# **ND280 upgrade design and resistive Micromegas**

David Henaff Irfu, DphP, CEA April 11, 2022

## **Outline**

- ➢ A bit of context
- ➢ T2K: ND280 10 years ago
- ➢ Future T2K: How to overcome actual limitations?
- ➢ Focus on High-Angle TPC

# **Why studying neutrinos?**

- ➢ In flavour physics, neutrino sector remains the less constraint one. With many openquestions that may be linked to Standard Model limitations
	- ➢ Neutrino mass origin, mass hierarchy, CP-violation, sterile neutrino…
- $\triangleright$  Most of those measurements could be performed by studying neutrino oscillation:
	- $\triangleright$  Mechanism describing the flavour evolution of neutrino as function of their energy and distance propagation:  $P_{\nu_\alpha \to \nu_\beta}(L,E)$
- Depends on:
	- ➢ Three mixing angles driving oscillation amplitudes
	- $\triangleright$  Two (3) Mass-squared differences driving oscillation frequencies
	- $\triangleright$  One CP-violation phase.

#### **A lot of parameters to constraint!**



3 / 21 *Muonic neutrino oscillation probability for T2K (295 km)*

# **Oscillation analysis strategy**

- ➢ Measurement of neutrino oscillation implies to compare measurement before and after oscillation
	- $\triangleright$  Using prediction to know how many neutrinos are produced
	- $\triangleright$  Using a measurement before neutrinos start to oscillation
	- $\triangleright$  And ideally using both approaches!



# **Oscillation analysis strategy**

Since oscillation discovery, a worldwide effort has been put on the measurement of all parameters



- $\triangleright$  Neutrino oscillation field is now entering in the precision era thanks to T2K, NovA..
	- $\triangleright$  Fundamental to measure the others: like CP-violation phase
- As long-baseline accelerator based experiments are the most sensible to CP, important projects have been developed: DUNE, HK.
	- ➢ **ND280 upgrade is born in this context**

### **T2K: Tokai to Kamioka**



## **ND280 design**

- ➢ Goals: measure beam spectrum and flavor composition before oscillations
	- ➢ Constraint Flux and X-section models
	- $\triangleright$  Need to measure both leptonic and hadronic (low efficiency  $\rightarrow$  was not designed for) part of nu interactions Design:

#### **SMRD UA1 Magnet Yoke FGDs** POD Downstream  $(1, 0, 0)$ ECAL detector **Solenoid Coil Barrel ECAL** P<sub>0</sub> **ECAL**

- ➢ **FGD:** 2 Fined grained detectors composed of plastic scintillator with layers of waters
	- $\geq$  Nu-target + precise determination of primary vertex
- ➢ **TPC:** 3 Time Projected Chamber based on Micromegas technology
	- ➢ Momentum and charge particle measurements + PID
- ➢ **P0D:** Upstream detector opimised for neutral pion detection
- $\triangleright$  All detectors are surrounded by an electromagnetic calorimeter and a 0.2T magnet

### **ND280 TPCs**

### Requirements:

- $▶$  Resolution on momentum better than 10% at 1GeV  $\rightarrow$  Implies a spatial resolution better than 700um
- $\geq$  dE/dx resolution better than 10% to measure nue beam contamination





- ➢ Total active area 9m2
- $\triangleright$  Gas mixture Ar(95)/CF4(3)/iC4H10(2)
- $\triangleright$  Electronics: 120k channels to readout
	- $\geq 6$  front-end + 1 mezzanine for each module
- ➢ Operated since 2009 with no observation of performance TPC Cathode  $\sim$  -25 kV

degradation!



.../........

 $(Ar + 2\% iC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>10</sub> + 3\%$ 

Micromesh  $\sim$  -350 V

fication gap<br>28 µm

lonizing narticle

### **TPC with MicroMegas**

### Time projected Chamber:

- ➢ Charged particles ionise gas molecules producing free electrons
- ➢ Application of an intense electric field to drift electrons to readout planes
- $\geq$  3D reconstruction 2D on readout planes + 1D with drift time
- Micromegas as readout system:
	- Few um above readout plane a mesh supported by pillars apply a strong electric field
	- ➢ When free electrons reach the **mesh**, an avalanche is created
		- $\blacktriangleright$  Amplification gap Gain: 10 $^3$ -10 $^4$
	- ➢ Charges are collected thanks to pads





### **ND280 TPCs**

#### Performances:

 $1.4$ 

 $1.2$ 

 $0.8$ 

 $0.6$ 

 $0.4$ 

 $0.2$ 

 $\sqrt{ }$ 

 $\Omega$ 

200

100

300

Drift distance (mm)

Spatial resolution (mm)

- ➢ Spatial resolution better than 700um
- $\triangleright$  dE/dx resolution better than 10% to measure nue beam contamination

 $-\mathsf{Data}$ 

 $-MC$ 

400 500 600 700 800

20

18

16

14

 $12$ 

 $\mathbf{g}$ 

 $\epsilon$ 

 $\Omega$ 

 $0.2$  0.4 0.6

 $p_1$ (GeV/c)

ے۔<br>آ  $10$ 

 $\sigma_{\rm pl}$ 



p (MeV/c)

#### 10 / 21

#### **All requirements achieved!**

### **Impacts on far detector analysis**

- $\triangleright$  A lot of study are performed with the near detector allowing to better constraint far detector analysis:
	- $\triangleright$  Clear impact on FD rate and shape neutrino events



### **Future T2K**

- ➢ T2K provided leading measurement of oscillation PMNS but analysis is still dominated by statistical uncertainties
	- $\triangleright$  Upgrade of the beam ongoing!
	- ➢ Hyper Kamiokande ongoing!
- ➢ Systematic will become important, requires more detailed studies to constraint them
	- ➢ Upgrade of the Near detector!
- ➢ Goals:
	- $\geq$  CP-violation at 3 sigmas if equal to -pi/2 (5 sigmas with HK)
	- $\geq$  Error on theta23 below 1.7 for maximal mixing
	- $\geq$  Error on mass-squared difference 23 below ~1%

## **ND280 upgrade**

- ➢ Limitations
	- $\geq$  Increase angular acceptance: SK (4pi) whereas ND280 mostly forward
	- $\triangleright$  Better reconstruction efficiency of the hadronic component



## **ND280 upgrade**

- ➢ Limitations
	- ➢ Increase angular acceptance: SK (4pi) whereas ND280 mostly forward
	- $\triangleright$  Better reconstruction efficiency of the hadronic component
	- Solutions: Remove P0D detector and add a new target plus 2 new TPCs
		- ➢ **Super-FGD:** Highly segmented target of 2 millions scintillator cubes readout by a 3D network a WLS → Higher statistics, primary vertex position, reconstruction of outgoing hadrons
		- ➢ **HA-TPC:** High Angle TPC below and on the top of SFGD  $\rightarrow$  improving angular acceptance
		- ➢ **TOF:** The whole is surrounded by plastic scintillator planes to tag outside background.





- ➢ Polystirene-based plastic scintillator
- ➢ Cube of 1cm side: High granularity!
- ➢ Allow to reconstruct proton down to 300 MeV/c (500 MeV/c previously)

### **Will give crucial information on hadronic component!**







### **Encapsulated Resistive MicroMegas**

**bulk MicroMegas** 

FR4 PCB

resistive anode MicroMegas

Amplification gap: ~128um

Mesh  $@ \sim -360V$ 

nads

E

- ➢ Between pads and amplification gap add a resistive layer made of DLC (Diamond Like Carbon)
	- $\geq$  Allows charge spreading in X and Y as function of time depending on resistivity values
- Advantages:
	- ➢ Better resolution with less channels
	- ➢ Reduce risk of sparks
	- $\triangleright$  Mesh at ground allowing better electrical field uniformity



### **Prototype and test beam**

#### Intensive detector characterisation w/ cosmic & beam test

- $\triangleright$  Define final design: Resistivity, glue thickness...
- R&D for ILC project
- First prototype tested with cosmics data at Saclay
- ➢ Test beam at CERN in 2018
- ➢ Second one tested during beam test at DESY in 2019
- ➢ DESY + CERN test beam in 2021
- ➢ Design fixed and production launched!



## **Track reconstruction with PRF**

- ➢ Instead of using a center of charge method to determine track positions, use the Pad Response Function.
	- ➢ Neighbouring pad contributes to the event thanks to the charge spreading
- $\triangleright$  Take advantage of it by looking at ratios:

$$
\frac{Q_{pad}}{Q_{ratio}} = PRF(x_{track} - x_{pad})
$$

➢ This function could be parametrised and used in a chi-square to find positions:

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_{pads} \frac{Q_{pad}}{Q_{cluster}} - PRF(x_{track} - x_{pad})
$$





*Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 957*

#### **Great spatial resolution even w/ 33% less pads**

## **dE/dx resolution**

- $\triangleright$  dE/dx resolution determine the ability to identify the type of particles
- The previous TPCs allow to reach a resolution better than 10%
- $\triangleright$  Test beam allows to test it since the beam is composed of several particles
- ➢ Find a resolution **better than 10%** for e- and proton, expected to be <7% if two modules are crossed





#### *Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 957*



## **Production and quality control**

- $\triangleright$  Production of all ERAM modules ongoing
- Systematic characterization of detector response and electronic:
	- $\triangleright$  Mesh pulsing to test electrical response of detector
	- ➢ X-ray scan of the whole detector to extract gain and resolution
	- $\triangleright$  Thanks to a X-ray test bench @ CERN controlled remotely: 1 module fully scanned per week!





## **Conclusion**

- $\triangleright$  T2K has produced high quality date since 10 years and is leading measurement of some oscillations parameters
	- $\geq$  This performance is possible thanks to a near detector allowing to better constrain far detector flux and interaction models
- $\triangleright$  With beam upgrade and Hyper Kamiokande systematic uncertainties will become the limitations
- $\triangleright$  The ND280 upgrade has been designed to answer to those limitations
	- $\triangleright$  With the new High-Angle TPCs and the usage of resistive micromegas the angular acceptance will increase
	- $\geq$  The ERAM modules have beeb characterized thanks to several prototypes and test beam campaign.
		- ➢ Performance requirements are reached even with less channels thanks to the resistive layer and charge spreading.
- 21 / 21  $\triangleright$  Final design has been optimized and currently the production and characterization is ongoing. *Stay tuned for physics results in the near future!*