# PERFORMANCES OF CAGIRE

ALIX NOUVEL DE LA FLÈCHE Supervised by Jean-Luc ATTEIA & Olivier GRAVRAND





### OUTLINE

### Context :

- Scientific context
- Detector specificities and CAGIRE requirements
- Detector development and characterization strategies
- Performances of the 4<sup>th</sup> detector :
  - Readout noise
    - $\,\circ\,$  Measurement of the noise
    - Correction strategies
  - Flux estimation
    - o Method
    - $\,\circ\,$  Linearity computation





### SCIENTIFIC CONTEXT

Need for the infrared

- Lyman limit = 91.2 nm : wavelength below which a photon has enough energy to ionize hydrogen atoms. This photons does not escape from the host galaxy.
- Distant observer sees only wavelengths greater than 91,2 nm (Source reference frame)
- Redshift : because of universe extension :

$$z=rac{\lambda_{
m obs}-\lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{0}}$$

- The afterglow of a gamma rays burst (GRB) with a redshift >6 is detectable in near infra red only.
- Some bursts are attenuated by dust at visible wavelengths but detectable in the infrared.



Cagire and Colibrí



**CAGIRE** (CApturing GRB InfraRed Emission) :

- Located at one of the Nasmyth foci of COLIBRÍ
- Wavelengths between 1.1 and 1.8  $\mu m \rightarrow$  J & H bands
- Motivation : detect and monitor GRB afterglows including high redshift candidates ( z > 6)
- Equipped with a  $2k \times 2k$  detector (Lynred) into a cryostat.

Colibri at OHP

Main constraints of operability for the telescope



 Detector needs to operate at a stable temperature of 100K : The detector and the preamplifier are located in a cryostat.



- CAGIRE is located at the focus of COLIBRÍ, a Robotic Telescope :
  - The cryostat will be under rotation during the observations
  - Cryogenic vacuum is needed for at least 6 months (can't be under pumping)

Detection chain and detector architecture

- Detector ALFA : Astronomical Large Format Array.
- Composed of a detection layer hybridized on a readout layer (ROIC).



Detection chain and detector architecture



 $\rightarrow$  Detection layer

 $\rightarrow$  ROIC + PA



....

### PROJECT CONTEXT SUM UP

Choice of the detector ALFA by Lynred

- Lynred and CEA developed an IR Large Array detector
  - $\rightarrow$  To meet the need for the infrared technology for European project such as CAGIRE on COLIBRI
  - $\rightarrow$  To support French industry
- 4 detectors were provided by Lynred and CEA : 2 "engineering-grade" and 2 "science-grade". One of the scientific will be lent to CAGIRE and its performances are under test.
- The characterization strategy is conducted by CEA, CPPM, IRAP and LAM

### CHARACTERIZATION STRATEGIES



# PERFORMANCES ON THE 4<sup>TH</sup> DETECTOR

Detector n° 4 : CH329505 (lot n°3)



## DATA UNDER STUDY

Origin of the data

#### • CEA : with the whole detection chain

Name	Det n°	Illumination ?	Reset type	Nb of frames	Exposure time
<pre>test_dark_100K_pixel_reset_tint_360.fits</pre>	4	Dark	Pixel	200	360s
linearity_100K_BB390K_row_reset.fits	4	Illumination	Line	200	UTR (every 1,3s)
linearity_100K_BB390K_pixel_reset_option2 .fits	4	Illumination	Pixel	200	UTR (every 1,3s)

#### • CPPM : without the detection layer

Name	Det n°	Illumination ?	Reset type	Nb of frames	Exposure time
ngc_100K_11.fits	NA	Without detector	Pixel	100	UTR (every 1,3s)

### DETECTOR OPERATION

Ramp mode

- Observation with CAGIRE = series of short exposures (1-2 minutes) during which pixels are read every 1,3s.
- The detector continuously accumulate charges proportionally to the flux received creating a ramp of the output signal : ramp mode.



• The ramps are measured in ADU and can be converted to electrons thanks to  $g_{e-ADU} = 7,5 e-ADU$  13



What is the readout noise ?

- Readout noise mainly comes from the transistors used to read the detector.
- The readout noise is generated :
  - at the pixel level (independent sources of noise).
  - at the readout channel level where polarization and clocks are common to several pixels = common modes (correlated noise).
- The readout noise is measured looking at the signal delivered by pixels in the dark and their fluctuations.

#### **CDS NOISE** CDS Noise temporal : test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint\_360.fits 60000 Temporal measurement of noise 50000 Noise by pixel 40000 and histogram For one pixel L 30000 associated 20000 10000 $d_1 = (t_4 - t_3) - (t_2 - t_1)$ $d_2 = (t_8 - t_7) - (t_6 - t_5)$ Signal dз 0.0 2.5 7.5 10.0 CDS Noise [ADU] 12.5 15.0 17.5 5.0 noise = 5,7 ADUt9 t10 t11 t12 t2 t3 t5 t8 t6 t7 CDS Noise temporal : test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint\_360.fits corrected 2000 1750 1500 1250 1000 750 500 250 0 Time (n° frame) - 0 -10 250 500 Signal d1 d<sub>2</sub> dз 750 -8 ╇ ╇ +1000 1250 Time (n° frame) 1500 Noise<sub>temporal</sub>(1pixel) = $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ Std (d<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>2</sub>, d<sub>3</sub>, ..., d<sub>n</sub>) 1750 2000

15

### **CDS NOISE**

Reference pixels and how to correct the readout noise



Reference pixels.

- Objectives : We want to reduce the noise from the common modes of the ROIC.
- We relied on the article "Impact of common modes correlations and time sampling on the total noise of H2RG near-IR detector" by B. Kubik et al.
- <u>Reference pixels</u>: pixels with the same electronics as active ones but not sensitive to light.
- We select all the reference pixels of the output of the pixel to correct, we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.
- We select 9 lines of reference pixels around the pixel to correct (4 above and 4 below), we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.

### CDS NOISE

Reference pixels and how to correct the readout noise



- Objectives : We want to reduce the noise from the common modes of the ROIC.
- We relied on the article "Impact of common modes correlations and time sampling on the total noise of H2RG near-IR detector" by B. Kubik et al.
- <u>Reference pixels</u>: pixels with the same electronics as active ones but not sensitive to light.
- We select all the reference pixels of the output of the pixel to correct, we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.
- We select 9 lines of reference pixels around the pixel to correct (4 above and 4 below), we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.

#### ngc\_100K\_11.fits

### **CDS NOISE**

#### Summary on the file: test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint\_360.fits

Noise (median on the detector)	Science detector		ROIC		
	Before correction	After correction	Before correction	After correction	
CDS Noise [ADU]	7,59	5,80	5,14	3,81	
CDS Noise [electrons]	56,9	43,5	24,2	17,98	
Readout Noise [electrons]	40,23	30,75	17,11	12,71	



Temporal noise by pixel, before (left) and after (right) correction by the reference pixels for the Detection Layer file.

- The noise measured is significantly lower with the ROIC only than with the whole detection chain.
- However the measurement with the detection layer is not completely free of illumination.

### FLUX ESTIMATION

Series of Differential images.

- Computation of the index value for 80% of the ramp before saturation  $(k_8)$  (TBC).
- Correction by the reference pixels
- Creation of a series of differential images from the ramps (difference between two consecutive frames)



• Fit of the series of differential images.

Differential images are preferred because they permit to consider the experimental errors as constant when computing the fit .

### FLUX ESTIMATION

Series of Differential images.

• The models to fit the 80% of the dynamic range selected are 1<sup>st</sup> and a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial. We use the  $\chi^2$  to fit our curves.

$$\chi_{dl}^2 = \sum_i \frac{\left(f^k(x_i) - y_i\right)^2}{\sigma^2}$$

•  $\sigma$  the variance, is considered as constant for each differential image:

$$\sigma^2 = CDSNoise_{\rm ADU}^2 + \frac{S_{\rm ADU}}{g}$$

- Choice of the model : by comparison thanks to the Akaike Information Criterium (AIC).
- The criterium to compare is the difference  $\Delta \chi^2$  between the  $\chi^2$  of the two models to compare.

$$\Delta \chi^2 = \chi_2^2 - \chi_1^2$$

- The second order model is chosen if  $\Delta \chi^2 < -2$ .
- The Flux is estimated as the zero-th order coefficient of the model.

### FLUX ESTIMATION

#### Series of Differential images : Results on the 4<sup>th</sup> detector.

	l <sup>st</sup> order polynomial	2 <sup>nd</sup> order polynomial
Estimated flux (Median) [ADU/frame]	191,8	191,36
Variance on the flux parameter estimation [ADU <sup>2</sup> ]	3,05	7,15
$\chi_r^2$ (Median) : reduced $\chi^2$	0,93	0,95
$\Delta \chi^2$ (Median) :	-(	0,35

• 8,9% of the pixels have a  $\Delta \chi^2 < -2$ : the flux estimated on these pixels by the two models is similar at 3%.







 $\rightarrow$  The flux will be computed as the Zero-th order coefficient of the 1st order polynomial fit.

#### linearity\_100K\_BB390K\_pixel\_reset\_option2 .fits

### LINEARITY

#### Deviation from linearity :

Difference between the ramp and the linear approximation at a level of 80% of the ramp before saturation. This quantity is normalized by the linear value.





For detector n°4 we have 99,7 % of good pixels.

Deviation from linearity at 80% of the ramp before saturation.

Deviation from linearity at 80% of the ramp before saturation.

test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint 360.fits

### DARK CURRENT

• We measure the dark current by computing the flux on file : test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint\_360.fits



- This file is impacted at by the persistence phenomenon. We thus compute the flux from the frame 90 to frame 190 of the file.
- We find a median dark current of 0,84 ADU/frame.

A frame lasts 361,32s and there is 7,5 electrons in one ADU : dark current = 0,017 electrons/s

### **RESULTS CONCLUSION**

- The 4th detector has a low readout noise : 30 electrons after correction.
- We can estimate the flux as the 0<sup>th</sup> order coefficient of the fit on 80% of the series of differential images.
- The detector has a good linearity : median deviation from linearity of 4,52%
- The dark current is estimated around 0,02 e-/s/pix

# CONCLUSION



### SOURCES

- *"Caractérisation du détecteur et de la chaine de détection de CAGIRE", IRAP-CPPM-IRFU 2020*
- "Development of Astronomy Large Focal plane Array « ALFA » at Sofradir and CEA ", B.Fièque et al. 2019
- "Plan de test de la chaine de detection de CAGIRE au CPPM", J-L Atteia, J-C Clémens A. Secroun, 2020
- "Impact of common modes correlations and time sampling on the total noise of H2RG near-IR detector" by B. Kubik et al., 2014.

### NOISE COMPUTATION

$$S_{\acute{e}lectrons} = S_{ADU} \times g \tag{2}$$

L'erreur sur le signal vaut :

$$\sigma_{ADU}^2 = Bruit_{CDSADU}^2 + \sigma_{SignalADU}^2 \tag{3}$$

Or, comme nous sommes dans le cas ou le signal suit une loi de Poisson, nous avons :

$$\sigma_{Signal\,\acute{e}lectrons}^2 = Var\left(S_{\acute{e}lectrons}\right) = S_{\acute{e}lectrons} \tag{4}$$

et donc :

$$\sigma_{SignalADU}^{2} = Var\left(S_{ADU}\right) = Var\left(\frac{S_{\acute{e}lectrons}}{g}\right) = \frac{S_{\acute{e}lectrons}}{g^{2}} = \frac{S_{ADU} \times g}{g^{2}} = \frac{S_{ADU}}{g} \tag{5}$$

Nous avons donc:

$$\sigma_{ADU}^2 = Bruit_{CDSADU}^2 + \frac{S_{ADU}}{g} \tag{6}$$

### **AKAIKE INFORMATION CRITERIUM (AIC)**

Allows to compare 2 models without any preconception on the model to choose. We then choose the model with the more accurate information.

AIC = 2k - 2ln(L)

L is the likelihood function,  $ln(L) = Constante - \chi^2/2$ 

k is the number of parameters of the model to estimate, k=1

$$\Delta AIC = (2(k) - C + \chi_N^2) - (2(k-1) - C + \chi_{N-1}^2) = 2 + \Delta \chi^2$$

The model N is chosen if  $\Delta AIC < 0$  so if  $\Delta \chi^2 < -2$