# The contribution of supernova remnants to the Galactic cosmic ray spectrum

## What's wrong with supernova remnants?

## 1st Workshop INTERCOS March 2nd 2022

## **Pierre Cristofari**

pierre.cristofari@ijclab.in2p3.fr







### **Galactic supernova remnants**





#### RXJ1713-3946 (H.E.S.S.)

#### 12 Shells 58 sources associated to SNRs



MeerKAT picture of the day Feb. 2nd 2022



# The low rate of supernova remnant pevatrons

#### How to reach PeV energies at a SNR?



# **Non-resonant streaming of CRs**



Bell (2004), Bell et al. (2013), Schure et al. (2014)



## **Non-resonant streaming of CRs**

$$\int_0^t dt' \gamma_{\max}(t') \simeq 5$$

Growth rate of the non-resonant streaming instability



$$\dot{M}_{
m RSG} = 10^{-4} M_{\odot}/
m yr$$
  
 $\xi = 0.1$ 

 $p_{\rm max}(t) \approx \frac{r_{\rm sh}(t)}{10} \frac{\xi e \sqrt{4\pi\rho(t)}}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{u_{\rm sh}(t)}{c}\right)$ 

# **Type Ia, type II, type II\***



#### **Protons after propagation in the Galaxy**



# **Protons from type la**



#### Rate of SNe= 1/century (total 3/century)

## **Protons from type la**



### **Protons from type II**



# **Protons from type II\***



## **Pevatrons with CTA**



# What does this mean?

# **MAYBE:**

1. SNRs are OK but we won't see any PeVatrons with CTA 2. Another instability (not Bell) comes into play 3. Strong temporal dependance on one/several parameters 4. SNRs are not dominant sources of CRs up to the knee (role of other objects/stellar clusters/ massive stars/?) 5. If PeV range with II\* -> not much room for others! Efficiency< few percent (not 10-15% sim. /observations) KASCADE - SIBYLL2.1DAMPE KASCADE - QGJset CALET LE ARGO (p + He)CALET HE AMS - 02ARGO p fit PAMELA





The steep gamma-ray spectra (particle content)

**Diffusive shock reacceleration** 

#### **Bell (1978, MNRAS, II.)**

6. Effect of a shock front on pre-existing cosmic rays

« In previous sections the injection of particles into the acceleration mechanism has been considered as taking place at low energy [...] An alternative source for the injection of particles is the cosmic ray population which already exists in the upstream gas. »

Stochastic reacceleration (2nd order Fermi)

DSReacceleration (1st order Fermi)

A simple description  

$$u_{2} = 0 \quad u_{1} = -\infty$$
Shock
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, p) \right] - u \frac{\partial f(x, p)}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{du}{dx} p \frac{\partial f(x, p)}{\partial p} = -Q(x, p)$$

$$Q_{0}(p) = \frac{\eta n_{0} u_{1}}{4\pi p_{inj}^{2}} \delta(p - p_{inj})$$

$$g(p) = f(-\infty, p)$$
Boudary condition ->
upstream infinity of the
shock
$$f_{0}(p) = \frac{s \frac{\eta n_{0} u_{1}}{4\pi p_{inj}^{2}} \left( \frac{p}{p_{inj}} \right)^{-s}}{s + s \int_{p_{0}}^{p} \frac{dp'}{p'} \left( \frac{p'}{p} \right)^{s} g(p')$$
Reacceleration
Blasi (2004)
$$g(p) = \frac{q n_{0} u_{1}}{4\pi p_{inj}^{2}} \left( \frac{p}{p_{inj}} \right)^{-s} + \frac{s \int_{p_{0}}^{p} \frac{dp'}{p'} \left( \frac{p'}{p} \right)^{s} g(p')}{s + s \int_{p_{0}}^{p} \frac{dp'}{p'} \left( \frac{p'}{p} \right)^{s} g(p')}$$
Blasi (2004)

The particle content

H.E.S.S. (2016) RX [1713.7-3946, E > 2 TeV







# Gamma rays from SN 1006



#### **Reacceleration over the SNR lifetime**

Protons

Gamma-rays







Escaping particles  

$$N_{\rm esc}(p) = \int_{t_0}^{T_{\rm SN}} dt' \frac{4\pi}{r} r_{\rm sh}^2(t') v_{\rm sh}(t') f(p,t') F(p,t')$$
Caprioli (2021) 26

PC, Blasi, Caprioli (2021)

#### **Energy density downstream**



#### **Important for losses!**

Vink 2012

### Particle content: accelerated vs. injected?



# The importance of the magnetic field in shaping the spectra (losses)

 $10^{6}$ 



#### **Difference total spectrum electron vs. proton**



## Spectrum at the shock?

Until now: fixed slope at the shock produced steeper summed injected spectrum.

$$f(p) \propto p^{-\alpha}$$
  $f(p) \propto p^{-\alpha(t)}$   $\alpha \neq 4$ 

Non-linear effects: efficient particle acceleration acting on the shock structure



Drury& Völk (1980,1981), Bell (1987)

Jones & Ellison (1991), Ellison, Möbius & Paschamnn (1990), Ellison, Baring & Jones (1995, 1995) Kang & Jones (1997, 2005) Kang, Jones & Gieseler (2002), Malkov (1997), Malkov, Diamond & Völk (2000) Blasi (2002), Amato & Blasi (2005,2006)

# Spectrum at the shock?

Until now: fixed slope at the shock produced steeper summed injected spectrum.

$$f(p) \propto p^{-\alpha}$$
  $f(p) \propto p^{-\alpha(t)}$   $\alpha \neq 4$ 



Zirakashvili & Ptuskin (2008)

Drury (1983), Caprioli, Haggerty & Blasi (2020), Diesing & Caprioli (2021), PC, Blasi & Caprioli (submitted 2022)



Drury (1983), Caprioli, Haggerty & Blasi (2020), Diesing & Caprioli (2021), PC, Blasi & Caprioli (submitted 2022)

## What is wrong with supernova remnants?





# What's wrong unclear with supernova remnants?

1.	What is pmax(t)? Pevatrons?
2.	Magnetic field (time)?
3.	Content accelerated/reaccelerated?
4.	Efficiency (time)?
5.	Slope (precursor/postcursor)?
6.	Spectrum released in the ISM?

pierre.cristofari@ijclab.in2p3.fr

35









