AX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR Kernphysik Heidelberg **INTERCOS 02-03-2022**

Cosmic rays in Superbubbles

Can superbubbles accelerate UHE protons?

Thibault Vieu MPIK Heidelberg

Img: The Carina Nebula, located at 2.3 kpc, hosts 8 massive stellar clusters which blow several cavities (credit: Preibisch et al. 2012)

Introduction

Cosmic Ray Origin: Lessons from Ultra-High-Energy Cosmic Rays and the Galactic/Extragalactic Transition

Etienne Parizot



Figure 5: Sketch of the GCR proton flux above the knee, showing the contribution of a smaller and smaller number of sources at higher and higher energy, up to $\sim 10^{17}$ eV, where the EGCR proton component becomes more abundant (compare with the "proton line" of Fig. [4]). The various dashed lines show, schematically, the contributions of all the sources which contribute up to a given energy (where the dashed line touches the plain line), with an arbitrary cut-off above that energy.

<u>Protons</u> of tens of PeV:

This is what we need to match KASCADE-Grande and Auger data



Galactic centre



FIG. 3. The spectra of the three sources exhibiting significant $\hat{E} > 100$ TeV emission. For each source, the line is the overall forward-folded best fit. The error bars on the flux points are statistical uncertainties only. The shaded band around the overall best fit line shows the systematic uncertainties related to the HAWC detector model, as discussed in [19]. The Crab Nebula spectrum from [19] is shown for comparison.

Article Published: 17 May 2021

Ultrahigh-energy photons up to 1.4 petaelectronvolts from 12 γ-ray Galactic sources

From Cygnus-X star forming region / OB2 association of massive stars

Zhen Cao , F. A. Aharonian , ... X. Zuo + Show authors

Nature 594, 33-36 (2021) Cite this article



Figure 3. The SED of LHAASO J2108+5157. The solid red line shows the best-fit power-law function.

Unknown source, but correlated with a giant MC

UHE photons: produced by UHE protons?

Candidates: Pulsar wind nebulae Massive star clusters/superbubbles Sagittarius A*

Article Published: 17 May 2021

Ultrahigh-energy photons up to 1.4 petaelectronvolts from 12 γ-ray Galactic sources

Zhen Cao , F. A. Aharonian , ... X. Zuo + Show authors

Nature 594, 33-36 (2021) | Cite this article



Figure 3. The SED of LHAASO J2108+5157. The solid red line shows the best-fit power-law function.

Unknown source, but correlated with a giant MC

From Cygnus-X star forming region / OB2 association of massive stars

UHE photons: produced by UHE protons?

Candidates: Pulsar wind nebulae

Sagittarius A*

Massive star clusters/superbubbles

Can superbubbles accelerate UHE protons?

Can superbubbles accelerate UHE protons?

What are "superbubbles"? Formation? Properties?

What is the difference between superbubbles, massive star clusters, star-forming regions, interstellar bubbles...?

How particle acceleration works in superbubbles?

What is the maximum energy?



Superbubbles



Multi-wavelength view of the Orion-Eridani superbubble From Ochsendorf et al. 2015

Superbubble formation



Superbubble formation



Superbubble formation





Nomenclature of bubbles (everybody uses different definitions...)



Figure 1.6: Composite images in optical lines and soft X-ray bands of three well-observed galactic circumstellar bubbles: the Bubble nebula (a), S308 (b), the Crescent nebula (NGC6888) (c), the Thor's Helmet nebula (d).

²cedits: Bubble nebula: NSA5, ESA, Hebble Hertiage Team. **S208**, J. A. Tsala and M. A. Guerrero (IAA-CSEC), Y.-H. Chu and R. A. Greend (IUUC), S. L. Showedre, (NASA/GSEY), and G. Ramos-Lariso (IAM), Greend (IUUC), S. L. Showedre, (NASA/GSEY), and G. Ramos-Lariso (IAM), A. Guerrero, Y.-H. Chu, et al. and ESA, Thoris Helmet nebula: J. Tsula and M. Guerrero (IAA-CSEC), Y.-H. Chu, and R. A. Guerrero, (IAA-CSEC), Y.-H. Chu, and K. A. Guerrero, Y.-H. Chu, et al. and ESA, Thoris Helmet nebula: J. Tsula and M. Guerrero, (IAA-CSEC), Y.-H. Chu, (IUUC), S. Iadin, J. Harvey, D. Vernshaue and R. Gilbert (S8HO-South) and ESA/XMM-Newton.

Medium bubbles (10 pc) = interstellar bubbles (young clusters) $\frac{3}{4}$ -30



Figure 1.8: Interstellar bubbles. (a) Composite image of the Omega nebula (M17). (b) The Rosette nebula in false colours (SII in blue, [OIII] in green, $H\alpha$ in red). (c) NGC3603 in three optical wavelengths: 435 nm (blue), 550 nm (green), 850 nm (red).

Gredits: M17:Copyright 2013 Robert Gendler, Subaru Telescope (NAOJ), HST (composite image). Rosette: T. A. Rector/University of Alaska Anchorage, WIYN and NOIRLab/NSF/AURA. NGC3603: NASA, ESA, and the Hubble Heritage (STSCI/AURA)-ESA/Hubble Collaboration.







220 200 180 Longitude (°)

Figure 1.9: Galactic superbubbles. (a) Opacity distribution (increasing from red to violet) in the galactic plane, showing the nearby local cavities, including the local bubble within a radius of about 100 pc at the centre (adopted from Lallement et al. (2014)). (b) 8 µm intensity map of the Cygnus-X complex (adopted from Ackermann et al. (2011)). (c) Multi-wavelength image of the Orion-Eridanus superbubble: $H\alpha$ in blue (ionised regions), WISE 12 µm band in green and Planck 353 GHz in red (dust) (adopted from Ochsendorf et al. (2015). (d) Composite optical/IR image of the Carina nebula: red optical in blue, Herschel 70 µm in green, Herschel 160 µm in red (adopted from Preibisch et al. (2012), apart from the annotations which I have added).

Large bubbles (100 pc) = superbubbles (old clusters)

Small bubbles (1 pc) = circumstellar bubbles



Figure 1.14: The physical processes driving the dynamics of superbubbles and their couplings.

(old clusters)

from red to violet) in

Composite optical/IR

4.8

-5 2

-5.6

-5.8

78

bles rays rbubl Cosmic in super



The Cygnus-X region in gamma-rays Fermi collab. 2011 Stellar clusters / Superbubbles do accelerate cosmic rays...



Stellar clusters / Superbubbles do accelerate cosmic rays...

HAWC collab. 2021 Hillas 1984 10-9 Continuous injection Maximum energy = A recent burst achieved in the electric 0-1 Φ_{γ} (TeV cm⁻² s⁻¹) potential uBR : 10-11 Emax = q uBRHAWC Fermi 4FGL 10-12 Fermi collab. 2011 Fermi -LAT Coll. (2011) = 100 PeV for B = 100 μ G Aharonian et. al. (2019) u = 3000 km/sARGO 10-13 Cygnus OB2 R = 100 pc 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 109 10^{15} $E_{\gamma}(eV)$

... up to 10s PeV according to

common <u>belief</u>



Stellar clusters / Superbubbles do accelerate cosmic rays...

HAWC collab. 2021 Hillas 1984 10-9 Continuous injection Maximum energy = A recent burst achieved in the electric 10-10 Φ_{γ} (TeV cm⁻² s⁻¹) potential uBR : 10-11 Emax = q uBRHAWC Fermi 4FGL 10-12 Fermi collab. 2011 Fermi -LAT Coll. (2011) = 100 PeV for B = 100 μ G Aharonian et. al. (2019) u = 3000 km/sARGO 10-13 Cygnus OB2 R = 100 pc 10^{11} 1012 1013 1014 109 1010 10^{15} $E_{v}(eV)$ Westerlund I 0.5 H.E.S.S. Very nice but... 0.4 Ohm et al. 2013 0.3 s⁻¹) What 0.2

Declination (J2000) 5 00 E² dN/dE (erg cm⁻² s 0 11 -46°00' 0.1 0 -47°00' -0.1 16^h45^m 16^h40^m Right Ascension (J2000) 10-12 16^h55^r 16^h50^m HESS collab. 2012 10⁻² 10⁻³ 10⁻¹ 1 Energy (TeV)

What is the acceleration mechanism ?

... up to 10s PeV according to

common <u>belief</u>



Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>Β</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1



Figure 1. Evolution of the maximum Larmor radius achieved in the SB forward shock around a canonical cluster ($\mathcal{P} = 10^{38}$ erg/s, blue curve) and around a very massive cluster ($\mathcal{P} = 10^{39}$ erg/s, red curve). The solid curves show the limitation due to the finite age of the SB while the dotted curves show the limitation due to the finite size of the SB. We assumed optimistic parameters: $\xi_{D} = 1$, $n_{\text{ISM}} = 1$ cm⁻³, and an ISM temperature of 10^4 K.

Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>Β</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1

WITAM	What
	is
	the
	acceleration
	mechanism

?

Supernova remnants expanding in the SB? Standard DSA mechanism Emax = acceleration rate VS SNR age

```
Low-density => longer expansion
Low-density => less efficient B field amplification
```

Note: compact cluster => SNR expand in the free-wind B ~ hundreds of μ G in the free-wind for very massive clusters!

Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>B</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
SNR inside SB	3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 - 3

Ferrand&Marcowith 2010 Vieu+2021

SNR: 1 PeV

WITAM What is the acceleration mechanism ?

Supernova remnants expanding in the SB? Standard DSA mechanism Emax = acceleration rate VS SNR age

Low-density => longer expansion Low-density => less efficient B field amplification

Note: compact cluster => SNR expand in the free-wind B ~ hundreds of μ G in the free-wind for very massive clusters!



Figure 2. Evolution of the maximum momentum achieved in a SNR. The left panel shows the case where the magnetic field is generated by the stars assuming rather optimistic parameters: $n_{\rm ISM} = 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\eta_T = 50\%$, L = 10 pc. The right panel shows the case where the magnetic field is generated by CR streaming instability assuming rather optimistic parameters: $n_{\rm ISM} = 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\eta_{CR} = 10\%$, L = 10 pc.



Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>Β</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	E _{max} , canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
SNR inside SB	3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 – 3

WITAM What Gupta+2020 is the acceleration mechanism ? Collective wind termination shock?

Requires a compact cluster (e.g. Westerlund 1) Standard DSA

Emax = geometry limitations (e.g. Morlino et al. 2021)

Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>Β</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
SNR inside SB	3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 – 3
WTS around a compact cluster	2000	1 - 20	1 - 30	1	3



What is the

?

Bykov+199x Ferrand&Marcowith 2010 Vieu+2021

MHD turbulence? Stochastic (re)acceleration / Fermi II Emax = acceleration rate VS escape rate

acceleration

mechanism

Very inefficient if the diffusion is not Bohm-like.



Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>Β</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
SNR inside SB	3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 – 3
WTS around a compact cluster	2000	1 - 20	1 – 30	1	3
HD turbulence	100	1 - 10	50 - 100	0.5	1

WITAM What is the acceleration mechanism ?

MHD turbulence? Stochastic (re)acceleration / Fermi II Emax = acceleration rate VS escape rate

Very inefficient if the diffusion is not Bohm-like.



Figure 5. Evolution of the maximum momentum achieved via Fermi II acceleration over diluted turbulence.

<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>B</i> [µG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 – 3
2000	1 - 20	1 - 30	1	3
100	1 - 10	50 - 100	0.5	1
	U [km/s] 30 3000 2000 100	U [km/s] B [μ G]301 - 10300010 - 5020001 - 201001 - 10	U [km/s] B [μ G] R [pc]301 - 1050 - 100300010 - 5010 - 3020001 - 201 - 301001 - 1050 - 100	U [km/s] B [µG] R [pc] E_{max} , canonical [PeV]301 - 1050 - 1000.01300010 - 5010 - 30120001 - 201 - 3011001 - 1050 - 1000.5

WITAM What is the acceleration mechanism

?

Individual wind termination shock? Requires a loose cluster (e.g. Cygnus OB2) Nonlinear stochastic acceleration (Bykov+ 199x) Emax = acceleration rate VS escape rate

Issue: the relevant velocity is NOT that of the winds, but
The mean velocity in the SB
=> needs to introduce a CR-wind « scattering cross-section »
=> this cross-section is very low

Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>B</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
SNR inside SB	3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 – 3
WTS around a compact cluster	2000	1 - 20	1 - 30	1	3
HD turbulence	100	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.5	1
Collection of individual winds (loose cluster)	10 - 100	10 - 30	1 - 10	0.05	0.2

WITAM What is the acceleration mechanism ?



Pulsar-WTS collision? (Bykov+ 201x) Emax = geometry limitations Unclear how to inject and confine the CR But large B => can work in principle A nice way to use the properties of a pulsar to reaccelerate protons. Numerical simulations are now needed.

Figure 2. (Red) The energy distribution function of particles injected into the colliding wind flows (extends down to 1 GeV). (Purple) The result of the Monte Carlo simulation of the spectrum of particles accelerated in the colliding wind flows in the collision zone of the pulsar and stellar winds.

(see also Vieu+2020 for similar results but discussion on the time limitation)

Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>B</i> [µG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
SNR inside SB	3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 – 3
WTS around a compact cluster	2000	1 - 20	1 - 30	1	3
HD turbulence	100	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.5	1
Collection of individual winds (loose cluster)	10 - 100	10 - 30	1 - 10	0.05	0.2
Colliding winds with pulsar companion	2000	100	10	3	10

Exemple from proper computations (detailed self-consistent model)



Cosmic ray production in superbubbles

T. Vieu,^{1*} S. Gabici,¹ V. Tatischeff,² S. Ravikularaman¹

¹Université de Paris, CNRS, Astroparticule et Cosmologie, F-75013 Paris, France ²Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France

Provides useful estimates of the SB properties Geometry, magnetic fields, turbulence level, diffusion coefficient, shock sizes, density, temperature...

Qualitative results on the typical shapes of CR and gamma-ray spectra produced in SBs, in various configurations (e.g. loose/compact, effect of the shell...)

First quantitative comparison with gamma-ray data and the local CR spectrum

If you're looking for a pedagogical approach to the subject and have some time to lose, have a look at my PhD thesis (available on TEL)

Exemple from proper computations (self-consistent model)



Exemple from proper computations (detailed self-consistent model)





Conclusions

Can superbubbles accelerate UHE protons?

Acceleration mechanism	<i>U</i> [km/s]	<i>Β</i> [μG]	<i>R</i> [pc]	$E_{\rm max}$, canonical [PeV]	$E_{\rm max}$, optimistic [PeV]
SB forward shock	30	1 – 10	50 - 100	0.01	0.1
SNR inside SB	3000	10 - 50	10 - 30	1	1 – 3
WTS around a compact cluster	2000	1 - 20	1 – 30	1	3
HD turbulence	100	1 - 10	50 - 100	0.5	1
Collection of individual winds (loose cluster)	10 - 100	10 - 30	1 - 10	0.05	0.2
Colliding winds with pulsar companion	2000	100	10	3	10

Loose associations => Emax ~ few PeV

Compact and very massive clusters => Emax ~ up to 5 PeV

Colliding pulsar-WTS => Emax ~ 10 PeV

Is this enough to account for the proton flux near Earth and recent gamma-ray observations?

Loose associations => Emax ~ few PeV

Compact and very massive clusters => $Emax \sim up$ to 10 PeV

Colliding pulsar-WTS => $Emax \sim 10 PeV$

Is this enough to account for the proton flux near Earth and recent gamma-ray observations?



In fact... how do we define Emax, observationally speaking?

$$f(p) = p^{-s} \exp(-E/Emax)$$

