

Lessons learnt on satellite Rucio and FTS deployments

3rd ESCAPE DIOS Workshop - MAGIC Use Cases

R. Joshi (<u>rohini.joshi@skao.int</u>), A. Bruzzese (<u>bruzzese@pic.es</u>), R. Bolton (<u>Rosie.Bolton@skao.int</u>), G. Merino (<u>merino@pic.es</u>)



CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. ESCAPE Deployment
 - a. Architecture
- 3. PIC Deployment
 - a. Architecture
- 4. SKAO Deployment
 - a. Architecture
- 5. Deployment experience
 - a. What worked and lessons learned
 - b. Areas for improvement/areas of concern
- 6. Looking ahead





1. Introduction

• Since the beginning of the WP2 activities, the ESCAPE Rucio instance has served several ESFRIS as a first contact in the interaction and use of Rucio.

• On that basis, the experience gained in ESCAPE served to some ESFRI members, SKA and PIC/CTA, to adopt Rucio as the data orchestrator service for their respective experiments.

• This talk is to present the deploy architecture, current state, deploy, difficulties and future directors between SKA and PIC/CTA





ESCAPE: Rucio deployment architecture

- Data Lake endpoints
 - dCache, EOS, XRootD, StoRM, DPM
- Rucio as a data management tool
- FTS as service to coordinate file transfers
- CRIC in order to keeps track of the different storage endpoint URLs and the protocols supported at the site





PIC: Rucio deployment architecture

• Deployed with:

ESCAPE

- The Rucio server and daemon services are fully packaged with Helm
 - V1.26 Rucio
- Available in : <u>https://rucio.github.io/helm-charts/</u>)
- FTS
 - V3.11

• Monitoring:

- Will be using Prometheus for all our monitoring needs
 - v2.11.1

• Logging:

- Currently writing the logs using hermes2 in our own
- Elasticsearch centralized instance
 - V7.12.1
- Repository:
 - <u>https://gitlab.pic.es/bruzzese/PIC-rucio-server-k8s/-/tags</u> /rucio-v1





•	FTS	6 deployment at PIC	Instancia	Rol Servidor	Hostname
	0	FTS is the GRID transfer service. It connects two endpoints and controls that the transfer takes place.	Producción	fts	fts01.pic.es
	0	Traditionally, it was regularly used by Magic, CTA	Producción	fts	fts02.pic.es
	0	and for Rucio. Mysql DB	Test	fts-test	fts01-test.pic .es
•	We used CERN's grafana in order to monitor				
	iťs a	activity			

• Link: https://monit-grafana.cern.ch/login



- PIC/CTA
 - Results obtained during DAC21 have shown that the Rucio test instance on the PIC/CTA allows efficient orchestration of data transfer from the onsite MAGIC/CTAN to the offsite MAGIC/CTAN for long distances. Therefore, the next phase will be the migration to a production instance of both K8s and Rucio.
 - Two Rucio instance:
 - A dedicated Rucio instance for Magic experiments. Currently we have cronjobs continuously running transfers.
 - PIC/CTA recently moved to Rucio as Data Management service integrated with DIRAC. For this integration, the Belleii DIRAC permission and schema was used, as a first approach.
 - Use of custom policy module necessitates separate Rucio instance



SKAO: Rucio deployment architecture

- Helm based deployment on a k8s cluster on STFC Cloud
- SSL traffic routed via a proxy machine
- Dev and Prod k8s clusters with one head node and 2 workers
- Rucio version 1.26, Postgres DB
- No web UI deployed

ESCAPE

- RAL + CERN pilot FTS
- Grafana monitoring, regular functional tests submitting transfers.
- <u>https://gitlab.com/ska-telescope/sr</u> c/ska-rucio-prototype
- Token integration currently being trialed on the Dev instance





Deploying Rucio: what worked and lessons learned

- Community aspect of the project was very helpful in getting started
- New docs are much better (http://rucio.cern.ch/documentation/)
- Helm version vs rucio version inconsistencies resolved now which is appreciated
- SKAO: We used gdocs to log everything as we went, generating 100+ pages



Deploying Rucio: Current Limitations, Areas for improvement

- General
 - Upgrading major versions is not a particularly user friendly experience; database upgrade with alembic is a very manual process (documented <u>here</u>)
- Token-based Rucio
 - Demonstrated use only for a specific, assumed architecture, didn't work out the box for us (e.g. routing through proxy, <u>issue #5220</u> and a smaller <u>issue #5219</u>)
 - Not many communities currently using tokens, so software rot could be a concern
 - Assumed knowledge in documentation is large but we understand that tokens is an area in flux
- DIRAC integration
 - Rucio has some constraints/features that are not available in DIRAC that needs to be taken into account: for eg, unique scopes
 - Interaction with data must be via DIRAC
 - More here (<u>Link</u>)



- Run token-based Rucio functional tests
 - Upgrade to Rucio v1.28
- RSE deployment:
 - Deploy and document how to setup a lightweight RSE (StoRM)
 - Ceph/S3 RSE integration (following this)
- Continued Rucio-DIRAC integration
 - Explore suitability of MultiVO deployment



How can we be best supported to do what is next on our plate?

- What is the timeline for ATLAS to switch to an OIDC compliant Rucio stack?
- We need refresh token functionality in order to get token-based Rucio functional tests running is this correct?
- What spaces and forums would be available after end of ESCAPE to continue collaborating on satellite Rucio/FTS deployments?
- Can a multi-VO Rucio deployment have one VO integrated with DIRAC and one operating without?



Acknowledgements

Thanks to the people involved in each of the experiments.

SKA team:

James Collinson (SKAO), Rob Barnsley (SKAO), Rosie Bolton (SKAO)

PIC/CTA team:

Frederic Gillardo (LAPP), Luisa Arabito (IN2P3), Gareth Hughes (CTAO), Nadine Neyroud (LAPP), Jordi Delgado (PIC), Goonzalo Merino (PIC)

And also other people from ESCAPE partners Alba Vendrell Rizart Dona Riccardo di Maria Xavier Espinal





Thanks for listening! Questions?