

# Focal plane commissioning: bias frame corrections

Thibault Guillemin (LAPP)

In collaboration with LPNHE group: P. Antilogus, P. Astier, C. Juramy

LSST-France meeting

Annecy

May 17, 2022



# Outline

- 5-min crash course:
- Focal plane
- Bias correction
- PCA studies on bias frames
- Dark runs
- Prescan pixels

## Rubin optical system



From P. Antilogus

## Focal plane layout



# **CCD-level** image

### 1 CCD = 16 amplis = une image brute/raw non-uniforme



Pour multiplexer la lecture les CCD LSST sont segmentés en 16 Amplis . Chaque ampli a son propre :

- Niveau de biais (~ 25000 counts ou ADU )
- Gain (e2v ~ 0.75 ADU = 1 e-, itl ~ 0.9 ADU=1e-)
- Bruit (e2v~5e-, itl~8e-)
- Charge transfert ineficiencies (~1e-6 / transfer)

C'est presque comme si on avait 16 CCD d'un point de vue lecture...

Plan Focal Commissioning : Lyon 14-15/01/2019

From P. Antilogus

Bias image = image taken with the shutter closed and zero exposure time

25

## Overscan

#### From P. Antilogus



#### **Overscan pixel: 'virtual pixel' corresponding to an actual clock cycle**

# Instrument Signature Removal steps in DM Stack

- ISR steps in DM as of July 2020
  - integer-to-float conversion
  - saturation and suspect pixel masking
  - overscan subtraction
    - Optional: Apply crosstalk correction here before CCD assembly, and before trimming
  - CCD assembly of individual amplifiers
  - bias subtraction
    - Note: Calibration products construction (master bias, master dark, master flat).
  - variance image construction
  - linearization of nonlinear response
  - crosstalk correction
  - mask defects, edges, nan's, etc.
  - brighter-fatter correction
  - dark subtraction
  - fringe correction
  - stray light subtraction
  - flat correction
  - apply gains
    - Optional: Fringe Correction after flat
  - vignette calculation
  - attach transmission curve
  - illumination correction

### **Overscan substraction (lines)**

# Master bias substraction (mean over n bias images)



From arXiv:1704.05858

HSC image before/after stack

# Bias structure and variability

- Bias frame corrections
- 2D shape (partial) correction from overscan pixels
- residual effect from master bias (but imperfect as not fully stable over time)
- Bias frame corrections studies by A. Bradshaw
   "Fitting bias frames" CVT meeting 02/09/2021 (link)
   Development of PCA correction from serial and parallel overscan pixels Implemented in eotest package (not in DM stack)
- Today: PCA-related studies on BOT data at CC-IN2P3

Effect	Description	Links	Additional Data, Study or Code Needed
Bias Structure & Bias Variability	<ol> <li>Bias shows rapid changes in value in either serial or parallel directions, making spline fitting difficult/impossible</li> <li>Bias level and shape varies from image to image in both Serial &amp; Parallel directions</li> </ol>		<ol> <li>PCA-based Serial+Parallel overscan correction method works, but probably could use refinement and needs implementation in DM</li> <li>S+P overscan correction works well for most channels, but a number of e2v channel have remaining 2-D bias variability, for which only pre-scan pixels have relevant information</li> <li>RHL: both easy enough to implement, although we'll have to determine the proper lookup for PCA functions (e.g. are they fixed? Are they a function of other parameters which we'd need to include?)</li> </ol>

# PCA recap (1/3)



# PCA recap (2/3)

# Removing bias instability with Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Use the bias data itself to "learn" about instability in a given amplifier

Maximizes the statistical power of overscan region by using just a few degrees of freedom (eigenvectors), each weighted by their variance

Remains agnostic to the form of variation in amplifiers



# PCA recap (3/3)

#### November 2020 data



# Bias frame stability from BOT data

Raft R14



December 2021 (Run 5)



ADU variations ~10-20

ADU variations ~0.3

# BOT Run 5 data analysis at CC-IN2P3

- BOT Run 5 data copied at CC-IN2P3 (most of the runs from 13005 to 13282)
- Made eotest package work (thanks to Jim Chiang)
- $\rightarrow$  Can use the implemented PCA tools
- Runs considered
- 13159: bias (97 exposures, used for PCA)
- 13161: dark

# Master bias of Run 13159





# PCA components

PCA correction computed from 97 bias of Run 13159
➔ Overscan pixels corrected by the master bias
Example: R14 S22 amp 7





Variable: explained\_variance\_ratio All amplifiers here: <u>link</u>



# PCA components

### PCA correction computed from 97 bias of Run 13159 Example: R14 S22 amp 16



8 components really needed for y?

PCA for x needed only for some amplifiers (variance>threshold)?

-0.040

-0.045

0.1

0.0

-0.1

0.1

0.0

-0.1

# Applying the PCA correction to the same run



# Applying the PCA correction to a dark run



Procedure for science images in the survey: a visit is defined as a pair of 15-second exposures, performed back-toback in a given filter, and separated by a four-second interval for readout and opening and closing of the shutter



# Applying the PCA correction to a dark run



# Impact of exposure time



## Prescan pixels

Can we use the prescan pixels to correct/reduce the yellow corners?



- Some useful information in the prescan ('orange closer to blue than pink')
- Dependency on the exposure time

# Conclusion

- The study of dark runs shows that the currently planned PCA approach (extracted from bias images and applied to science images) does not work, because of the impact of the exposure time on the bias level.
- Will we need to use master dark corrections (exposure time = 15 s)?
- Use of the prescan pixels to correct/reduce the yellow corners: some hope, quantitative studies needed.
- We should push to take data at SLAC in conditions as close as possible to the survey.