## **Calibration of a direct search for dark** matter detector: the TPC of DarkSide 20k

Marie van Uffelen -2nd year PhD student - Marseille bb PhD supervisors : Fabrice Hubaut (CPPM), Emmanuel Nezri (LAM)



DARKSIDE

### **JRJC 2022**













Measured rotation curves of galaxies fit the expectations only if we include a DM component in the galaxy

#### **Collision of galaxy clusters**



Collision of galaxy clusters measured only thanks to photons vs measured by gravitational lensing

-> different repartition of mass + DM is collision-less

### **Dark matter ?**

### Many hints at different scales





CMB (here measured by Planck) - anisotropies explanation includes a dark matter component

Missing mass in the Universe Standard model does not provide particle candidate for dark matter



Massive

Do not (directly) interact with photons

Long lived (or at least one of them)

Cold (non relativistic)

## **Dark matter ?**

### **Dark matter candidates**

Axion (driven by CP non-violation in the strong interaction (BSM))

Sterile neutrino (something to do with neutrino oscillations)

WIMP (Weakly Interactive Massive Particle) (introduced by cosmology and SUSY's lightest neutralino fits requirements)

Primordial Black Holes (cosmology driven)

 $m_{\oplus}$ Acceptable masses range for DM Mass of known particles/ objects





Massive

Do not (directly) interact with photons

Long lived (or at least one of them)

Cold (non relativistic)

## **Dark matter ?**

### **Dark matter candidates**

Axion (driven by CP non-violation in the strong interaction (BSM))

Sterile neutrino (something to do with neutrino oscillations)

WIMP (Weakly Interactive Massive Particle) (introduced by cosmology and SUSY's lightest neutralino fits requirements)

Primordial Black Holes (cosmology driven)

 $m_{\oplus}$ Acceptable masses range for DM Mass of known particles/ objects







Massive

Do not (directly) interact with photons

Long lived (or at least one of them)

Cold (non relativistic)

## **Dark matter ?**

### **Dark matter candidates**

Axion (driven by CP non-violation in the strong interaction (BSM))

Sterile neutrino (something to do with neutrino oscillations)

WIMP (Weakly Interactive Massive Particle) (introduced by cosmology and SUSY's lightest neutralino fits requirements)

Primordial Black Holes (cosmology driven)

 $m_{\oplus}$ Acceptable masses range for DM Mass of known particles/ objects









Do not (directly) interact with photons



Long lived (or at least one of them)

Cold (non relativistic)

## **Dark matter ?**

### **Dark matter candidates**

Axion (driven by CP non-violation in the strong interaction (BSM))

Sterile neutrino (something to do with neutrino oscillations)

WIMP (Weakly Interactive Massive Particle) (introduced by cosmology and SUSY's lightest neutralino fits requirements)

Primordial Black Holes (cosmology driven)

 $m_{\oplus}$ Acceptable masses range for DM Mass of known particles/ objects









Do not (directly) interact with photons



Long lived (or at least one of them)



Cold (non relativistic)

## **Dark matter ?**

### **Dark matter candidates**

Axion (driven by CP non-violation in the strong interaction (BSM))

Sterile neutrino (something to do with neutrino oscillations)

WIMP (Weakly Interactive Massive Particle) (introduced by cosmology and SUSY's lightest neutralino fits requirements)

Primordial Black Holes (cosmology driven)

 $m_{\oplus}$ Acceptable masses range for DM Mass of known particles/ objects









Do not (directly) interact with photons



Long lived (or at least one of them)



Cold (non relativistic)

## **Dark matter ?**

### **Dark matter candidates**

Axion (driven by CP non-violation in the strong interaction (BSM))

Sterile neutrino (something to do with neutrino oscillations)

WIMP (Weakly Interactive Massive Particle) (introduced by cosmology and SUSY's lightest neutralino fits requirements)

Primordial Black Holes (cosmology driven)

 $m_{\oplus}$ Acceptable masses range for DM Mass of known particles/ objects



## Dark matter halo





#### Create huge detectors

As the WIMPs are expected to have a very small interaction probability with ordinary matter, experiments should build very large (or dense) experiments in order to increase the detection probability

> Searching for WIMPs

Know the detection limits of the experiment

In case on non detection, one cannot claim the non existence of dark matter, just its non existence in a certain phase space

The exclusion limits of an experiment are computed using a simple model of the Milky Way dark matter's halo

### Understand the remaining background

It comes from natural radioactivity of the detector

The most dangerous background comes from heavy particles (neutrons)

Shield the detector from background

In order not to miss an event being over crowded by background events. Dark matter direct searches are located deep underground

#### Discriminate background and signal



As the WIM small inter matter, exper dense) expe

d to have a very y with ordinary uild very large (or to increase the oility

Create huge

detectors

Mogettes

Searching for WIMPs

Know the detection limits of the experiment

In case on non detection, one cannot claim the non existence of dark matter, just its non existence in a certain phase space

The exclusion limits of an experiment are computed using a simple model of the Milky Way dark matter's halo

### Understand the remaining background

It comes from natural radioactivity of the detector

The most dangerous background comes from heavy particles (neutrons)

Shield the detector from background

In order not to miss an event being over crowded by background events. Dark matter direct searches are located deep underground

#### Discriminate background and signal





Shield the detector from background

In order not to miss an event being over crowded by background events. Dark matter direct searches are located deep underground

#### **Understand the** remaining background

It comes from natural radioactivity of the detector

The most dangerous background comes from heavy particles (neutrons)

#### Discriminate background and signal





#### Shield the detector

4 km

underground

Science Institute

**Gran Sasso** 

#### **Understand the** remaining background

It comes from natural radioactivity of the detector

The most dangerous background comes from heavy particles (neutrons)

#### Discriminate background and signal





#### Shield the detector

4 km

underground

Science Institute

**Gran Sasso** 

#### **Understand the** remaining background

- It comes from natural radioactivity of the detector
- The most dangerous background comes from heavy particles (neutrons)

#### Discriminate background and signal







#### Shield the detector

4 km

underground

Science Institute

**Gran Sasso** 

- d Anchors
- Anode OP PMMA Anode Plate
- Wire Grid Frame

- **Titanium Vesse**
- Gd PIVINA Barre
- **Field Cage**
- **Reflector Cage**
- Cathode

#### **PMMA Cathode Plate**

#### Cathode OP

Temporary Legs

#### Discriminate background and signal







#### Shield the detector

4 km

underground

Science Institute

**Gran Sasso** 

- d Anchors
- Anode OP PMMA Anode Plate
- Wire Grid Frame
- Anode
- Titanium Vessel
- Gd PIVINA Barrel
- Field Cage
- **Reflector Cage**
- Cathode

#### PMMA Cathode Plate

#### Cathode OP

Temporary Legs

#### Discriminate background and signal







• Strong discovery potential in the

- Next Argon experiment: DarkSide-20k



• Strong discovery potential in the

- Next Argon experiment: DarkSide-20k

  - Argon double phase TPC



• Strong discovery potential in the

- Next Argon experiment: DarkSide-20k

  - Argon double phase TPC



• Strong discovery potential in the

- Next Argon experiment: DarkSide-20k

  - Argon double phase TPC



• Strong discovery potential in the

- Next Argon experiment: DarkSide-20k

  - Argon double phase TPC



• Strong discovery potential in the

- Next Argon experiment: DarkSide-20k

  - Argon double phase TPC



# **Expected signals in the TPC**

#### **ER event**

- Electronic recoil
- Comes from electrons and photons (residual background)
- Slow S1 / high yield of S2



#### **NR event**

- Nuclear recoil
- Comes from neutrons (residual background) and WIMPs (signal)
- Fast S1 / few S2









# The TPC calibration



- Goal: position precisely ( $\approx$ cm precision level) photons and neutrons sources around the TPC -> achievable precision will be checked thanks to the mockup
- Photons and neutrons sources will be of different energy to calibrate the DS20k TPC response

## The TPC calibration set up design inside g4ds





**Veto buffer TPC (+ walls)** 

Tubes

Dec. 2021: TDR froze the geometry of DS20k -> final simulations of the calibration



**Geometry of the** detector as it is implemented in g4ds, a GEANT4based software applied for the DarkSide20k experiment



# Stakes coming with the calibration



### Tubes close to the TPC: background induced ?

How much background is induced because of the tubes ? Is it negligible ?

3

### Make the TPC calibration <sup>50</sup> as efficient as possible

Play with the hypothesis to reach an affordable time for the calibration runs

Find the best way to calibrate



2

## Tubes dived inside the veto buffer

Impact (to minimize) on the light collection efficiency of the veto buffer



3.8. dep. / v. 210 B dd dep. zv. 50 Ed dep. zv.50 dd Thlen: "AT anydep. a/dep. // v. Thlenh: Phi/M · 0.5 dlb Thlenh: "AT anydep. a/dep. y/ v. Thle

Gd-loaded PMMA :

-> Make difficult having a WIMP-like NR event (NR single scatter without *γ* accompanying)



51

# Simulation work

- Prepare at best the calibration thanks to simulations
- What needs to be calibrated ?
  - ER signal: mainly background
  - NR signal: can be residual background (from neutrons) or actual WIMP signal
- Simulations made thanks to a GEANT g4ds
- Geometry of the detector implemented calibration particles and the detector
  - Estimation of the rates of eve exposure



Simulations made thanks to a GEANT4-based software applied to DS20k geometry:

Geometry of the detector implemented inside -> it simulates the interaction between

Estimation of the rates of events in the TPC following photons and neutrons

### Simulation of the response to photon sources exposure (ER)

- ER : expected to be mainly background (photons, electrons)

Smeared by DS20k resolution (taking into account all the physics of the detector)

Spectrum normalized to 10 000 pure ER SS events

All events

Pure ER SS

From these spectra: computation of the rates of interesting events inside the TPC per decay of the source located in the tubes

g4ds : Use of five monochromatic sources of photons: <sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>133</sup>Ba, <sup>22</sup>Na, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>60</sup>Co From 122 to 1173 keV

Most important signal to reconstruct for the calibration: pure ER single scatters

Ba 133 <sup>133</sup>Ba simulation in the DS20k TPC Nevents / 4 ke/ 10<sup>3</sup> 356 keV All events Single scatters 10<sup>2</sup> 10 50 100 150 200 300 350 400 E, deposited energy in the TPC [keV]



### Simulation of the response to photon sources exposure



All events



From these spectra: computation of the rates of interesting events inside the TPC per decay of the source located in the tubes

- Rates  $\in$  [1.2 e-5, 6.2 e-4] evts/ decay
- Asking for 1e3 pure ER SS in the photoelectric peak, it leads to  $\approx 1$ week of ER calibration

events	<sup>57</sup> Co	<sup>133</sup> Ba	<sup>22</sup> Na	<sup>137</sup> Cs	<sup>60</sup> Co
	6.2 e-4	1.1 e-4	3.7 e-4	4.0 e-5	1.0 e-4
n	8.4 e-5	2.6 e-5	1.6 e-4	1.2 e-5	5.2 e-5

### Simulation of the response to neutron sources exposure (NR)

- NR : can be background (neutrons) or signal (WIMPs)
- MeV neutrons)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

Gold plated events	AmBe	AmC	DD
Side	1.1 e-3	6.4 e-4	6.5 e-4
Bottom	6.5 e-4	6.1 e-4	6.4 e-4

NR calibration = really at stake

g4ds : use of three radioactive sources of neutrons: AmBe, AmC, DD gun (monochromatic source of 2.45)

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Rates  $\approx$  1-6 e-4 evts/decay
- Asking for 1e4 pure NR SS, it leads to  $\approx$  1 month of NR calibration

![](_page_29_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Impact of the tubes on the detector

The preparation of the TPC calibration was the main goal of the simulation work. Yet, as the presence of the pipes can have a negative impact on the rest of the detector, simulations were performed in order to check how much *impact the tubes have* 

### **Veto's Light Collection Efficiency (LCE)**

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Tubes can absorb the light emitted by the argon when scintillating: this could lower the veto LCE
- Simulations were performed in order to test different optical boundaries so as to minimize the loss of LCE
- Best solution = reflector-wrapped titanium tubes : 4% LCE, 1% loss compared with the case without pipes

![](_page_30_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Ongoing tests at CPPM: the mock up of the calibration system

- Goal = check the feasibility of the calibration system: if sources don't get stuck in the pipes, test the motors system etc
- Mock up = one U-shaped tube inserted inside a tank
- Sept. 2022: the tank is thermally insulated and the mock up is complete -> tests at cold ( $LN_2$ , -196°C)
- Tests: the motors systems drive a fake source inside the Ushaped tube while being at cold in order to mimic the experimental conditions of DarkSide-20k
  - Measure: tension of the rope, position of the source + monitoring of the whole system
  - The tension increased after decreasing the temperature without blocking the source

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_13.jpeg)

### Ongoing tests at CPPM: the mock up of the calibration system

- Goal = check the feasibility of the calibration system: if sources don't get stuck in the pipes, test the motors system etc
- Mock up = one U-shaped tube inserted inside a tank
- Sept. 2022: the tank is thermally insulated and the mock up is complete -> tests at cold ( $LN_2$ , -196°C)
- Tests: the motors systems drive a fake source inside the Ushaped tube while being at cold in order to mimic the experimental conditions of DarkSide-20k
  - Measure: tension of the rope, position of the source + monitoring of the whole system
  - The tension increased after decreasing the temperature without blocking the source

![](_page_32_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_13.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- The calibration is possible even considering the constraints of the detector
- ER calibration : 1 week / NR calibration : 1 month
- The calibration system do not induce too much background in the detector nor impacts consequently the efficiency of the veto buffer (in which the tubes are dived)
- Current tests : mock up of the calibration system, at cold

## Perspectives

Create huge detectors

As the WIMPs are expected to have a very small interaction probability with ordinary matter, experiments should build very large (or dense) experiments in order to increase the detection probability

> Searching for WIMPs

Know the detection limits of the experiment

In case on non detection, one cannot claim the non existence of dark matter, just its non existence in a certain phase space

The exclusion limits of an experiment are computed using a simple model of the Milky Way dark matter's halo

### **FUTURE COMMITMENTS**

#### Shield the detector from background

In order not to miss an event being over crowded by background events. Dark matter direct searches are located deep underground

#### **Understand the** remaining background

- It comes from natural radioactivity of the detector
- The most dangerous background comes from heavy particles (neutrons)

#### Discriminate background and signal

![](_page_34_Picture_19.jpeg)

Back-up

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)