# PERFORMANCES OF CAGIRE

ALIX NOUVEL DE LA FLÈCHE Supervised by Jean-Luc ATTEIA & Olivier GRAVRAND





### **OUTLINE**

### ■ Context :

- Scientific context
- Detector specificities and CAGIRE requirements
- Detector development and characterization strategies
- **Performances of the 4<sup>th</sup> detector :** 
	- Readout noise
		- o Measurement of the noise
		- o Correction strategies
	- Flux estimation
		- o Method
		- o Linearity computation





### SCIENTIFIC CONTEXT

Need for the infrared

- Lyman limit = 91.2 nm : wavelength below which a photon has enough energy to ionize hydrogen atoms. This photons does not escape from the host galaxy.
- Distant observer sees only wavelengths greater than 91,2 nm (Source reference frame)
- Redshift : because of universe extension :

$$
z=\frac{\lambda_{\rm obs}-\lambda_0}{\lambda_0}
$$

- The afterglow of a gamma rays burst (GRB) with a redshift >6 is detectable in near infra red only.
- Some bursts are attenuated by dust at visible wavelengths but detectable in the infrared.



4

Cagire and Colibrí



**CAGIRE** ( CApturing GRB InfraRed Emission) :

- Located at one of the Nasmyth foci of COLIBRÍ
- Wavelengths between 1.1 and 1.8  $\mu$ m  $\rightarrow$  J & H bands
- Motivation : detect and monitor GRB afterglows including high redshift candidates  $(z > 6)$
- Equipped with a  $2k \times 2k$  detector (Lynred) into a cryostat.

*Colibri at OHP*

Main constraints of operability for the telescope



• Detector needs to operate at a stable temperature of 100K : The detector and the preamplifier are located in a cryostat.



- CAGIRE is located at the focus of COLIBRÍ, a Robotic Telescope :
	- $\circ$  The cryostat will be under rotation during the observations
	- o Cryogenic vacuum is needed for at least 6 months (can't be under pumping)

Detection chain and detector architecture

- Detector ALFA : Astronomical Large Format Array.
- Composed of a detection layer hybridized on a readout layer (ROIC).



Detection chain and detector architecture



8  $\rightarrow$  ESO NGC

 $\bullet$   $\bullet$ 

### PROJECT CONTEXT SUM UP

Choice of the detector ALFA by Lynred

- Lynred and CEA developed an IR Large Array detector
	- $\rightarrow$  To meet the need for the infrared technology for European project such as CAGIRE on COLIBRI
	- $\rightarrow$  To support French industry
- 4 detectors were provided by Lynred and CEA : 2 "engineering-grade" and 2 "science-grade". One of the scientific will be lent to CAGIRE and its performances are under test.
- The characterization strategy is conducted by CEA, CPPM, IRAP and LAM

### CHARACTERIZATION STRATEGIES



# PERFORMANCES ON THE 4TH DETECTOR

Detector n<sup>o</sup> 4 : CH329505 (lot n<sup>o</sup>3)



## DATA UNDER STUDY

Origin of the data

#### • CEA : with the whole detection chain



#### • CPPM : without the detection layer



### DETECTOR OPERATION

Ramp mode

- Observation with CAGIRE = series of short exposures (1-2 minutes) during which pixels are read every 1,3s.
- The detector continuously accumulate charges proportionally to the flux received creating a ramp of the output signal : ramp mode.



13 • The ramps are measured in ADU and can be converted to electrons thanks to  $g_{e/ADU} = 7.5 e$ -/ADU



What is the readout noise ?

- Readout noise mainly comes from the transistors used to read the detector.
- The readout noise is generated :
	- at the pixel level (independent sources of noise).
	- at the readout channel level where polarization and clocks are common to several pixels = common modes (correlated noise).
- The readout noise is measured looking at the signal delivered by pixels in the dark and their fluctuations.

#### CDS NOISECDS Noise temporal : test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint\_360.fits 60000 Temporal measurement of noise 50000 *Noise by pixel*  40000 *and histogram*  For one pixel *associated* 20000 10000  $d_1 = (t_4 - t_3) - (t_2 - t_1)$  $d_2 = (t_8 - t_7) - (t_6 - t_5)$  $d_3$ Signal  $0.0$  $17.5$  $2.5$  $5.0$  $7.5$  $10.0$ 12.5 15.0 CDS Noise [ADU] *noise = 5,7 ADU* t1. t2. t3 t4 t5. t8 t9 t10 t11 t12 t6 t7 CDS Noise temporal : test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint\_360.fits corrected<br>2000 1750 1500 1250 1000 750 500 250 0 Time ( $n^{\circ}$  frame)  $-\Omega$  $\frac{1}{2}$ 250  $-500$ Signal  $d<sub>1</sub>$  $d<sub>2</sub>$  $\mathsf{d}_3$  $-750$  $|8|$ ╉ ╈ ╋  $1000$  $-1250$ Time (n° frame) 1500 Noise<sub>temporal</sub> (1pixel) =  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ Std (d<sub>1</sub>, d<sub>2</sub>, d<sub>3</sub>, ..., d<sub>n</sub>)  $1750$ 15 2000

### CDS NOISE

Reference pixels and how to correct the readout noise



*Reference pixels.*

- Objectives : We want to reduce the noise from the common modes of the ROIC.
- We relied on the article " *Impact of common modes correlations and time sampling on the total noise of H2RG near-IR detector*" by B. Kubik et al.
- Reference pixels: pixels with the same electronics as active ones but not sensitive to light.
- We select all the reference pixels of the output of the pixel to correct, we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.
- We select 9 lines of reference pixels around the pixel to correct (4 above and 4 below), we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.

# CDS NOISE

Reference pixels and how to correct the readout noise



- Objectives : We want to reduce the noise from the common modes of the ROIC.
- We relied on the article " *Impact of common modes correlations and time sampling on the total noise of H2RG near-IR detector*" by B. Kubik et al.
- Reference pixels : pixels with the same electronics as active ones but not sensitive to light.
- We select all the reference pixels of the output of the pixel to correct, we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.
- We select 9 lines of reference pixels around the pixel to correct (4 above and 4 below), we compute their mean and subtract it to the pixel to correct.

#### ngc\_100K\_11.fits

### CDS NOISE

#### Summary on the file: test\_dark\_100K\_pixel\_reset\_tint\_360.fits







*Temporal noise by pixel, before (left) and after (right) correction by the reference pixels for the Detection Layer file.*

- The noise measured is significantly lower with the ROIC only than with the whole detection chain.
- However the measurement with the detection layer is not completely free of illumination.

### FLUX ESTIMATION

Series of Differential images.

- Computation of the index value for 80% of the ramp before saturation  $(k_8)$  (TBC).
- Correction by the reference pixels
- Creation of a series of differential images from the ramps ( difference between two consecutive frames)



• Fit of the series of differential images.

19 Differential images are preferred because they permit to consider the experimental errors as constant when computing the fit .

### FLUX ESTIMATION

Series of Differential images.

• The models to fit the 80% of the dynamic range selected are 1<sup>st</sup> and a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial. We use the  $\chi^2$  to fit our curves.  $\sim$ 

$$
\chi_{dl}^2 = \sum_i \frac{\left(f^k(x_i) - y_i\right)^2}{\sigma^2}
$$

• σ the variance, is considered as constant for each differential image:

$$
\sigma^2 = CDSNoise_{\mathrm{ADU}}^2 + \frac{S_{\mathrm{ADU}}}{g}
$$

- Choice of the model : by comparison thanks to the Akaike Information Criterium (AIC).
- The criterium to compare is the difference  $\Delta \chi^2$  between the  $\chi^2$  of the two models to compare.

$$
\Delta \chi^2 = \chi_2^2 - \chi_1^2
$$

- The second order model is chosen if  $\Delta \chi^2$  < -2.
- The Flux is estimated as the zero-th order coefficient of the model.

### FLUX ESTIMATION

#### Series of Differential images : Results on the 4<sup>th</sup> detector.



• 8,9% of the pixels have a  $\Delta \chi^2$  < -2 : the flux estimated on these pixels by the two models is similar at 3%.







 $\rightarrow$  The flux will be computed as the Zero-th order coefficient of the 1st order polynomial fit.

#### linearity\_100K\_BB390K\_pixel\_reset\_option2 .fits

*Deviation from linearity at 80% of the ramp before saturation.*

### LINEARITY

#### Deviation from linearity :

Difference between the ramp and the linear approximation at a level of 80% of the ramp before saturation. This quantity is normalized by the linear value.





For detector n°4 we have 99,7 % of good pixels.

*Deviation from linearity at 80% of the ramp before saturation.*

test\_dark\_100K\_pixel reset tint 360.fits

### DARK CURRENT

• We measure the dark current by computing the flux on file : test dark 100K pixel reset tint 360.fits



- This file is impacted at by the persistence phenomenon. We thus compute the flux from the frame 90 to frame190 of the file.
- We find a median dark current of 0,84 ADU/frame.

A frame lasts 361,32s and there is 7,5 electrons in one ADU : dark current = 0,017 electrons/s

### RESULTS CONCLUSION

- The 4th detector has a low readout noise : 30 electrons after correction.
- We can estimate the flux as the  $0<sup>th</sup>$  order coefficient of the fit on 80% of the series of differential images.
- The detector has a good linearity : median deviation from linearity of 4,52%
- The dark current is estimated around 0,02 e-/s/pix

# **CONCLUSION**



### **SOURCES**

- *"Caractérisation du détecteur et de la chaine de détection de CAGIRE",* IRAP-CPPM-IRFU 2020
- *" Development of Astronomy Large Focal plane Array « ALFA » at Sofradir and CEA ",* B.Fièque et al. 2019
- *" Plan de test de la chaine de detection de CAGIRE au CPPM",* J-L Atteia, J-C Clémens A. Secroun, 2020
- " *Impact of common modes correlations and time sampling on the total noise of H2RG near-IR detector*" by B. Kubik et al., 2014.

### NOISE COMPUTATION

$$
S_{\elllectrons} = S_{ADU} \times g \tag{2}
$$

 $\mathop{\hbox{\rm L'error}}$  sur le signal vaut :

$$
\sigma_{ADU}^2 = Bruit_{CDSADU}^2 + \sigma_{SignalADU}^2 \tag{3}
$$

Or, comme nous sommes dans le cas ou le signal suit une loi de Poisson, nous avons :

$$
\sigma_{Signal\acute{e}lectrons}^2 = Var\left(S_{\acute{e}lectrons}\right) = S_{\acute{e}lectrons} \tag{4}
$$

 ${\it et\; donc}$  :

$$
\sigma_{SignalADU}^2 = Var(S_{ADU}) = Var\left(\frac{S_{electrons}}{g}\right) = \frac{S_{electrons}}{g^2} = \frac{S_{ADU} \times g}{g^2} = \frac{S_{ADU}}{g}
$$
(5)

Nous avons donc:

$$
\sigma_{ADU}^2 = Bruit_{CDSADU}^2 + \frac{S_{ADU}}{g} \tag{6}
$$

### AKAIKE INFORMATION CRITERIUM (AIC)

Allows to compare 2 models without any preconception on the model to choose. We then choose the model with the more accurate information.

 $AIC = 2k - 2ln(L)$ 

L is the likelihood function,  $ln(L) = Constante - \chi^2/2$ 

k is the number of parameters of the model to estimate,  $k=1$ 

$$
\Delta AIC = (2(k) - C + \chi_N^2) - (2(k - 1) - C + \chi_{N-1}^2) = 2 + \Delta \chi^2
$$

The model N is chosen if  $\triangle AIC \le 0$  so if  $\triangle \chi^2 \le -2$