Satellite flares in ZTF alert stream and what we should expect in LSST

Sergey Karpov (FZU) & Julien Peloton (CNRS/IJCLab)





EVROPSKÁ UNIE Evropské strukturální a investiční fondy Operační program Výzkum, vývoj a vzdělávání







Rapid optical flashes

- Anything shorter than a day
 - GRBs, shock breakouts, stellar flares, ...
- Actual rapid (subsecond?) flashes
 - FRBs, ...

• Satellite flares are the most common :-(Perseus flasher, GN-z11-Flash









- Data between Nov 2019 and Dec 2021
- Quality cuts, SIMBAD, MPC
- subtractions







RA, degrees

Tracklets

- build great circles through every pair of dots
- select the ones with at least 5 dots closer than 1 arcsec
- merge the ones that are close enough

tracklet = several events detected on the same exposure and located along the same smooth curve on the sky

Limit of 5 points in order to minimize false associations, corresponding to 10^{-11} probability of a random coincidence for 5 candidate events per exposure, and 10^{-5} – for 50 candidates per exposure



6,450 unique tracklets with 73,368 (11.5%) events



Matching with satellites

- TLE data from NORAD catalogue
- propagation using SkyField package \bullet
- closest co-linear tracks \bullet

3,841 (60%) of tracklets matched with satellites **45,387** (62%) of tracklet events

59,421 (9.3%) of all candidates also matched!

ID	Satellite name	Ntracklets	Nalerts	Status	<i>a</i> , km	RCS, m ²	Arc, deg.	P_{m} , s.	<i>P</i> p, s.	au , s.	
21964	PALAPA B4	96	810	Unknown	42261	12.6	0.13	0.5	3	0.07	+
24769	BSAT-1A	95	1223	Inactive	42492	15.8	0.12	0.4	1	0.07	
23314	THAICOM 2	84	937	Inactive	42359	1.3	0.12	0.5	3	0.07	+
14134	PALAPA B1	77	1151	Inactive	42199	1.3	0.12	0.4	2	0.07	• •
23016	GALAXY 1R	74	727	Inactive	42463	8.3	0.12	0.7	3	0.07	
25312	BSAT-1B	72	794	Inactive	42492	12.6	0.12	0.4	2	0.07	+'
20402	JCSAT 2	71	677	Inactive	42659	8.2	0.12	0.3	2	0.07	
14234	ARABSAT 1DR (TELSTAR 3A)	69	866	Inactive	42377	2.5	0.13	0.6	1	0.07	
22931	THAICOM 1	68	671	Inactive	42474	1.0	0.12	0.5	3	0.07	+9
20193	SIRIUS W (MARCOPOLO 1)	67	891	Unknown	42473	2.0	0.12	0.4	1	0.07	
				//							
28556	ARIANE 1 DEB	1	5	Unknown	27013	0.1	0.051	0.7	1	0.2	+9
22911	SOLIDARIDAD 1	1	5	Inactive	42164	12.5	0.12		4	0.07	
15386	MARECS B2	1	5	Inactive	43429	3.2	0.11		3	0.07	
26715	USA 157	1	5	Active	42166		0.12	1	1	0.07	+9
44012	ATLAS 5 CENTAUR DEB	1	5	Unknown	29065		0.2	0.7	0.7	0.04	
29516	SINOSAT 2	1	5	Inactive	44374	10.0	0.11	0.3	5	0.07	
5589	TITAN 3C TRANSTAGE R/B	1	5	Unknown	43053	2.6	0.12	0.5	1	0.07	+9
32387	RASCOM 1	1	5	Inactive	42508	9.0	0.12	0.4	2	0.07	
30323	BEIDOU 1D	1	5	Inactive	42483	20.0	0.12	0.3	6	0.07	
34705	IRIDIUM 33 DEB	1	5	Unknown	7023	0.0	13	0.003	0.2	0.0007	+ 9

308 individual satellites, **97%** inactive



SL-12 R/B(2) - NORAD 23451



GALAXY 9 - NORAD 23877



Matching with satellites







Morphological analysis

- simple peak detection after masking brighter objects on the templates not too reliable!
- **56,461** (77.0%) of tracklet events show two or more peaks
- **127,655** (20%) of all candidate events also show two or more peaks













Temporal properties

- association with known satellites gives true arc length
- distance between peaks / events gives period
- point-like shape gives flash durations / upper limits only!
- flash duration gives "instant" flash magnitude





Brightness of the flares

magpsf = stdmag +
$$F(\phi)$$
 + 5 log $(\frac{d}{1000 \text{ km}})$ - 2.5 log $(\frac{d}{3000 \text{ km}})$

- distances from satellite associations \bullet
- durations from point-like shape lacksquare
- high temporal resolution data from Mini-MegaTORTORA / MMT-9 360,000 tracks of 9,500 satellites over 8 years, 10 fps, ~10 mag limit









Figure 13. The amplitude of light curve peaks in Mini-MegaTORTORA (MMT-9) photometric database versus difference of their mean magnitudes as measured by ZTF and mean track magnitudes in Mini-MegaTORTORA data. For Mini-MegaTORTORA data, every individual track is considered individually to better accommodate for different observing conditions; also, the brightness corresponds mostly to the reflection from the main body of the satellite. For ZTF, on the other hand, just a single mean brightness value for every satellite is considered, and it corresponds to the peaks of the glints, i.e. the reflections from some specular reflective surfaces. We consider the satellite "flashing" in Mini-MegaTORTORA data if the peak amplitude is at least 1.5 magnitudes above the smoothed light curve trends. This division is shown with dashed red horizontal line.

The flares have typical amplitudes of ~2-5 magnitudes above "quiescent" light curve



Figure 16. The amplitudes of light curve peaks in ZTF data for the events associated with known satellites as a function of their standard magnitudes and orbital parameters. The amplitudes are estimated from differential PSF magnitudes and differential detection limits of individual alerts, and are thus lower limits for actual flash amplitudes.



So what about LSST?..

- Visits are 2x15s, but only coadds will be analyzed so correction apparent -> instant magnitude will be the same
- Spatial resolution and seeing will be ~3-5 times better so only shorter flashes will still be point-like
- Pixel crossing time will be 2.5 times shorter
 so the "quiescent" trail will be fainter by ~1 magnitude
- Detection limit will be ~4 magnitudes better so the trail will be detectable at ~3 mag deeper
- The trails are invisible in ZTF so some will still be there?..