

Bubble wall dynamics at the electroweak phase transition

Luigi Delle Rose

Università della Calabria


23/06/2022

LIO International Conference and France-Korea STAR Workshop
Fundamental Forces from Colliders to Gravitational Waves

Thermal History of the Universe

Phase transitions are important events in the evolution of the Universe

- ▶ the SM predicts two of them (*the two phases are smoothly connected (cross over)*)
 - no strong breaking of thermal equilibrium
 - no distinctive experimental signatures




Thermal History of the Universe

Additional phase transitions could be present due to **new-physics**
well motivated example:

- ▶ Peccei-Quinn symmetry breaking connected to QCD axion


LDR, Panico, Redi, Tesi, 2020



first-order EWPhT


New physics may provide **first order** phase transitions

- a barrier in the potential may be generated from tree-level deformations, thermal or quantum effects
 - the field tunnels from false to true minimum at $T = T_n < T_c$
 - the transition proceeds through bubble nucleation
-
- ▶ significant breaking of thermal equilibrium (relevant for baryogenesis)
 - ▶ interesting experimental signatures (eg. gravitational waves)



Bubble nucleation


Bubble dynamics can produce **gravitational waves** and **baryogenesis**



How to get a first-order PhT


I. “Single field” transitions

- ▶ barrier coming from:
 - quantum corrections due to additional fields
 - thermal effects



II. “Multiple field” transitions

- ▶ barrier can be present already at tree-level and $T=0$
- ▶ minima in different directions in field space



Extended Higgs sectors

**New Physics
in the Higgs sector**

**First order
phase transitions**

DM candidate

Collider - cosmology synergy

Gravitational waves

**Deviations in Higgs
couplings + new states**

*testable at
future interferometers*

*testable at
future colliders*

EW Baryogenesis

Key features of a first-order PhT

- the nucleation temperature T_n
 - the strength α
 - the (inverse) time duration of the transition β/H
 - the speed of the bubble wall v_w
 - the thickness of the bubble wall L_w
- } equilibrium quantities
- } non-equilibrium quantities


Gravitational waves and the efficiency of the EW-baryogenesis crucially depend on them

EWBG is typically efficient for slowly-moving walls. Recent results show efficiency also for fast-moving walls [Dorsch, Huber, Konstandin, 2021]

GWs are maximised for fast-moving walls


GW from a first-order PhT

First-order PhTs produce stochastic background of gravitational waves




for the EWPhT the peak frequency is within the range of future experiments

- wall speed has a strong effect on the shape of the power spectrum
- wall speed will be the best determined parameter



Dynamics of the bubble wall

System setup:
scalar field + plasma



- The bubble wall drives plasma out of equilibrium
- Interactions between plasma and wall front produce a friction
- If the friction and pressure inside the bubble balance we can realise a steady state regime (terminal velocity reached)

in the following we assume a planar wall and a steady state regime

Dynamics of the bubble wall

Coupled system of equations. For each particle species $f(p, z) = f_v(p, z) + \delta f(p, z)$

- Scalar field equation

$$\phi' \square \phi - V'_T = \sum N_i \frac{dm^2}{dz} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3 2E_p} \delta f(p)$$

- Boltzmann equation

$$\left(\frac{p_z}{E} \partial_z - \frac{(m^2)'}{2E} \partial_{p_z} \right) (f_v + \delta f) = -\mathcal{C}[f_v + \delta f]$$

- ▶ External force from space dependent mass drives the plasma out of equilibrium

$$m(z) = \frac{m_0}{2} \left(1 + \tanh \left[\frac{z}{L_w} \right] \right)$$

- ▶ Collisions between particles in the plasma tend to restore equilibrium

$$\mathcal{C}[f_v + \delta f]$$

The Boltzmann equation

$$\left(\frac{p_z}{E} \partial_z - \frac{(m^2)'}{2E} \partial_{p_z} \right) f \equiv \mathcal{L}[f] = -\mathcal{C}[f]$$


Assumptions on the plasma:

- High temperature, weakly coupled plasma
- Higgs varying scale $L_w \gg q^{-1}$ inverse of momentum transfer in the plasma
- Only $2 \rightarrow 2$ processes in the plasma are considered (*assumption valid for the computation of the collision integral*)
- Plasma made of two different kind of species
 - Top quark and W/Z bosons (main contributions)
 - All the other SM particles (background, assumed to be in equilibrium)

LHS - the Liouville operator

Liouville operator is a derivative along flow paths

$$\mathcal{L}[f] = \left(\frac{p_z}{E} \partial_z - \frac{(m^2(z))'}{2E} \partial_{p_z} \right) f \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{p_z}{E} \frac{df}{dz}$$



E , p_{\perp} and $c = \sqrt{p_z^2 + m^2(z)}$ are conserved along the flow paths

RHS - the collision term

The collision term is the hard part of the Boltzmann equation

$$C[f_v + \delta f] = \frac{1}{4N_i E_i} \sum_j \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 p' d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^5 2E_k 2E_{p'} 2E_{k'}} |\mathcal{M}_j|^2 \mathcal{P}[f_v + \delta f] \delta^4(p + k - p' - k')$$

for 2 ↔ 2 processes

Boltzmann equation is an integro-differential equation

Typical setup:

- friction contributions only from the top quark
- background is not perturbed
- infrared divergences regularised by thermal masses
- only leading-log terms are considered

process	$ \mathcal{M} ^2$
$t\bar{t} \rightarrow gg$	$\frac{128}{3} g_s^4 \left[\frac{ut}{(t - m_q^2)^2} + \frac{ut}{(u - m_q^2)^2} \right]$
$tg \rightarrow tg$	$-\frac{128}{3} g_s^4 \frac{su}{(u - m_q^2)^2} + 96 g_s^4 \frac{s^2 + u^2}{(t - m_g^2)^2}$
$tq \rightarrow tq$	$160 g_s^4 \frac{s^2 + u^2}{(t - m_g^2)^2}$

Previous approaches to the Boltzmann equation

To deal with the collision term, previous approaches made assumptions on the *shape* of the perturbation in momentum space

- Fluid approximation [1]
- Extended fluid approximation [2]
- New formalism [3]

[1] Moore, Prokopec, 1995
[2] Dorsch, Huber, Konstandin, 2022
[3] Laurent, Cline, 2020

[1] and [2] dubbed “old formalism” (OF) in the following

1!!! the $\partial_{p_z} \delta f$ term neglected

2!!! Boltzmann equation integrated with a set of (*not unique*) weights

Alternative methods

- Expansion of δf in a polynomial basis [4]
- Holographic approach [5]

[4] Laurent, Cline, 2022
[5] Bigazzi, Caddeo, Canneti, Cotrone

Full solution to the Boltzmann equation





We propose a new method to solve the Boltzmann equation
without imposing any ansatz for δf

De Curtis, LDR, Guiggiani, Gil Muyor, Panico, 2022


Key features

- No term in the Boltzmann equation is neglected
- New approach to deal with collision integrals
- Iterative routine where convergence is achieved in few steps

Results for the friction



Integrated friction



Conclusions and outlook

Conclusions:

- ▶ Fully quantitative solution without any ansatz on δf
- ▶ Necessary for a reliable computation of ν_w
- ▶ Quantitative and qualitative differences with previous approaches mainly for $\nu_w \gtrsim 0.2$

Future perspectives:

- Inclusion of the massive W/Z bosons and massless background species
- Inclusion of $1 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 1$ plasma processes in the collision integrals
- going beyond leading-log
- determination of ν_w (by solving Boltzmann + scalar EOM)