**Optimization Simulations for Kilonova Detections in Optical and Near Infrared** 

Mouza Almualla<sup>1</sup>, Raiyah Alserkal<sup>1</sup>, Adib Ghiasi<sup>1</sup>, Vishwesh Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Michael W. Coughlin<sup>2</sup>, Nidhal Guessoum<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>American University of Sharjah, UAE <sup>5</sup>University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

### **General Overview**

- Important to strategize detection of kilonovae:
  - **1. through follow-up of GW events**
  - 2. serendipitously (during regular surveys)
- We used *Gwemopt* (GW-EM optimization), a code that creates observing plans and evaluates their efficiency for ZTF detections.
- We used LSST packages and metrics to simulate surveys in 6 photometric filters.
- We have considered several observing factors and schemes: past failed observations, dynamic vs. fixed exposures, optical vs. near-infrared, etc.

# SuperScheduler

- algorithm
   SuperScheduler can take into account past observations and *dynamically* schedule new ones.
- Some fields are not observed due to wea



Three rounds of scheduling with three telescopes.

Unobserved tiles after each round are shown in

### Filter

- Balancing Difficulties In scheduling multi-epoch observations for large maps with multiple "lobes".
- Implemented a way to schedule each of these lobes by splitting them up in right ascension.



Before and after the new implementations. Fields in green have had all requested obs. scheduled, while those in violet have not.

### **Dynamic Exposures**

- **\*** Set Exposure (standard) technique:

  - Iong exposures 
    reaches greater depth (limiting magnitude), useful for candidates with high luminosity distances.
- \* For maximum efficiency, we need the right balance between coverage and depth/distance.
- \* Exposure time of each field is calculated using the skymap's 3D probability

### **Dynamic Exposures (cont'd)**

\* We find that by using Dynamic Exposures over Set Exposures, we <u>save 20 (median) minutes</u> <u>of telescope time</u> (6-8 % of observing time, roughly) without compromising efficiency.



**Optical vs. Near-Infrared Observations** 

- KNe are expected to last longer in NIR compared to the 72-hour follow-up strategies for Optical.
- ➢We ran simulations using ZTF configurations in Optical (g- and rbands) and NIR (J- and K-) with GW190425 skymaps and light curve models from the literature.

➢We used exposures of 30 to 600 seconds for observations up to 120 hours.

#### In Optical, maximum efficiencies are obtained with exposures of less than 100s.

In NIR, best exposures are 200 to 400s for each tiling, reaching 25% after 48 h, decreasing for very long exposures. GW190425 Efficiency% for 1-5 days observations



#### Also, number of detections for O4 skymap follow-ups in Optical and NIR for different time periods (post GW signal):



Follow-up filter and duration

## **VRO/LSST Filter Selections**

• We used LSST packages and metrics to simulate surveys in 6 photometric

ſ	Filter	u	g	r	i	Z	У
	+	305.3	386.3	<b>536.9</b>	<b>675.9</b>	802.9	908.0
	<b>(nm)</b>	-	-	-		-	-
		<b>408.6</b>	<b>567.0</b>	706.0	833.0	<b>938.6</b>	<b>1100.</b>
						_	

- GW170817 kilonova light curves were injected into the simulations.
- **Kilonaoxa**5**m**odel parameters: wind=0.050Mo
  - ➢ Viewing angle (𝔅) = 25.8<sup>™</sup>
  - Half lanthanide-rich composition opening angle (\$) = 30<sup>\*</sup>



()

### A metric gives the detections. • in our simulations: • multi-detect red: red filters only (i, z, y).

- **multi-detect blue:** blue filters only (u, g, r).
- ztfrest simple: Rising faster than 1 mag day<sup>-1</sup> and fading faster than 0.3 mag day<sup>-1.</sup>
  - ztfrest simple red: red filters only (i, z, y)
  - ztfrest simple blue: blue filters only (u, g, r)

# **Results: Detections and Recovery Efficiencies** (500,000 events injected into the simulations)



**Results: Detections and Recovery Efficiencies** (500,000 events injected into the simulations)

- ztfrest\_simple rates are much lower than the multi\_detect because of the KN discovery criteria.
- Efficiency rates show that i- and r-band filters proved to be the best optimal filters for kilonova and transient detection alike.
- While kilonovae appear red and fade slower in redder/near-infrared bands, the y- and z-bands performed worse than expected due to VRO's lower

### Conclusions

- Using gwemopt, we have simulated observational scenarios (cadences, exposure lengths, Optical and NIR filters, etc.) for O4 skymaps on ZTF in the aim of optimizing KN detections.
- Using LSST survey strategies, we have simulated and investigated filter selections (in particular).
- We have published our main results, some of which may be surprising.
- Along with the GRANDMA observational campaigns that prepare for the upcoming O4 events, we believe