

The colour issue (introduction): λ dependence of wide-band photometry

Benjamin Racine & Fabrice Feinstein

December 8th, 2021



Instrument



Mirrors etc. : Flux $\alpha\beta$ F

Dust? Frequency dependence of course Filters : Flux $\alpha\beta\gamma$ F

Edges, dust, coating etc. **Detectors :** Flux $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ F

Gain variations etc? Amplifiers : Flux $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon$ F



Signal

 $\mathbf{m}_{meas} = -2.5 \log (\mathbf{F}_{meas})$ = $-2.5 \log (F) - 2.5 \log (\alpha \beta \gamma \delta \epsilon)$ ZP







Calibrator distribution varies over the FoV

North Galactic Pole



130 G&K * / deg² / 1 mag

54° to the South Galactic Pole

300 G&K * / deg² / 1 mag



λ dependence of wide-band photometry

As we integrate over the filter bandwidth, we assume flat fluxes to potentially different spectral shapes

Here the atmosphere is stable two different star spectra

here the atmosphere changes same star spectrum



G and K-dwarves are stable and adequate foreground stars



stellar spectra

Wavelength [nm]

K-dwarves have a varied spectral behaviour within r filter passband



stellar spectra



K-dwarves have a varied spectral behaviour within r filter passband

stellar spectra

Wavelength [nm]

SN 1a have a specific (and z-dependent) behaviour



Chromatic effect is linear !

atmospheric attenuation $k_0 = 0.1$ atmospheric slope $\alpha = 0.2$ star slope $\beta = 0.4$ => Effect is linear with the product $\alpha \beta k_0 x$ and ~ 1 mmag effect



How to see and correct it... and check

- Separate ubercal fits with ≠ calibrator colours: measure Zero Point colour dependence
- Infer star slopes β from GAIA (spectro-)photometry and fit atm. $\alpha(t)$
- Implement this correction in ubercal fit
- Check on data that ZP does not depend from calibrator colour anymore