Tests of general relativity with gravitational waves

Sylvain Marsat (L2IT, Toulouse)



Théories, Univers et Gravitation

Montpellier - 2022-10-04

1

- Introduction: fundamental physics and gravitational waves
- LIGO-Virgo-Kagra observations
- GWI708I7 and its consequences
- Tests of GR from LVK GWTC-3 observations
- The future of GW astronomy and TGR

Introduction: fundamental physics and gravitational waves

- LIGO-Virgo-Kagra observations
- GWI708I7 and its consequences
- Tests of GR from LVK GWTC-3 observations
- The future of GW astronomy and TGR

The gravitational waves landscape



[Moore&al 2014]

Gravitational waves and fundamental physics

Open questions in fundamental physics: dark matter/ dark energy, quantum gravity, nature of compact objects

What gravitational waves science can bring:

- Confirming the GR prediction in the first place !
- GW inspiral, merger, ringdown probe gravity and compact objects in different regimes
- Explore different scales across the GW spectrum
- Extreme phenomena in strong-field GR
- A new, independent cosmological probe
- Stochastic GW backgrounds from the early universe



10

 $(M/L^3)^{1/2} \, [\mathrm{km}^{-1}]$

П

Gravitational waves and fundamental physics



Tests of general relativity with gravitational waves

What can we really test for from our observations ? No I-to-I mapping between theory space and data analysis space.



- Introduction: fundamental physics and gravitational waves
- LIGO-Virgo-Kagra observations
- GWI708I7 and its consequences
- Tests of GR from LVK GWTC-3 observations
- The future of GW astronomy and TGR

Ground based detectors: timeline



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Black Holes LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Neutron Stars EM Black Holes EM Neutron Stars



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern

LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Black Holes LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Neutron Stars EM Black Holes EM Neutron Stars



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern

LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Black Holes LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Neutron Stars EM Black Holes EM Neutron Stars



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA | Aaron Geller | Northwestern

LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Black Holes LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Neutron Stars EM Black Holes EM Neutron Stars



- Introduction: fundamental physics and gravitational waves
- LIGO-Virgo-Kagra observations

• GWI708I7 and its consequences

- Tests of GR from LVK GWTC-3 observations
- The future of GW astronomy and TGR

GWI70817: a GW signal with EM counterpart









[Santa Cruz and Carnegie Observatories/Ryan Foley]

GW170817: measuring the speed of gravitational waves



[LIGO-Virgo, Fermi, INTEGRAL 2017]

GWI70817: consequences of the speed measurement

The constraint $c_g = c$ excludes wide regions of theory space



[Ezquiaga&al 2017]

GWI708I7: Shapiro time delay test



Contribution of the mass of NGC4993 and the Milky Way: [LIGO-Virgo, Fermi, INTEGRAL 2017]

$$-2.6 \times 10^{-7} \leq \gamma_{\rm GW} - \gamma_{\rm EM} \leq 1.2 \times 10^{-6}$$

Taking into account dark matter profile: [Boran&al 2017] $|\gamma_{\rm GW}-\gamma_{\rm EM}| < 9.8\times 10^{-8}$

Best absolute bound so far from Cassini: [Bertotti&al 2003]

$$\gamma_{\rm EM} - 1 = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-5}$$

GWI708I7: a new measure of H0



Gravitational waves as standard sirens: direct access to the luminosity distance. Different systematics ! Bright siren: presence of an EM counterpart measuring z



GWI708I7: constraining leakage in extra dimensions

Motivation: consider the propagation of gravitational degrees of freedom in extra dimensions D>4 on large scales

Phenomenological ansatz:

$$h \propto \frac{1}{d_L^{\text{GW}}} = \frac{1}{d_L^{\text{EM}}} \left[1 + \left(\frac{d_L^{\text{EM}}}{R_c}\right)^n \right]^{-(D-4)/(2n)}$$

 R_c transition distance n transition index

 $d_L^{\text{GW}}, d_L^{\text{EM}}$ both measured by GW170817 obs. (if we assume a cosmology).



Testing this relation of luminosity distance also constrains scenarios with damping, oscillations.

GWI708I7: constraining dipolar radiation

Dipolar radiation: present in particular for scalar-tensor theories

Fourier-domain phasing modified by the energy flux emitted in dipolar radiation:

$$\Psi(f) = 2\pi f t_c - \Phi_c - \pi/4$$
[Will 1994]
$$+ \frac{3}{128} u^{-5/3} \left(1 - \left(\frac{4}{7}b\eta^{2/5}u^{-2/3}\right) - \text{IPN term in the phasing} \right)$$



Binary pulsar constraints: $|\delta \hat{\varphi}_{-2}| < 3.4 \times 10^{-8}$

- Introduction: fundamental physics and gravitational waves
- LIGO-Virgo-Kagra observations
- GWI708I7 and its consequences

• Tests of GR from LVK GWTC-3 observations

• The future of GW astronomy and TGR

Data analysis aspects

Bayesian analysis:
$$p(\theta|d) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(d|\theta)p_0(\theta)}{p(d)}$$

extend waveforms to include deviations, parametrized by new params $\theta \rightarrow (\theta, \alpha)$

Bayesian hypothesis testing: Bayes ratio

$$\mathcal{B} = \frac{p(d|\text{modGR})}{p(d|\text{GR})}$$
$$p(d|A) = \int d\theta p(d|\theta, A) p(\theta|A) \text{ evidence}$$

How to combine different events ?

- Fix a common value of the modGR param α for all events
- Hierarchical inference: introduce an underlying distribution, infer its hierarchical params ex.: $\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$, infer (μ, σ)

Events selection

Different tests require different selection thresholds (e.g. enough SNR in inspiral/ merger)

Event	Inst.	Tests performed							
		RT	IMR	PAR	SIM	MDR	POL	RD	ECH
GW191109_010717	HL	1	-	_	-	—	1	\checkmark	\checkmark
GW191129_134029	HL	1	-	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	_	\checkmark
GW191204_171526	HL	1	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	\checkmark
GW191215_223052	HLV	1	_	_	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	\checkmark
GW191216_213338	HV	✓	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	\checkmark
GW191222_033537	HL	1	_	_	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
GW200115_042309	HLV	✓	_	\checkmark	_	_	_	_	\checkmark
GW200129_065458	HLV	✓	\checkmark						
GW200202_154313	HLV	✓	_	\checkmark	_	\checkmark	_	_	\checkmark
GW200208_130117	HLV	1	\checkmark	_	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	\checkmark
GW200219_094415	HLV	✓	_	_	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	\checkmark
GW200224_222234	HLV	1	\checkmark	_	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
GW200225_060421	HL	1	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	\checkmark
GW200311_115853	HLV	1	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	1	\checkmark
GW200316_215756	HLV	✓	_	\checkmark	\checkmark	_	_	_	\checkmark

[LVK 2021]

Data quality (glitches) is crucial !

Method:

- Subtract maximum-likelihood waveform (now with IMRPhenomXPHM)
- Build SNR90, measure of the SNR of the residual
- Compute the same SNR90 on noise time segments drawn from 4096s of data
- Check that the residuals pvalue with respect to noise is uniform



Likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{p}) = \binom{N}{n} p^n \left(1 - p\right)^{N - n}$$

GWTC-3: IMR consistency test

Method:

- Separate inspiral and MR signal after Kerr ISCO (must have enough SNR in both)
- Perform PE on both
- Compute final mass and spin from NR fits for both

Check whether fractional deviations are compatible with 0:

$$\frac{\Delta M_{\rm f}}{\bar{M}_{\rm f}} = 2 \frac{M_{\rm f}^{\rm insp} - M_{\rm f}^{\rm postinsp}}{M_{\rm f}^{\rm insp} + M_{\rm f}^{\rm postinsp}}, \quad \frac{\Delta \chi_{\rm f}}{\bar{\chi}_{\rm f}} = 2 \frac{\chi_{\rm f}^{\rm insp} - \chi_{\rm f}^{\rm postinsp}}{\chi_{\rm f}^{\rm insp} + \chi_{\rm f}^{\rm postinsp}}$$



GWTC-3: generic inspiral modification

Modify PN coefficients in the inspiral part of the phasing (up to a where the effect is tapered) $\varphi_{\rm PN}(f) = 2\pi f t_{\rm c} - \varphi_{\rm c} - \frac{\pi}{4}$ PN phasing coeffs (GR: functions of mass ratio, spin) $+ \frac{3}{128\eta} \left(\pi \tilde{f}\right)^{-5/3} \sum_{i=0}^{7} \left[\varphi_{i} + \varphi_{i}\right] \log(\pi \tilde{f})^{i/3}$

Set of coefficients: known PN coefficients + dipolar term $\{\delta \hat{\varphi}_{-2}, \delta \hat{\varphi}_0, \delta \hat{\varphi}_1, \delta \hat{\varphi}_2, \delta \hat{\varphi}_3, \delta \hat{\varphi}_4, \delta \hat{\varphi}_{5l}, \delta \hat{\varphi}_6, \delta \hat{\varphi}_{6l}, \delta \hat{\varphi}_7\}$

GWTC-3: spin-induced quadrupole test

Test of the multipolar structure of compact objects, as a modification of the inspiral phasing

Spin-induced quadrupole: $Q = -\kappa \chi^2 m^3$

- For a Kerr black hole: $\kappa = 1$
- For a neutron star: $\kappa \simeq 2 14$
- Exotic compact objects (ECOs) ?

Leading order contribution at 2PN in the phasing, weak constraints for small spins.

Here using only $\kappa_s = (\kappa_1 + \kappa_2)/2$

GWTC-3: dispersion relation

Test of the propagation of gravitational waves

Generalized dispersion relation considered: $E^2 = p^2 c^2 + A_{\alpha} p^{\alpha} c^{\alpha}$

- Massive gravity: $\alpha = 0$ $\sqrt{A_0} = m_g c^2$
- Change in the speed of GW: $\alpha = 2$

Low and high frequencies propagate at different speeds (except $\alpha = 2$), leaves an imprint on the phasing of the wave

Graviton mass bound:

$$m_g \le 1.27 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{eV}/c^2$$

Graviton mass bound from solar system tests:

$$m_g \le 3.16 \times 10^{-23} \text{eV}/c^2$$

GWTC-3: ringdown tests

Test of the nature of coalescence remnants as Kerr black holes through their QNMs

Post-merger ringdown signal: spin-weighted spheroidal modes with overtones

$$h_{+}(t) - ih_{\times}(t) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\ell m n} \exp\left[-\frac{t - t_{0}}{(1 + z)\tau_{\ell m n}}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi i f_{\ell m n}(t - t_{0})}{1 + z}\right]_{-2} S_{\ell m n}(\theta, \phi, \chi_{\rm f})$$

QNM frequencies and damping times functions of the remnant mass and spin in GR:

 $f_{lmn}(M_f,\chi_f), \ \tau_{lmn}(M_f,\chi_f)$

Method:

Analyze ringdown-only signal, consider HM, overtones, mod GR (pyRing)
Modify QNMs inside an IMR waveform model (pSEOBNRv4HM)

GWTC-3: polarization content of **GW**

Different methods:

- GR templates, Bayes ratio for full-vector and full-tensor
- GWTC-2: agnostic null-stream construction
- GWTC-3: extension of the null-stream construction for 2-detector events

Best constraints: GW170817, known location

Full-vector and tensor disfavoured at $\mathcal{B} \sim 20$

Very dependent on the number and orientation of detectors !

GWTC-3: echoes

GW echoes are generic for horizonless compact objects, have been proposed as smoking gun for Planck-scale structures at the horizon, wormholes, ECOs

Method:

- GWTC-2: morphology-dependent as in [Abedi&al 2017]
- GWTC-3: morphology-independent, superposition of decaying repeating sine-Gaussians, compare the Bayes ratio of signal vs noise to the background

- Introduction: fundamental physics and gravitational waves
- LIGO-Virgo-Kagra observations
- GWI708I7 and its consequences
- Tests of GR from LVK GWTC-3 observations
- The future of GW astronomy and TGR

The future of GW science

The future of GW science

Measuring multi-mode ringdown signals

Event rates with ringdown and multiple QNMs detectable

35

Detecting the nonlinear memory effect

Nonlinear memory: secular term in the gravitational waves [Christodoulou 1991]

$$h_{jk}^{\mathrm{TT,mem}} = \frac{4}{r} \int_{-\infty}^{u} du' \left[\int \frac{dE}{d\Omega' du} \frac{n'_{j}n'_{k}}{1 - \mathbf{n'} \cdot \mathbf{n}} d\Omega' \right]^{TT}$$

Challenging to detect, needs stacking of a large number of signals

Multiband GW observations and TGR

10-18 week characteristic amplitude ₁₂₋₀₁ amplitude ₁₂₋₀₁ characteristic • Challenging detections for LISA on its own • Archival searches possible from detections on the ground LISA 10-22 aLIGO 10-3 0.01 10² 10³ 0.1 10 1 frequency [Hz] [Sesana 2017] 10^{-2} A0620-00 LMXB 10 MBHs GW150914-like IMRIs EMRIs . Constraints on dipolar 10 constraint on |B| GW150914 emission from 10-2 multiband observations: 10^{-6} 10^{-7} 10^{-8} Deserver100 - $C_{M_{A_{I}}}$ CAVA2 V142 C.N24, C.M.S.A.S CALAS | 1/22/ Curr et 100 MAS 1242 Classic LUS 100 17

[Barausse&al 2016]

Thank you !

Future instruments: source superposition and confusion

Superposition of signals for LISA