

# The limits of the strong CP problem

Carlos Tamarit, Technische Universität München

Phys.Lett.B 822 (2021) 136616  
2001.07152 [hep-th]

in collaboration with

**Wen-Yuan Ai**  
King's College London

**Björn Garbrecht**  
TUM

**Juan S. Cruz**  
CP<sup>3</sup>-Origins

## The aim:

Challenge the conventional view of the strong CP problem by showing that a careful **infinite 4d volume** limit implies that **QCD does not violate CP** regardless of the value of the  $\theta$  **angle**

## The plan:

Fundamentals of the strong CP problem

Fermion correlators from cluster decomposition and the index theorem

# Fundamentals of the strong CP problem

# The QCD angle from the Lagrangian

$$S_{\text{QCD}} = \int d^4x \left[ -\frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + \frac{g^2\theta}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a + \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}_i (i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_i e^{i\alpha_i \gamma^5}) \psi_i \right].$$

**$\theta$ -term** is a total derivative and thus corresponds to a **boundary term**

➔ it can never contribute in perturbation theory:

effects of  $\theta$  are nonperturbative

$S_\theta$  is **CP-odd!**


$$CP : A_0 \rightarrow -A_0, \quad A_i \rightarrow A_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad S_\theta \rightarrow -S_\theta$$

Yet no CP violation has been observed in the strong interactions: **Strong CP problem**


$$|d_n| < 1.8 \times 10^{-26} e \cdot \text{cm} \quad [\text{nEDM collaboration 2020}]$$

# What do we need for CP violation?

Need **interfering contributions** to amplitudes with **misaligned phases**



$$|\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B}|^2 = |c_0 \hat{\mathcal{M}}_0 + c_1 \hat{\mathcal{M}}_1|^2$$



$$|\mathcal{M}_{\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}}|^2 = |c_0^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}_0 + c_1^* \hat{\mathcal{M}}_1|^2$$

---

$$|\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B}|^2 - |\mathcal{M}_{\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}}|^2 = 4\text{Im}(c_0^* c_1) \text{Im}(\mathcal{M}_0 \mathcal{M}_1^*)$$

CP violation needs complex phases with  $c_0 \neq c_1$

**Phases** of **perturbative** contributions fixed by  $\alpha_i$

$\theta$  naively expected to give additional phases  $\exp(-S_{\text{QCD}}^{\text{E}}) \propto \exp(i\Delta n\theta)$

- ▶ We need to compute correlators and see if they depend on both types of CP-odd phases ( $\alpha_i$  and  $\theta$ ) or not

# Towards correlators: vacuum path integral

$$\int_{\phi_i, \phi_f, T} \left( \prod \mathcal{D}\phi \right) e^{iS_T} = \langle \phi_f | e^{-iHT} | \phi_i \rangle = \sum_n e^{-iE_n T} \langle \phi_f | n \rangle \langle n | \phi_i \rangle$$

To get a **vacuum transition amplitude** we can take the **infinite  $T$  limit**,

$$Z = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty e^{-i0+}} \int_T \left( \prod \mathcal{D}\phi \right) e^{iS_T} \sim \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty e^{-i0+}} \langle 0 | e^{-iHT} | 0 \rangle$$

To recover the vacuum amplitude for **finite  $T$** , one would **need to know the wave functional of the vacuum**

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | e^{-iHT} | 0 \rangle &= \int [\mathcal{D}\phi_f]_{T/2} [\mathcal{D}\phi_i]_{-T/2} \langle 0 | \phi_f \rangle \langle \phi_f | e^{-iHT} | \phi_i \rangle \langle \phi_i | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int [\mathcal{D}\phi_f]_{T/2} [\mathcal{D}\phi_i]_{-T/2} \langle 0 | \phi_f \rangle \langle \phi_i | 0 \rangle \int_{\phi_i, \phi_f, T} \left( \prod \mathcal{D}\phi \right) e^{iS} \end{aligned}$$

► To ensure projection into vacuum, we use the Euclidean path integral for infinite  $V T$



# Finite action constraints and topology

Euclidean path integral receives contributions from fluctuations around **finite action saddles**

- In **infinite spacetime**, gauge fields at saddles must be **pure gauge transf. at  $\infty$**
- Fields fall into **homotopy classes** with **integer topological charge  $\Delta n$**

Atiyah-Singer's **index theorem**:

$$\Delta n = \#(\text{Right-handed zero modes of } D) - \#(\text{Left-handed zero modes of } D)$$

$$D\psi_R = 0$$

$$D\psi_L = 0$$

The  **$\theta$ -term** is related to the **topological charge!**  $-S_\theta^E = i\theta\Delta n$

▶ **The  $\theta$ -term is only guaranteed to be  $\propto$  to an integer in an infinite spacetime**

# Is $\theta$ physical?

$\theta$  cannot be physical as it changes under **chiral field redefinitions** due to **anomaly**:

$$\partial_\mu \langle \sum_j \bar{\psi}_j \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi_j \rangle = 2N_F \frac{g^2}{64\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^a + 2 \sum_j \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 m_j e^{i\alpha_j \gamma_5} \psi \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &\rightarrow e^{i\beta \gamma_5} \psi \\ \bar{\psi} &\rightarrow \bar{\psi} e^{i\beta \gamma_5} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow Z(\theta, \alpha_j) \rightarrow Z(\theta - 2N_f \beta, \alpha_j + 2\beta)$$

**Spurion symmetry:** Z invariant under chiral transformations plus “spurion” transf:

$$\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2N_f \beta, \quad \mathbf{m}_j = m_j e^{i\alpha_j} \rightarrow e^{-2i\beta} \mathbf{m}_j$$

A **physical combination** is

$$\bar{\theta} \equiv \theta + \alpha, \quad \alpha = \sum_j \arg(j)$$



**Strong CP problem:**  $\bar{\theta} < 10^{-10}$

# Nonperturbative effects in QCD



Integrating anomaly eq:  $\Delta Q_5 = 2N_f \Delta n + \text{mass corrections}$

► There are **interactions** that **violate chiral charge** by  $2N_f \Delta n$  units

Can be recovered from nonperturbative contributions to the path integral around **saddle points** with nonzero  $\Delta n$ , e.g. **instantons** [t Hooft]



# Nonperturbative effects in QCD



**Fermionic Green's functions** in instanton backgrounds can be captured by **effective operators**

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \supset - \sum_j m_j \bar{\psi}_j (e^{-i\alpha_j} P_L + e^{i\alpha_j} P_R) \psi_j - \Gamma_{N_f} e^{i\xi} \prod_{j=1}^{N_f} (\bar{\psi}_j P_L \psi_j) - \Gamma_{N_f} e^{-i\xi} \prod_{j=1}^{N_f} (\bar{\psi}_j P_R \psi_j)$$

# Nonperturbative effects in QCD

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} \supset - \sum_j m_j \bar{\psi}_j (e^{-i\alpha_j} P_L + e^{i\alpha_j} P_R) \psi_j - \Gamma_{N_f} e^{i\xi} \prod_{j=1}^{N_f} (\bar{\psi}_j P_L \psi_j) - \Gamma_{N_f} e^{-i\xi} \prod_{j=1}^{N_f} (\bar{\psi}_j P_R \psi_j)$$

► 2 options compatible with spurion chiral symmetry:

$$\xi = \theta$$

CP violation (phases not aligned)

$$\xi = -\alpha$$

No CP violation (all phases aligned, can be removed)

# How to resolve the ambiguity?

Must **match effective 't Hooft vertices** with **QCD computations**

---

Only real computation that we know of is 't Hooft's, using **dilute instanton gas** and yielding  **$\xi = \theta$  (CP violation)**

---

We have **recomputed Green's functions in the dilute instanton gas**, in Euclidean and Minkowski spacetime, and found  **$\xi = -\alpha$  (no CP violation)**

---

We also have a **computation which does not rely on instantons**, presented next

# Fermion correlators from cluster decomposition and the index theorem

# Strategy

We want a **derivation that does not rely on instantons**

The aim is to **constrain the functional dependence** of the partition functions  $Z_{\Delta n}$  on  $VT$ ,  $\Delta n$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_j = m_j e^{i\alpha_j}$

**Fermion masses** can be understood as **sources** for the integrated fermion correlators [Leutwyler & Smilga]

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sum_j (\bar{\psi}_j (\mathbf{m}_j^* P_L + \mathbf{m}_j P_R) \psi_j)$$

These correlators should be **sensitive to global CP-violating phases**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{m}_i} Z_{\Delta n} = - \int d^4 x \langle \bar{\psi}_i P_R \psi_i \rangle_\nu, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{m}_i^*} Z_{\Delta n} = - \int d^4 x \langle \bar{\psi}_i P_L \psi_i \rangle_\nu.$$



# Cluster decomposition

Using Lagrangian without the  $\theta$  angle, one can write expectation values by **weighing** over path integrals over the different **topological classes**

4d volume

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\Omega} = \frac{\sum_{\Delta n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n) \int_{\Delta n} \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{O} e^{-S_{\Omega}[\phi]}}{\sum_{\Delta n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n) \int_{\Delta n} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{\Omega}[\phi]}}$$

For a **local operator**  $\mathcal{O}_1$  with support in a spacetime volume  $\Omega_1$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle_{\Omega} = \frac{\sum_{\Delta n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\Delta n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n_1 + \Delta n_2) \int_{\Delta n_1} \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{O}_1 e^{-S_{\Omega_1}[\phi]} \int_{\Delta n_2} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{\Omega_2}[\phi]}}{\sum_{\Delta n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\Delta n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n_1 + \Delta n_2) \int_{\Delta n_1} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{\Omega_1}[\phi]} \int_{\Delta n_2} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{\Omega_2}[\phi]}}$$

If **physics** is **local**, fluctuations in  $\Omega_2$  must factor away (**cluster decomposition**)

# Cluster decomposition

Factorization achieved if

$$f(\Delta n_1 + \Delta n_2) = f(\Delta n_1)f(\Delta n_2) \Rightarrow f(\Delta n) = e^{i\Delta n\theta}$$

**Usual  $\theta$  term recovered!** [Weinberg]

Can we use factorization to constrain the partition functions, and the phases of fermion correlators?

# Taking the clustering argument further

The previous argumentation relied on

$$Z(\Omega) = \sum_{\Delta n} e^{i\Delta n \theta} \tilde{Z}_{\Delta n}(\Omega) \quad \tilde{Z}_{\Delta n}(\Omega = \Omega_1 + \Omega_2) = \sum_{\Delta n_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{Z}_{\Delta n_1}(\Omega_1) \tilde{Z}_{\Delta n - \Delta n_1}(\Omega_2)$$

We further assume that **complex phases in  $Z_{\Delta n}$  fixed** as in one-loop determinants

$$\prod_j \det(\not{D} + m_j e^{i\alpha_j} P_R + m_j e^{-i\alpha_j} P_L)$$

- **phases of nonzero modes** ( $\not{D}\psi_n \neq 0$ ) **cancel** (related by **parity**)
- **global phase** determined by **fermion zero modes** → **index theorem!**

$$\prod_j e^{i\alpha_j (\#(\text{Right-handed zero modes of } \not{D}) - \#(\text{Left-handed zero modes of } \not{D}))} = \prod_j e^{i\alpha_j \Delta n} = e^{i\alpha \Delta n}$$

# Taking the clustering argument further

→  $\tilde{Z}_{\Delta n}(\Omega) = e^{i\Delta n\alpha} g_{\Delta n}(\Omega) \Rightarrow g_{\Delta n}(\Omega_1 + \Omega_2) = \sum_{\Delta n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\Delta n_1}(\Omega_1) g_{\Delta n - \Delta n_1}(\Omega_2)$

**Real**

**Parity** changes sign of  $\Delta n$  and  $\alpha$ . This and solving the relations for  $\Omega = 0$  motivates the **Ansatz**

$$g_{\Delta n}(\Omega) = \Omega^{|\Delta n|} f_{|\Delta n|}(\Omega^2), \quad f_{|\Delta n|}(0) \neq 0.$$

Assuming **analyticity** in  $\Omega$  there is a **unique solution** with free parameter  $\beta$ !

$$f_{\Delta n}(\Omega) = I_{\Delta n}(2\beta\Omega)$$

$$Z_{\Delta n} = e^{i\Delta n(\theta+\alpha)} I_{\Delta n}(2\beta\Omega)$$

c.f. [Leutweyler & Smilga]

# Mass dependence and correlators

As the  $g_{\Delta n}$  are real:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\Delta n}(\Omega) &= e^{i\Delta n(\theta+\alpha)} I_{\Delta n}(2\beta(\mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{m}_k^*) \Omega) = \\ &= e^{i\Delta n(\theta-i/2 \sum_j \log(\mathbf{m}_j/\mathbf{m}_j^*))} I_{\Delta n}(2\beta(\mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{m}_k^*) \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

Taking derivatives with respect to  $\mathbf{m}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}^*$  gives **averaged integrated correlators**

Spurion chiral charge +2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{VT} \int d^4x \langle \bar{\psi}_i P_R \psi_i \rangle_{\Delta n} &= - e^{i\Delta n(\theta+\bar{\alpha})} \left( -\frac{\beta}{2\mathbf{m}_i} (I_{\Delta n+1}(2\beta\Omega) - I_{\Delta n-1}(2\beta\Omega)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbf{m}_i^* (I_{\Delta n+1}(2\beta\Omega) + I_{\Delta n-1}(2\beta\Omega)) \frac{\partial}{\partial(\mathbf{m}_i \mathbf{m}_i^*)} \beta(\mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{m}_k^*) \right) \end{aligned}$$

# Summing over topological sectors

$$\frac{1}{VT} \int d^4x \langle \bar{\psi}_i P_R \psi_i \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{VT \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\Delta n=-N}^N \frac{1}{VT} \int d^4x \langle \bar{\psi}_i P_R \psi_i \rangle_{\Delta n}}{\sum_{\Delta m=-N}^N Z_{\Delta m}} = 2m_i^* \partial_{m_i m_i^*} \beta(\mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{m}_k^*),$$

$$\frac{1}{VT} \int d^4x \langle \bar{\psi}_i P_L \psi_i \rangle = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{VT \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{\Delta n=-N}^N \frac{1}{VT} \int d^4x \langle \bar{\psi}_i P_L \psi_i \rangle_{\Delta n}}{\sum_{\Delta m=-N}^N Z_{\Delta m}} = 2m_i \partial_{m_i m_i^*} \beta(\mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{m}_k^*).$$

**Topological classification** only enforced in infinite volume, which fixes ordering

$$\frac{1}{VT} \int d^4x \langle \bar{\psi}_i \psi_i \rangle = 2m_i e^{-i\alpha_i \gamma_5} \partial_{m_i m_i^*} \beta(\mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{m}_k^*)$$

Only a single phase: **no CP violation**

# Summing over topological sectors

- ▶ Result also valid for more general correlators
- ▶ Similar results achieved using dilute instanton gas (like 't Hooft, but with a different ordering of limits)
- ▶ Opposite order of limits yields traditional picture of CP-violation

# Conclusions



**QCD** with an arbitrary  $\theta$  **does not predict CP violation**, as long as the sum over topological sectors is performed at **infinite volume**

This **ordering of limits** is the correct one because the topological classification is only enforced for an infinite volume

### Further reading in our paper

- For **local observables** one can recover CP-conserving expectation values from **path integrals in a finite subvolume without  $\theta$  dependence**
- **No conflict** with nonzero **topological susceptibility** in the lattice and  $\eta'$  **mass**

**Thank you!**

**Additional material**

# Phase ambiguity in the chiral Lagrangian

The **chiral Lagrangian** at lowest order has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = f_\pi^2 \text{Tr} \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger + a f_\pi^3 \text{Tr} M U + b f_\pi^4 \det U + \text{h.c.}$$

Captures t' Hooft vertices  $U \sim \bar{\psi} P_R \psi \sim e^{i \frac{\Pi^a \sigma^a}{\sqrt{2} f_\pi}}$

There are again **2 options compatible with spurion chiral symmetry**

$$b \propto e^{-i\theta}$$

$$b \propto e^{i\alpha} = e^{i \sum_j \arg(m_j)}$$

Usual option, **assumed** by [Baluni, Crewther et al] → CP violation

No CP violation!

# No CP violation in the chiral Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = f_\pi^2 \text{Tr} \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger + a f_\pi^3 \text{Tr} M U + |b| e^{i\xi} f_\pi^4 \det U + \text{h.c.}$$

Minimizing the potential for the pions leads to

$$\langle U \rangle = U_0 = \text{diag} (e^{i\varphi_u}, e^{i\varphi_d}, e^{i\varphi_s}) .$$

$$m_i \varphi_i = \frac{m_u m_d m_s (\xi + \alpha_u + \alpha_d + \alpha_s)}{m_u m_d + m_d m_s + m_s m_u} = \tilde{m} (\xi + \alpha_u + \alpha_d + \alpha_s) .$$

Adding field  $N$  containing neutron and proton, the  $CP$ -violating neutron-pion interactions are of the form

$$\frac{c_+ \tilde{m} (\xi + \alpha_u + \alpha_d + \alpha_s)}{2f_\pi} \bar{N} \Phi N$$

( $\phi$  containing  $U, U^\dagger$  and gammas) which cancel for  $\xi = -\alpha \rightarrow$  no CP violation

# Baluni's CP-violating effective Lagrangian

Baluni's CP-violating Lagrangian (used by [Crewther et al]) is based on searching for field redefinitions that minimize the QCD mass term

$$\mathcal{L}_M(U_{R,L}) = \bar{\psi}U_R^\dagger MU_L\psi_L + \text{h.c.}, \quad U_{R,L} \in SU_{R,L}(3)$$

$$\langle 0|\delta\mathcal{L}|0\rangle = \min_{U_{R,L}} \langle 0|\mathcal{L}_M(U_{R,L})|0\rangle$$

However, there is an **extra assumption**: that the **phase of the fermion condensate is aligned with  $\theta$**

$$\langle \bar{\psi}_R\psi_L \rangle = \Delta e^{ic\theta} \mathbb{I}$$

This assumption **does not hold** for the chiral Lagrangian with  $\xi = -\alpha$  as seen in previous slide

# The $\eta'$ mass

Chiral Lagrangian with alignment in the phases of mass terms and anomalous terms still predicts a **nonzero value of the  $\eta'$  mass**

$$\mathcal{L} = f_\pi^2 \text{Tr} \partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger + a f_\pi^3 \text{Tr} M U + |b| e^{i \arg \det M} f_\pi^4 \det U + \text{h.c.}$$

$$m_{\eta'}^2 = 8|b|f_\pi^2$$

Can be seen to be **proportional** to the **topological susceptibility** over **finite volumes** of the **pure gauge theory**, in line with [Witten, Di Vecchia & Veneziano]

**Classic arguments linking topological susceptibility to CP violation** ([Shifman et al]) rely on analytic expansions in  $\theta$  which **don't apply** with our limiting procedure

**Z becomes non-analytic in  $\theta$** . This possibility has been mentioned by [Witten]

the physics is of order  $e^{-N}$ , contrary to the basic assumptions of this paper, or else the physics is non-analytic as a function of  $\theta$ , In the latter case, which is quite plausible, the singularities would probably be at  $\theta = \pm\pi$ , as Coleman found for the massive Schwinger model [10]. It is also quite plausible that  $\theta$  is not really an angular variable.)

To write a formal expression for  $d^2E/d\theta^2$ , let us think of the path integral formulation of the theory:

$$Z = \int dA_\mu \exp i \int \text{Tr} \left[ -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{g^2 \theta}{16\pi^2 N} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \right]. \quad (5)$$



# Partition function and analyticity

Usual partition function is analytic in  $\theta$

$$Z_{\text{usual}} = \lim_{VT \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in \mathbb{N}}} \sum_{\Delta n = -N}^N Z_{\Delta n} = e^{2i\kappa_{N_f} VT \cos(\bar{\alpha} + \theta + N_f \pi)}$$

$\theta$ -dependence of observables (giving CP violation) usually relies on  $\theta$  expansion. e.g.

$$\frac{\langle \Delta n \rangle}{\Omega} = i(\theta - \theta_0) \left. \frac{\langle \Delta n^2 \rangle}{\Omega} \right|_{\theta_0} + \mathcal{O}(\theta - \theta_0)^2$$

topological susceptibility

[Shifman et al]

In our limiting procedure the former is not valid, as  $Z$  becomes nonanalytic in  $\theta$

$$Z = \lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in \mathbb{N}}} \lim_{VT \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\Delta n = -N}^N Z_{\Delta n} = I_0(2i\kappa_{N_f} VT) \lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ N \in \mathbb{N}}} \sum_{|\Delta n| \leq N} e^{i\Delta n(\bar{\alpha} + \theta + N_f \pi)}$$

$\theta$  drops out from observables, there is no CP violation

# Finite volumes in an infinite spacetime

Even in an infinite spacetime, we can express expectation values of local observables in terms over **path integration over finite volume**.

This can help make **contact with lattice computations**

Assume **local operator**  $\mathcal{O}_1$  with **support** in finite spacetime volume  $\Omega_1$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle_\Omega &= \frac{\sum_{\Delta n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n) \int_{\Delta n} \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{O}_1 e^{-S_\Omega[\phi]}}{\sum_{\Delta n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n) \int_{\Delta n} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_\Omega[\phi]}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\Delta n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\Delta n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n) \int_{\Delta n_1} \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{O}_1 e^{-S_{\Omega_1}[\phi]} \int_{\Delta n_2=\Delta n-\Delta n_1} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{\Omega_2}[\phi]}}{\sum_{\Delta n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\Delta n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} f(\Delta n) \int_{\Delta n_1} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{\Omega_1}[\phi]} \int_{\Delta n_2=\Delta n-\Delta n_1} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_{\Omega_2}[\phi]}}. \end{aligned}$$

# Finite volumes in an infinite spacetime

Path integrations over  $\Omega_2$  give just the **partition functions** we calculated before

In the **infinite volume** limit the **Bessel functions tend to common value** and dependence on  $\Delta n$  factorizes out and cancels:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \rangle_\Omega = \frac{\sum_{\Delta n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi (-1)^{-N_f \Delta n_1} e^{-i\alpha \Delta n_1} \mathcal{O}_1 e^{-S_{\Omega_1}[\phi]}}{\sum_{\Delta n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi (-1)^{-N_f \Delta n_1} e^{-i\alpha \Delta n_1} e^{-S_{\Omega_1}[\phi]}} .$$

We recover a **path integration** over a **finite volume, without  $\theta$  dependence**

**Extra phases** precisely **cancel those from fermion determinants in  $\Omega_1$**

This **removes interferences** between different **topological sectors**

# The QCD angle from the vacuum state

Hamiltonian is zero for pure gauge transformations, with integer  $n_{CS}$ : Expect

**degenerate pre-vacua**  $|n_{CS}\rangle \equiv |n\rangle$

The **true vacuum**  $|\omega\rangle$  is a linear combination of prevacua

$$|\omega\rangle = \sum_n f(n)|n\rangle$$


Demanding **invariance up to a phase** under **gauge transformations** in the  $\Delta n$  class

$$U_{\Delta n}|\omega\rangle = \sum_n f(n)|n + \Delta n\rangle = e^{i\Delta n\theta}|\omega\rangle \Rightarrow f(n) = e^{-in\theta}$$


$$Z(\theta) = \langle\omega|e^{-HT}|\omega\rangle = \sum_m \sum_n \langle m|e^{-HT}e^{i\theta(m-n)}|n\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{\Delta n} \langle n + \Delta n|e^{-HT}e^{i\theta\Delta n}|n\rangle$$
$$= \mathcal{N} \sum_{\Delta n} \int_{\Delta n} \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_\theta + \dots}$$

# Can one use the $\theta$ vacuum at finite volume?

Bloch wave function in QM:



vs  $\theta$  vacuum



Too naive! Have to use path integral in infinite 4D volume to project into vacuum