyhäsalmi Mine is located in Pyhäjärvi (Holy Lake), 450 km north of Helsinki and 150 km south of Oulu, has expressed its interest to be chosen as one of the locations for the underground laboratory. It is the oldest operating metal mine in Finland and the deepest in Europe, and is currently owned by Inmet Mining Corporation (Canada). The hard and very old bedrock of Finland provides one of the best locations to dig very large and deep caverns for the LAGUNA detectors. A small cosmic ray experiment (EMMA) is already located in the mine.

#### FRANCE

An underground laboratory LSM "Laboratoire Souterrain de Modane" is presently located along the Fréjus road tunnel between France and Italy. This laboratory, in operation since 1982, is hosting two particle physics experiments requiring an extremely low-background environment to study neutrino properties and looking for Dark Matter. The extension of the laboratory considers the construction of very large underground caverns to host the LAGUNA detectors.



#### GREAT BRITAIN



Boulby is a salt, potash and other minerals mine located in Cleveland North East England, on the coast, 20 km north of the town of Whitby The mine is run by Cleveland Potash Ltd. Opened in 2003, the Parke underground laboratory, at 1100 m depth and with 1000 m2 of space, has housed a series low background particle physics experiments aimed at searches for Dark Matter. The possibility for LAGUNA arise because of a new commitment by the mine to extend workings to deeper levels that will give access to strong, hard rock, notably dolomite and anhydrite, that have potential to sustain the envisioned large caverns



#### POLAND

The Polkowice-Sieroszowice mine is located in the south-western Poland, 90 km NW of Wroclaw. The mine belongs to KGHM Polska Miedź S.A. - the holding of copper ores mines and metallurgic plants. The mine is operating within tectonically stable and good quality hard rock consisting of dolomites and anhydrites, and locally of saltrock. Anhydrite layers provide excellent conditions for locating the LAGUNA laboratory.

#### ROMANIA

Slanic-Prahova is located in the outer Carpathians area (Prahova County), 40 km NE of Ploiesti in Romania. The Unirea salt mine. one of the Slanic mines, is administrated by SALROM SA, Very large caverns 30m wide and 35m high, dug in salt, are presently xisting and a low background laboratory was successfully installed in one of the caverns. A new dedicated cavern dug in salt would be prepared for the LAGUNA project.

#### SPAIN

The Canfranc Underground Laboratory (LSC, "Laboratorio Subterráneo de Canfranc") is located in the Spanish side of the Pyrenees, under the mountain of "El Tobazo" and has an ongoing particle physics programme aimed at very low background experiments for the studies of neutrino properties and search for Dark Matter. The current laboratory can be accessed via the roadway or railway tunnels. The extension of the laboratory considers the excavation of very large underground caverns to host the LAGUNA detector





#### http://laguna.ethz.ch



#### 7 underground site candidates



#### M. Marafini - APC -Paris

# LAGUNA@BOULBY

#### http://laguna.ethz.ch



12

BOULBY Potash - Salt Mine Underground Laboratory



Se CERN

1050 Km form CERN 1400 m depth

> GLACIER LENA MEMPHYS



Laguna Meeting - December, 8th 2009



# LAGUNA@LSM

http://laguna.ethz.ch



# LAGUNA@LSC

http://laguna.ethz.ch





Laguna Meeting: right now!!!!



M. Marafini - APC - Paris

# LAGUNA@PYHÄSALMI

http://laguna.ethz.ch





Laguna Meeting - September, 2<sup>nd</sup> 2009



# LAGUNA@SUNLAB

http://laguna.ethz.ch





Laguna Meeting - March, 31st 2009



# LAGUNA@UNIREA

http://laguna.ethz.ch



# LAGUNA@CASO

#### http://laguna.ethz.ch



## WP1: LOCATIONS

#### http://laguna.ethz.ch

### Site

Boulby (UK) Canfranc (Spain) Fréjus (France/Italy) Pyhäsalmi (Finland) Slanic (Romania) Sunlab (Poland) Umbria (Italy)

#### Distance from CERN

1050 Km 630 Km 130 Km 2300 Km 1546 Km 950 Km 659 Km

### **Type of detector**

Glacier Lena 1400 m ? 1400 m 900 m 875 m 4800 mwe 4800 mwe 2500 mwe 4000 mwe 600 - 750 mwe no 600-1100 m ? 1370 m 600 m (?) no

Memphys no 530 m 4800 mwe 3000 mwe no no no

MANAGEMENT

http://laguna.ethz.ch



## WP2: OUTREACH

SuperBeams - SPL u beam at CERN



Possible realization of a neutrino beam in Europe at CERN. Study of performances at the different underground sites.



### Beta Beam (P. Zucchelli: Phys. Lett. B532:166, 2002)

M. Lindroos M. Mezzetto, "Beta Beams", Imperial College Press, 2009



### • A 3.5 GeV, 4MW Linac: the SPL.

- A liquid mercury target station (or maybe Carbon, following A. Longhin recent studies) capable to manage the 4 MW proton beam. R&D required.
- A conventional neutrino beam optics capable to survive to the beam power, the radiation and the mercury. Already prototyped.
- A sophisticated close detector to measure signal and backgrounds.
- A megaton class detector under the Frejus, L=130 km: Memphys.



*ν*<sub>e</sub> generated by He<sup>6</sup>, 100 μA, ⇒ 2.9 · 10<sup>18</sup> ion decays/straight session/year.
 *ν*<sub>e</sub> generated by Ne<sup>18</sup>, 100 μA, ⇒ 1.1 · 10<sup>18</sup> ion decays/straight session/year.

Memphys and LBL neutrino physics

Boulby Mine, 09/12/09 19 / 39

#### http://laguna.ethz.ch

Mauro Mezzetto (INFN Padova)

... In a

### MANAGEMENT



#### http://laguna.ethz.ch

## TIME SCALE

WP1 Management

WP2 Underground infrastructure and engineering

WP3 Safety, environmental and socio-economic issues

WP4 Science impact and Outreach

Activity Name	Start	Finish	Work				-			_										T				_			
, ion in the second sec	Date	Date	Days)	JF	F M	Α	м.	L L	Α	sc	N	J	F	мΑ	м	JJ	A	so	N	J	F	мΑ	мJ	J.	AS	0	I D
WP1 – Management	6/9/08	6/7/10	520.00				1																Ť				11
First year report	4/6/09	4/6/09	0.00	Т	Т			Т	Π	Т	П			$\mathbf{\nabla}$		Т	П		П		П			П			
Final report	4/26/10	4/26/10	0.00																				7	$\square$			
LAGUNA general meetings	6/9/08	6/7/10	520.00																				-	$\square$			
Kickoff meeting	6/9/08	6/9/08	0.00				4																	$\square$			
General meeting 1	11/3/08	11/3/08	0.00							•	$\diamond$													$\square$			
General meeting 2	3/23/09	3/23/09	0.00	T										٠										$\square$			
General meeting 3	8/3/09	8/3/09	0.00													•								$\square$			
General meeting 4	11/9/09	11/9/09	0.00															•	٠					П			
General meeting 5	3/1/10	3/1/10	0.00	T																				$\square$			
Final meeting	6/7/10	6/7/10	0.00																				•	•			
WP2 – Underground	6/23/08	3/12/10	450.00																					$\square$			
infrastructures and							I'																				
engineering	0.000.000	10/11/00		1				_		_						_											1
Feasibility study for CUPP/Pyhasalmi	6/23/08	12/11/09	385.00				1	9											T T	>							
Feasibility study for Fréjus	6/23/08	12/11/09	385.00				1	-	H	-				+	H	-	H	-	+ <	>							
Feasibility study for Boulby	6/23/08	12/11/09	385.00				<	-		-										>				П			
Feasibility study for CNGS off-axis	6/23/08	12/11/09	385.00	T			<			-				-		-		-	-	>				$\square$			
Feasibility study for SUNLAB	6/23/08	12/11/09	385.00	T			<			-				-		-		-	-	>				$\square$			
Feasibility study for IFIN-HH site	6/23/08	12/11/09	385.00				<			+				+		+		+	+	>				$\square$			
Feasibility study for LSC	6/23/08	12/11/09	385.00				<	<u> </u>										-	-	>				$\square$			
Site impact of underground assembly of large undeground tanks	9/22/08	3/12/10	385.00							0											-	>					
WP3 – Safety, environmental	5/28/08	4/14/10	491.00				÷	+	+ +	+		-		+	H	+	-	-	+ +	-	++						
Assessment of hazards events and risk analysis	5/28/08	8/26/09	326.00	+	+	$\square$													$\left  \right $	+	$\left  \right $	_	_	+	+	$\left  \right $	÷
Safety and monitoring of large-scale tanks	12/15/08	11/24/09	247.00	++-	+	H		+	$\square$	+		+							-	+	$\vdash$			++	-	$\vdash$	11
Site impact of liquid procurement and tank filling	3/5/09	3/17/10	270.00	++-	+	H	-	+	$\square$	+	$\square$											>		++	-	$\vdash$	÷L.
Final study on safety and environmental issues	12/14/09	4/14/10	88.00	++-	+	H		+	$\square$	+	$\vdash$			+	$\vdash$	+	$\vdash$	+	<	-				++	-	$\vdash$	÷Ľ
Socio-economic impact of the research infrastructure on the sites	9/15/08	4/9/10	410.00	+	t	H		t		-	H			+		+		+	++	+		-		Ħ	-		
WP4 - Science impact and	6/9/08	5/28/10	515.00		1																			$\square$		$\square$	
Outreach									ΙĪ		ΙĒ		ΙĒ		ΙĪ		ΙĪ		ΙF		ΙĒ						
Theoretical activities supporting experimental investigations	6/9/08	5/28/10	515.00		1		<	+	-	+				+		+		+		+			<	$\square$		$\square$	Ť.
Optimization of the physics potential of the research infrastructure	6/9/08	5/28/10	515.00		+	H	<	-		+				+		+		+		+			-	$\square$	+		
Education and Outreach	6/9/08	5/28/10	515.00		1	H	<	+		+				+		+		+		+			⇒	$\square$	-	$\square$	
Synergy with European Strategy for Particle physics and with CERN	8/4/08	1/9/09	115.00					ŀ	~			⇔															
			6,081.00	JF	FM	A	м.	ц	A	slo	INI	JJ	FI	иA	M	JJ	A	slo	INI	ьj	F	мА	MJ	ांग	AS		đ.

## TIME SCALE

WP1 Management

WP2 Underground infrastructure and engineering

WP3 Safety, environmental and socio-economic issues

WP4 Science impact and Outreach

### WP1: Criteria for site prioritization

**Physics ->** What advantage does the site procure for proton decay searches? for neutrinos astrophysics? for long baseline neutrinos?

http://laguna.ethz.ch

**Technical, geotechnical->** Rank of detector option in terms of geo technical feasibility? of excavation cost? construction cost? timescale? rank feasibility?

**H&S ->** Rank safety plan during excavation? during tank+detector construction? during operation? during decommissioning? what are the main risks?

**Liquid ->** Can the million ton of water be procured? 100 ktons LAr? 50 kton LScint?

**Economic, political ->** Level of local, regional and national support?



## TIME SCALE

### WP1 Management

WP2 Underground infrastructure and engineering

WP3 Safety, environmental and socio-economic issues

WP4 Science impact and Outreach

#### WP<sub>2</sub>

• freeze choice and location of detector in each site

http://laguna.ethz.ch

• shift focus from feasibility for infrastructure, tank design, tank construction, costing, timescale..

#### WP3

• Time schedule presented for liquid procurement & socio-economic impact



## CONCLUSIONS

ApPEC Road Map 2008: The priority project in this field is a new giant underground observatory which has to be global in nature and has to follow worldwide coordination and cost sharing. A common FP7 design study, LAGUNA, is presently underway. It evaluates three detection techniques: water Čerenkov detectors, liquid scintillator detectors and liquid argon imaging detectors. The study will also address the costs of underground infrastructures in several potential locations in Europe.

We recommend an additional coherent effort to complete the detector R&D programs that could not be fully supported within the FP7 Design Study. The design study should provide, on a time scale of 2010, the key elements of the discovery potential for the different options and sites and then converge to a common proposal.

## CONCLUSIONS

http://laguna.ethz.ch

GLACIER: a long work of R&D in progress;

# LENA: some new results with beam reconstruction and choice of the scintillator;

## MEMPHYS: work of R&D in progress for the electronic and light sensor systems;

The studies carried on for the three experiment are made in contactcollaboration with the other groups in the world and are "interesting" in the context of an internationals collaboration.

M. Marafini - APC - Paris

## CONCLUSIONS

http://laguna.ethz.ch

**GLACIER: a long work of R&D in progress** 

LENA: some new results with beam reconstruction and choice of the scintillator;

### **MEMPHYS:**

the electronic and

The studies carried on for the three experiment are made in contactcollaboration with the other groups in the world and are "interesting" in the context of an internationals collaboration.



M. Marafini - APC - Paris

WP1: LOCATIONS



Work in progress for muon interactions in the rock, multiples backgrounds, **depth** and **latitude** studies for **reactor** and **atm** neutrinos bkg and **matter effect in the earth**.

### **PHYSICS WITHOUT** ACCELERATOR **PROTON DECAY**







At NNN08 (and 09) SK showed improvement in this channel

Haruki Nishino (ICRR, University of Tokyo)

### PHYSICS WITHOUT ACCELERATOR PROTON DECAY





$$p \rightarrow \overline{\nu} + K^+$$

LAr, Scin. better then H<sub>2</sub>O (K below Č. thr).

### **NEW SIZE STUDIES!**

30% more fiducial volume
> 572 ktons:
90% CLlimit for the life time
> 1.4 10<sup>35</sup> ys



**Galactic SN**: Huge statistics => spectral analysis:

- in time
- in energy
- in flavor composition





SN/century

Monitotion

109

108

107

106

105

104

103

10<sup>2</sup>

10

10

#### Access to =>

SN explosion mechanism: shock waves, neutronization burst
 Neutrino production parameters: rate, spectra
 Neutrino properties

## SUPERNOVA COLLAPSE U



The probability of observe matter effect in the earth with explosion supernova neutrino depends on the latitude.



LOCATION	Latitude	Longitude	Sh.Prob. Earth
Pyhäsalmi, Finland	$63.66^{\circ}N$	26.04°	0.581
<i>Fréjus</i> , France	$43.43^{\circ}N$	6.73°	0.568
Boulby, England	$54.56^{\circ}N$	-0.083°	0.577
Kamioka, Japan	$36.27^{\circ}N$	$137.3^{\circ}$	0.560
Canfranc, Spain	$42.7^{\circ}N$	$-0.52^{\circ}$	0.568
South Pole	$90^{\circ}S$	0°	0.414

### the best location is the norther; the effect is extremely light;

comparison measurements are possible if we take in account a not-showed detector in South Pole and one showed in Europe

LOCATION	Pyhäs.	Fréjus	Boulby	Kamioka	Canfr.	South P.
Pyhäsalmi	_	0.052	0.038	0.157	0.059	0.353
Fréjus	0.065	—	0.036	.220	0.013	0.307
Boulby	0.042	0.028	-	0.198	0.027	0.332
Kamioka	0.179	0.230	0.216	-	0.238	0.290
Canfranc	0.073	0.014	0.036	0.229	-	0.305
South Pole	0.519	0.461	0.495	0.435	0.458	-



## SUPERNOVA DIFFUSE U





Fogli et al. JCAP 0504:002,2005

### **MEMPHYS could see the SRN in few years!**

\* PRL93, 2004

Direct measurement of emission parameters possible.

Yuksel et al., astro-ph/0509297



## SUPERNOVA DIFFUSE U



### The Atmospheric neutrino flux depends from the latitude



### Latitude study for diffuse Supernova neutrino background

Site	Latitude (N)	$s_{atm}$
Kamioka, Japan	$36.27^{\circ}$	1
<i>Pyhäsalmi</i> , Finland	$63.66^{\circ}$	2.0
<i>Fréjus</i> , France	$43.43^{\circ}$	1.5

Dependence of the total atmospheric neutrino flux below 60 MeV on the detector location. The scaling factor  $s_{atm}$  compares the flux to the one at the Kamiloka site.

less invisible muons; less electronic anti-neutrinos;

 ⋆ TO DO: Reactor neutrinos in a complete calculation (collaboration with Kai Loo..)





A combination with atmospheric data resolve  $\vartheta_{23}$  degeneracy

hep-ph/0603172

EUROnu

Possible realization of a neutrino beam in Europe at CERN

