# RADIO TRANSIENTS

Rob Fender University of Oxford

# **RADIO ASTRONOMY**

# RADIO TRANSIENT ASTROPHYSICS

FUTURE FACILITIES AND PROSPECTS

# **RADIO ASTRONOMY**

# RADIO TRANSIENT ASTROPHYSICS

FUTURE FACILITIES AND PROSPECTS

## The very basics



# The GMRT, India

## The wavelengths of radio waves are human sized

The surface quality of a telescope needs to be about as good as the wavelength of the radiation, or better, so radio telescopes can be made with much less precision and expense than optical telescopes, and can even have gaps in.

## The very basics: single dishes



The Robert C. Byrd GBT (USA) is the largest steerable radio telescope in the world, with 100m diameter

The largest filled-aperture radio telescope is FAST (China) which cannot be steered, but has 500m diameter

## Angular resolution

 $\theta = \lambda / d$ 

Since  $\lambda$  is large for radio astronomy, we need d to be large to get good resolution



The very basics: interferometers Most radio data on transients is obtained with interferometers



If we combine multiple radio telescopes into an interferometer we have two different d for this equation:

$$\theta = \lambda / d$$

Using the size of an individual dish we get

$$\theta_{\rm FOV} = \lambda / d_{\rm dish}$$

Which is the field of view (FOV) or 'primary beam'



**d**<sub>baseline</sub>

Using the length of the longest baseline

$$\theta_{\text{BEAM}} = \lambda / d_{\text{baseline}}$$

Which is the angular resolution (~'synthesized beam')

∴ number of resolutionelements across your image is

$$\theta_{\text{FOV}} / \theta_{\text{BEAM}} = d_{\text{baseline}} / d_{\text{dish}}$$



For the VLA, the longest baseline is 36 km, and the individual dishes are 25 m in diameter

d<sub>baseline</sub> / d<sub>dish</sub> ~ 1440

...

Since we like to have images which look a bit smoother than our angular resolution we typically choose pixels about 1/3 the size of synthesized beam



How do we make the images?

Each telescopes receives a radio wave signal from the source

If we **correlate** (multiply) the signal received at two different telescopes we measure an **amplitude** and a **phase** (the complex 'visibility')

The amplitude tells you about how bright the source is on that baseline and the phase tells you how offset the flux is from the phase centre, i.e. where the source is.



A baseline  $d_{baseline}$  will measure flux from source of the corresponding angular size  $\theta_{RES}$ or smaller

So, a 'point source' which is unresolved on all baselines will have constant amplitude as a function of baseline length

A 'resolved source' (e.g. supernova remnant) will be a appear brighter on shorter baselines

Baseline length

The very basics: (Earth rotation) aperture synthesis

A correlation ('visibility': amplitude, phase) is recorded for each baseline at each time step.

While the dishes track the target, the *array* does not, meaning the projection of the baselines onto the source varies with time as Earth rotates

This fills in the 'u,v plane' with tracks. The more filled in the u,v plane the more like a single filled aperture  $\rightarrow$  images are **nicer** and **more accurate** 

The larger the number of baselines, and the smoother their distribution, the better the images look.

Number of baselines

 $N_{\text{baseline}} = N(N-I)/2$ 

Where N is number of antennas

Telescope	Dishes	Baselines
VLA	27	351
GMRT	30	435
MeerKAT	64	2016

#### Source at **Dec -90**:

Circular tracks in (u,v) plane as Earth rotates.



Radial distance of line from phase centre reflects baseline length Orientation of baseline from projection onto the source Azimuthal rotation due to (Earth) rotation of the array KAT-7 (MeerKAT test array) South Africa (21 baselines)



## Source at **Dec -60:** Projected tracks no longer circular



## KAT-7 (MeerKAT test array) South Africa



## Source at **Dec -30**: Projected tracks no longer circular



## KAT-7 (MeerKAT test array) South Africa



#### Source at **Dec 0**: projected tracks linear E-W



## KAT-7 (MeerKAT test array) South Africa



Imagine having an East-West only interferometer looking at a Dec 0 source

## Source at **Dec +30**: Shorter tracks (horizon!)



## KAT-7 (MeerKAT test array) South Africa



## A celestial duck (at Dec -30, 6hr observation with KAT-7 [21 baselines])



Imaging complex structures with sparse arrays is hard

## The u,v plane: GMRT (435 baselines)





## The u,v plane: GMRT





## An example of the effect of more baselines: imaging the galactic centre



VLA (351 baselines)

## An example: imaging the galactic centre





VLA (351 baselines)

MeerKAT (2016 baselines)

Now you understand why radio images (often) look so bad? Incomplete aperture!! Bad sampling!! Beware!!





Most astrophysical **transients** are point sources

This means that sparse arrays and 'snapshots' (short observations with poor u,v coverage) can work

**However**, long observations of a source which is varying *during* the run will have artefacts: if the source is **brighter** for a few scans the (inverse) this is indistinguishable from more flux at that position angle and the FT can (often) produce an elongated structure at that angle.

Hence (perversely!) rapid variability from transients can produce artefacts which look like jets!! VLBI: ironically the longest wavelengths give us highest resolution. How? Because we can record and store the phases (and then ship them to a correlator) So the highest angular resolution in astronomy comes from the highest frequency at which we can record phases: millimetre VLBI



EHT network

Down the rabbit hole...

We didn't talk about **calibration** 

Then you improve your 'dirty' image by applying the non-linear 'clean' algorithm

Maybe reconsider your weightings?

Finally you make a beautiful image which everyone trusts except you.

There are a lot of details in radio data reduction, but there are also a lot of experienced people out there and a lot of good resources. You can do it!



# **RADIO ASTRONOMY**

# RADIO TRANSIENT ASTROPHYSICS

## FUTURE FACILITIES AND PROSPECTS

Synchrotron (incoherent) transients are associated with particle acceleration and kinetic feedback from explosive events (all explosions except SN Ia so far...)

In most cases they are the **only** way to estimate the power from the event going into the kinetic energy (outflow) channel





Radio arrays offer a **unique combination** of **very wide fields of view** and **high angular resolution** 

However, for most astrophysical transients they are still sometimes less sensitive than X-ray telescopes for synchrotron sources Coherent transients (FRBs and various flavours of pulsar) have short durations, and hence very high brightness temperatures

The underlying physics is unclear, but the intrinsic pulse narrowness means the observed profile tells us about properties of IGM/ISM





# Radio telescopes are currently the **only** way to discover these sources

In some case - e.g. CHIME - large numbers are being found but with poor localisation (but see ASKAP)

Multi- $\lambda$  data are very sparse



Radio Transients Iuminosity timescale parameter space

Pietka, Fender & Keane (2015)

# https://github.com/robfender/ThunderBooks



**Basics.ipynb** 

## Equipartition analysis.ipynb

All the basic relations between electron energy, magnetic field, observed frequencies

How to calculate Brightness Temperature

Simplifield (and full) minimum energy calculations

Calculate full synchrotron spectrum (optically thick and thin) for an evolving, expanding blob

# Synchrotron emission, simple things

Most of this is in the Jupyter notebook: https://github.com/robfender/ThunderBooks

Underlying electron energy distribution can be directly measured when source is optically thin

High brightness temperatures ( $T_B > 10^8$  K) rule out thermal emission in most cases.

However, there is a **maximum** brightness temperature  $(T_{B,max} \sim 10^{12} \text{ K})$ : above this you require relativistic beaming and/or interstellar scintillation and/or coherent (pulsar-like) processes. Invoking  $T_{B,max} \sim 10^{12} \text{ K}$  can be used to place a *lower* limit on the size of an emitting region.

Linear polarization measurements when optically thin tell you about orientation of magnetic field

## **Energy:**

If you can measure the **luminosity** and **size** of synchrotron emitting region you can estimate the minimum energy content in magnetic fields and electrons. This is <u>the</u> main way to estimate kinetic energy feedback from transients.

This also gives you the corresponding magnetic field so you can estimate how energetic the electrons emitting at any frequency are.



# Synchrotron emission

## **Energy:**

If you can measure the **luminosity** and **size** of synchrotron emitting region you can estimate the minimum energy content in magnetic fields and electrons. This is <u>the</u> main way to estimate kinetic energy feedback from transients.

This also gives you the corresponding magnetic field so you can estimate how energetic the electrons emitting at any frequency are.

Note the radio emission 'glows' for a long time after energy injection (>10,000 yr for AGN) Physical size and volume from timescale and expansion at speed 3.00e+10 cm/sec t= 1.80e+03 sec, r= 5.40e+13 cm, V = 6.60e+41 cm^3 Calculated specific luminosity L = 7.31e+21 erg / sec / Hz Minimum Energy E ~ 1.50e+40 erg The corresponding magnetic field is B ~ 4.80e-01 Gauss And so the total energy in magnetic fields is 6.05e+39 erg Lorentz factor of emitting electrons for this field is 8.48e+01



# How do you measure the size?



**Directly resolving** the size of the emitting region is the most obvious way.

This is what Burbidge did in 1958, for AGN, in the first use of the minimum energy / equipartition approach.



For an *unresolved* source, you can measure the size if you know the frequency of the self absorption peak (see Fender & Bright 2019 for application to XRBs)



# MeerKAT and galactic radio

transients

64 13.5-m dishes (preceded by KAT-7 test array, succeeded by SKA1-MID)

NRF **National Research** Foundation



Astronomy Observatory



Heywood et al. (2022)



IEI740.7-2942 The 'Great Annhilator'

The original 'microquasar'



Heywood et al. (2022)

## Radio filaments unexplained!

IE1740.7-2942 The 'Great Annhilator'

The original 'microquasar'

Persistent hard state BH XRB with parsecscale jets

•

Heywood et al. (2022)





## ThunderKAT

Fender & Woudt

(see also VAST programme on ASKAP)

MeerKAT large survey project



Weekly monitoring of all active XRB (15-minute blocks, 1.4 GHz band)



Movie credit Alex Andersson



#### ThunderKAT has found lots of jets and jet-related structures, old and new

Produced by Alex Andersson as part of ThunderKAT

ThunderKAT

Fender & Woudt

# MeerKAT large survey project





= previously unknown large-scale jets (or jet-related structures)
= previously known large-scale structures



 $\Delta t = 167.32 \text{ d}$ 

 $\Delta t = 173.32 \text{ d}$ 

∆*t* = 178.32 d

Espinasse et al. (2020)

Bright et al.

(2020)

Jets tracked for over half a year as they propagate to nearly a light year from BH

Very large estimates of ejection power

 $E(\Delta t=90d) \gtrsim 10^{42} \text{ erg } [!!]$ 

Bright et al. (2020)

Size from direct imaging



~0.1 arcsec (eMERLIN)

Size + flux  $\rightarrow$  minimum (equipartition) energy

This gave us

THE AMAZING LARGE-SCALE JETS OF MAXI J1848 (IN A GLOBULAR CLUSTER)

BAHRAMIAN ET AL. (2023)

Produced by Alex Andersson as part of ThunderKAT



week 1

10 arcsec



A SOUTHERN SS433

GASEALAHWE ET AL. (IN PREP)

Produced by Alex Andersson as part of ThunderKAT





www.nature.com/natastron/May 2021 Vol. 5 No. 5

# nature astronomy

New sources of neutrinos

## Radio emission from extragalactic transients

Possible detection of a 0.2 PeV **astrophysical neutrino** coincident with strong jet from late-time Tidal Disruption Event



**Icecube** detection of a probable astrophysical neutrino prompted a search with the **Zwicky Transient Facility** which discovered a new optical transient. Study of earlier images found a new TDE (candidate) which had begun nearly half a year earlier.

Stein et al. (2021)



## (Tidal Disruption **E**vent)

TDE 2019sdg

Ice Cube neutrino IC191001A

Estimated 59% probability to be astrophysical

Chance of coincident TDE estimated to be 0.2%. Occurred ~half year after event initiation.

а



#### Size from synchrotron selfabsorption

Strong and variable radio emission (relativistic outflow / jet)

Radio emission indicates unusual, optically thick (i.e. opaque) ejecta, expanding at ~0.1c and being continuously powered at a high rate (ongoing accretion likely)

Particle acceleration continuing until at least date of neutrino detection



#### Jetted TDE AT2022CMC

High cadence long observational monitoring of jetted TDE AT2022cmc

Variability implies strong Doppler boosting

(because  $T_{B, apparent} = 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ K}$ )

## Rhodes et al. (2023)





High cadence long observational monitoring of jetted TDE AT2022cmc

Variability implies strong Doppler boosting, likely minimum Lorentz factor 20

(to reduce  $T_{B, intrinsic} \rightarrow 10^{12} \text{ K}$ )

Rhodes et al. (2023)





#### **GRB 221009A reverse shock**

Rapid response with a small array (AMI-LA, UK) produced the most comprehensive ever study of a reverse shock from a GRB (the 'BOAT')

#### Bright et al. (2023)





This event would have been 0.6 Jy (that's a lot!) at 35 minutes at 230 GHz (mm band)

**Single mm dishes** can contribute to transients science if respond rapidly

Bright et al. (2023); figure by Lauren Rhodes





Neutron star merger / gravitational wave / short GRB GW170817

Very long lasting radio afterglow

Most likely interpretation from lightcurve was a jet

Unambiguously established by VLBI observations of superluminal motion







Makhathini et al. 2021, Mooley et al. 2018

# **RADIO ASTRONOMY**

# RADIO TRANSIENT ASTROPHYSICS

# FUTURE FACILITIES AND PROSPECTS



## On a timescale of ~5 years MeerKAT will become SKA1-MID

Increased sensitivity, frequency range and greatly improved baselines

In the meantime, MeerKAT has deployed 'S-band' receivers (current are 'L-band')  $\rightarrow$  double the frequency, double the angular resolution

In addition MeerKAT  $\rightarrow$  MeerKAT+: longer baselines, again improving angular



# MONITORING BRIGHT TRANSIENTS IN THE SKA ERA

The SKA (+extended VLA or equivalent) will be **the** main facility for radio science in the 2030s

You do not need sub-µJy sensitivity to monitor many of these transients (of course there will be transients at all flux levels)

Optical astronomy faced this issue and responded by building large numbers of smaller telescopes to support the very large front-line (8m+) faciliites What about for radio transients?

- SKA can do the deep observations **and** the monitoring, but this may require continuous use of a subset of antennas
- A possible alternative is a dedicated array for rapid followup and monitoring of radio transients, building around the lessons from AMI



## The Africa Millimetre Telescope

A transformational upgrade to the Event Horizon Telescope **and** a mm transients monitor



seline

Longer baselines: higher resolution More baslines: more complete image



Radboud University University of Amsterdam University of Oxford

# THE END